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SHOULD INSTITUTIONAL TRUST MATTER IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS RESEARCH?

Chairs: Paul A. Pavlou, University of California, Riverside, USA (paul.pavlou@ucr.edu)  
David Gefen, Drexel University, USA (gefend@drexel.edu)

Panelists: Izak Benbasat, University of British Columbia, Canada (izak.benbasat@ubc.ca)  
Harrison McKnight, Michigan State University, USA (mcknight@bus.msu.edu)  
Katherine Stewart, University of Maryland, USA (kstewart@rhsmith.umd.edu)  
Detmar W. Straub, Georgia State University, USA (dstraub@gsu.edu)

Overview

Given the importance of trust in online environments, information systems research has recently embraced trust research (e.g., Ba and Pavlou 2002; Gefen et al. 2003, Jarvenpaa et al. 1999; McKnight et al. 2002; Stewart 2003). However, despite the enormous interest in the topic of trust by IS researchers (with 129 published papers listed in ABI/INFORM), most of this research seems more appropriate for marketing or management journals, as interpersonal and interfirm trust have little to do with the information technology artifact. In contrast, with few exceptions (McKnight et al. 1998; Pavlou 2002; Pavlou and Gefen 2004), IS research on institutional trust is still sparse. Institutional trust is defined as the trustor’s belief that effective third-party guarantees are in place to assure the trustee’s behavior will be consistent with the trustor’s confident expectations. Institutional trust is perhaps more appropriate for IT-enabled environments where there is often minimal prior interaction and people mainly interact with new and unknown entities under the aegis of third parties who provide an institutional context. Also, there is evidence that IT can build effective institutional structures that engender trust in impersonal contexts (Pavlou 2002; Pavlou and Gefen 2004).

Given these facts, why do IS researchers keep focusing on interpersonal and interfirm trust while disregarding institutional trust? Are we doing ourselves a disfavor by examining trust that is perhaps more relevant for management and marketing scholars, while not focusing on institutional trust that is more relevant for IS scholars? Should institutional trust matter more in IS research? To address these issues, this panel aims to examine whether, how, and why institutional trust matters in IS research by sparking a debate on the following three related questions:

1. Is institutional trust really important when compared to other trust-building means in IT-enabled environments?
2. How can the design of the IT artifact be used to build institutional trust?
3. How should IS researchers pursue the study of institutional trust?

Panel Format

Following a quick introduction by the panel chair in which the overall theme of the panel will be presented, the panelists will engage in the following debates (5 minutes per panelist), followed by 10-minute discussion and Q&A with the audience, as described below.
Debate 1: Is Institutional Trust Really Important Compared to Other Trust-Building Means in IT-Enabled Environments?

The first debate will discuss the issue of when institutional trust becomes more or less important compared to other trust-building means. **Paul A. Pavlou** will present evidence from online marketplaces of the exclusive trust-building potential of institutional mechanisms, even compared with familiarity-based trust, contracting, and dyadic interpersonal trust (Pavlou 2002; Pavlou and Gefen 2004, 2005). On the contrary, **Izak Benbasat** will argue that institutional trust is not particularly important when compared to other trust building mechanisms, even in impersonal IT-enabled environments. To support his point, Izak will cite his recent work on argumentation-based trust, which has been shown to be a more effective means of building trust compared to institutional means. The two discussants will draw implications about the characteristics of various IT-enabled environments where institutional trust becomes more or less important.

Debate 2: How Can the Design of the IT Artifact Be Used to Build Institutional Trust?

To debate whether and how the IT artifact can be used to build institutional trust, the panelists will offer two distinct perspectives. Based on his institutional trust model (McKnight et al. 1998), **Harrison McKnight** will theoretically argue that it does. To reinforce Harrison’s point, **Izak Benbasat** will discuss how properties of the IT artifact (the way an argument is presented on a webpage) affect trust in the artifact owner using the elaboration likelihood model (ELM) as a theoretical foundation. ELM enables the prediction of the relative importance of argument content and source of arguments under different involvement conditions. He will also debate the use of the IT artifact to build argumentation-based trust, and when institutional trust is more or less important. In contrast, **David Gefen** and **Katherine Stewart** will argue that the IT artifact may not by itself build institutional trust. Based on social exchange theory and the trust–TAM model (Gefen et al. 2003), David will discuss different levels of institutional trust and how they evolve as the IT artifact matures from a means of reducing perceived risk (Pavlou and Gefen 2004) to a conduct regulator and a broadcaster of social presence (Gefen and Straub 2004). To support David’s point, Katherine will discuss the role of institutional trust in the decision to use an IT artifact or not in the context of free/open source software (F/OSS). In F/OSS, institutional trust may be obstructed because ownership of software may be unclear, and thus the means of recourse for dealing with problems may also be unclear regardless of trust engendered by the design of the artifact itself. Following their points, the four panelists will solicit comments on the debate by the audience.

Debate 3: How Should IS Researchers Pursue the Study of Institutional Trust?

The final discussion will help guide IS researchers on how to pursue future research on institutional trust. **Detmar Straub** will conclude the panel with ideas concerning how the IT artifact can build institutional structures and engender trust. Detmar will discuss alternative methods of study. Much of the work on trust and institutional trust has used survey techniques or lab and free simulation experiments with student subjects to examine how individuals respond to third party guarantees or endorsements. While this work has been useful in establishing baselines and testing theory, the extensibility of the research has not really been exercised via these techniques. There are several alternative approaches that could yield a wealth of data and help IS researchers tease out how institutional trust plays out in the real world. The irony is that one of these suggested techniques is simulation, which many researchers believe is hamstrung by a limitation to purely hypothetical situations. This is not necessarily the case; other approaches include field experiments and protocol analysis. The pros and cons of each of these approaches will be discussed. Detmar will encourage audience participation by stressing that the current methodologies used to study trust in the IS literature may have been so hamstrung that it is difficult to conclude that we really know anything of importance about online trust. Detmar will challenge the panelists and the audience whether this criticism may apply for the future study of institutional trust. This controversial point will conclude the panel with discussion among the audience and the other panelists.
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