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Abstract

Most e-commerce applications require the collection and storing of information about customers. As a consequence, the performed transactions involve legal issues. For two years, the authors have been involved in a project, which studies the potentials of personalization of e-commerce systems from the particular angle of SMEs. The paper picks up a couple of scenarios that many e-commerce vendors face when implementing personalization on their Web sites. The specific focus of the discussion is the legal use of customer profiles for e-commerce applications. Since most legal issues are difficult to understand for non-lawyers the paper makes use of a case study, which shows explicitly what e-commerce vendors need to keep in mind when implementing personalization on their Web sites.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The paper presents results of a longitudinal, publicly funded research project about “personalization of e-commerce applications run by SMEs”. Prior project results have been presented in other publications (Leimstoll/Schubert 2002; Schubert 2003). In this article we will focus on an important aspect of personalization, which is often neglected by academic papers: the legal implications that arise with the use of customer profiles. Due to the ubiquitous nature of the Internet, electronic commerce does usually not stop at borders – most of its legal issues involve international legal regulations (unless the Web site explicitly excludes customers from foreign countries). Since the focus of our research project was on Swiss small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) we had to limit most of our discussion to the specific requirements of Swiss law (which is – as we would like to point out – very similar to respective European law). Economic transactions between companies and private end consumers are subject to the law of the perspective consumer. Since any international Internet retailer who does not explicitly exclude private end consumers from Switzerland is thus also acting under Swiss law, we are confident that our contribution will be interesting for an international audience.

Personalization is always targeted at the fulfillment of a special personal requirement. It can be aimed at people as well as organizational roles in companies (e.g. a purchasing agent). Personalization in our understanding starts AFTER THE LOGIN. The mere speculation about a user on the basis of local cookies on the client PC that has the smack of spying on someone does not fall into the scope of our discussion. Personalization is context sensitive (regarding output for a certain user) and requires learning (by the system). The interface between the customer and the system is called “point of interaction” (POI).

For the personalization of E-Shops there are integrated software packages available, such as, e.g. One-to-One (Broadvision), Dynamo Relationship Commerce Suite (Art Technology Group), Personalization Manager (Net Perceptions) or ADAPTe (ResponseLogic), which already supply the full range of e-commerce applications. These products are expensive and are generally destined for large companies. The standardized online shops sometimes used in SMEs only contain rudimentary tools for the personalization of transactions.

We believe that a separate consideration for these companies is meaningful because SMEs differ from corporations in many ways. In the context of the personalization of e-commerce applications the specific features of SMEs become particularly relevant. SMEs are generally characterized by limited resources and compared with corporations cannot use the benefits of economies of scale. With regard to using e-commerce applications limited financial resources, poor conceptual knowledge, lacking IT resources and low economies of scale can all have a negative effect. The low economies of scale result primarily from the small size of the company because the usefulness of e-commerce applications increases with the number of transactions completed and the volume of turnover generated. In a small market segment SMEs offer specialized, qualitative high value products which are tailor-made to customers’ needs (product differentiation). It is precisely for this reason that elements of personalization should also be applied in e-commerce.

Bearing in mind the established opportunities offered by personalization (as e.g. discussed by Fischer et al. 1999) at the beginning of the project, we had to examine the essential technical preconditions prevailing in SMEs and the general demand for personalization. These are aspects that influence the potential of personalized e-commerce applications in SMEs as well as the requirements for the development of tools for personalization.

The paper starts with the description of the research design and a literature review on personalization. The main section presents the case study of an existing SME – Tecnofil Ltd. – that poses specific legal questions regarding the use of customer profiles. We summarize the findings and draw some conclusions for future research.
2 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

The research findings presented in this paper stem from a project which has been carried out since 1999 together with different SMEs in Switzerland. The reason for this project was a perceived disadvantage regarding personalization possibilities in E-Business applications, which are suitable for SMEs compared to the possibilities of big companies. As mentioned earlier there are software packages for personalization available on the market but those systems are too expensive for SMEs. The situation is comparable to the adoption of SAP in big companies and “light-weight ERP solutions” such as Abacus or Navision in SMEs. SMEs need “easy” solutions – preferably standard software – which is cost-effective and can be customized according to the company’s special purposes.

As shown in Figure 1, the project started with an empirical survey in the region. The findings were portrayed by (Leimstoll/Schubert 2002; Schubert/Leimstoll 2004). The result encouraged the authors to proceed with the project. SMEs attribute a high value to their relationship with the customer and recognize the potential of the electronic relationship that can be supported by the operation of an e-shop. On the other hand, the survey showed that the situation for the implementation of personalization (state of know-how, existing hard and software, willingness to invest, etc.) is not very favorable in most SMEs. One important result was the need for setting a focus on the further development of existing ERP systems, which are already in use by SMEs.

![Figure 1. Steps and results of the longitudinal research project](image)

For the illustration of the potentials of personalization we created a “handbook personalization” which shows the possibilities from a perspective, which we thought adequate for SMEs (Schubert/Leimstoll 2002). Additionally, we built a “software demonstrator” which is publicly accessible on the Internet. It displays the possibilities in a graphical form. The last objective was the development of a generic project method for the introduction of personalization of e-commerce applications on the basis of ERP II systems. ERP II is a term coined by Gartner Group, which they define as an application and deployment strategy to integrate all things enterprise-centric. It is basically the further development of the inherently internal ERP system into a boundary-spanning system, which integrates its peer systems run by business partners and customers. The focus of this paper is the discussion of legal issues, which was part of the development of the project method (last step of the project). Figure 1 shows the steps of the projects with its premises (01-04) and its milestones.

3 LITERATURE REVIEW ON PERSONALIZATION AND LEGAL ISSUES

Personalization is about selecting or filtering information objects or products for a user by using information about the user account (e.g. the customer profile). The information displayed on the screen is specifically tailored according to the information already available about the user. From a technical point of view meta-information of products or information objects is matched against meta-information of users (stored in the customer profile). Personalization can be tailored to a person group or to a specific individual. In the latter case, where the information or products are customized for one
single individual we speak of individualization as a special form of personalization. Personalization uses information about customers. The general term for stored customer information is “user profile” or in the context of electronic shopping “customer profile” (Fink/Kobsa 2000).

There are various ways how e-shop operators can cultivate customer profiles e.g. “implicitly” by storing interaction with the web site (click stream) or purchase transactions or “explicitly” by asking for preferences and ratings. What formerly seemed to be possible only for the corner shop whose storekeeper knew all her clients personally, reaches a new potential in the online medium where every client leaves traces and thus “teaches” the system how to treat him differently from the other customers. This form of mass customization becomes feasible with the use of predefined rules, which can be built into e-commerce environments. These automatically personalized web sites do not achieve the high quality of corner shops but they help to establish a personal dialogue with the customer tying him or her closer to the electronic offer. Additionally, the time spent by the client to “teach” the system leads to increased switching cost. The underlying precondition is that the customer really wants to be addressed personally.

The ability to deliver personalization rests upon (1) the acquisition of a “virtual image” of the user, (2) the availability of product meta-information and (3) the availability of methods to combine the datasets in order to derive recommendations for the customer.

3.1 Systems and Concepts for Personalization

In a previous paper Schubert (2003) reviewed concepts and systems that make (automatic) personalization possible in today’s businesses. These driving forces can be categorized by disciplines, which are involved in personalization. The consideration of personalization ranges from a technical view in computer sciences to the economic principles of information management and marketing as far as to the global perspective of sociology. Figure 2 displays a matrix of disciplines in which personalization plays an important role. Social and information systems where personalization plays a decisive role are: virtual communities (Schubert 2000), social capital (Pennar 1997; Ginsburg/Weisband 2002), performance systems (Belz et al. 1991), CRM systems, ERP systems, and information warehouses. The respective concepts for use are: collaborative filtering (Resnick/Varian 1999; Linden et al. 2003), mass customization (Piller 2001), one-to-one marketing (Peppers/Rogers 1997), CRM, permission marketing (Godin 1999), viral marketing, data mining/web mining (Schweizer 1999; Spiliopoulou 2000; Gediminas/Tuzhilin 2001).
3.2 Personalization Steps

As presented at the beginning of this chapter, one of the basic ideas of personalization is to learn something about the customers and to use this information to tailor offers for services or information to the needs of the customer. On a technical level, personalization can therefore be modeled in four steps: modeling customer profiles (requirements analysis), data input, data processing, and information output.

In their paper „Motivating Human-Agent Interaction: Transferring Insights from Behavioral Marketing to Interface Design“ Spiekermann and Paraschiv (2002) point to the fact that personalization of user interfaces depends on the intensity of the interaction with the user interfaces. The more information about preferences is available from the user the better the computer can react. The benefit that a customer can take from an e-commerce service depends largely on the readiness of the customer to actively provide information. If a customer provides false information, the recommendations derived from this data tend to be useless.

As illustrated in Figure 3, personalization is highly dependent on the professional collection and use of user profiles. The following section proposes a classification scheme for profiles. The legal use of these different kinds of profiles is examined in the case study in section 5.
3.3 Legal Issues of E-Commerce

Although the Internet has evolved into an international trading platform with no national boundaries, it is subject to national laws. So far, there are very few legal regulations in Switzerland dealing specifically with the Internet. Instead, existing law is being applied to Internet-specific facts. Companies, which operate in the Internet realm, are subject to many different laws and orders. Table 1 gives an overview of the legal areas involved and the applicable laws and orders. The abbreviations are explained in the table at the end of the references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal Areas</th>
<th>Law or Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rules about conclusion of a contract on the Internet, online general terms</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designation of offerer, product description and declaration of price</td>
<td>OR, UWG, PBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of delivery, return of goods, countermand, warranty, liability</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer protection in national and international settings (consumer law)</td>
<td>GestG, IPRG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legitimacy of hyperlinks, use of third-party contents</td>
<td>URG, UWG, StGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of domain names</td>
<td>MSchG, ZGB, UWG, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abidance of commercial modesty</td>
<td>UWG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal and civil liability for contents</td>
<td>OR, StGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data protection law in e-commerce</td>
<td>DSG, VDSG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. List of laws and orders discussed in this paper

The case study of Technofil in chapter 5 contains the discussion of specific legal facts as shown in Table 1.

4 CUSTOMER PROFILES: WHERE PERSONALIZATION TOUCHES LEGAL ASPECTS

The entire information about customers is usually combined in a data set called „customer profile“ (Koch/Wörndl 2001). This data set includes all information explicitly requested from the customer and the information implicitly learned from Web activity. E-commerce systems track and store compound profiles that contain parts of the profiles shown in Table 2. Depending on the personalization methods used, there are different requirements regarding the contents and the representation of the profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explicit profiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Profile</td>
<td>User name, role, contact information, personal browser settings, address, payment information, IP-address, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference Profile</td>
<td>Self-revealed preferences (product meta-data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic Profile</td>
<td>Self-categorization in predefined classes (age, gender, hobbies, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratings</td>
<td>Three types of ratings: of products, of reviews, of pages (scale e.g.: I like it – not for me)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationships</td>
<td>Relationships to other users/customers (e.g. “soul sisters”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviews/Opinions</td>
<td>Plain text, images, videos and other material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implicit profiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Profile</td>
<td>Transaction log, product purchases linked to product meta-data (purchases, inquiries, payment, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction Profile</td>
<td>Click stream (pages viewed are linked to product meta-data (preference categories))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External data</td>
<td>Information procured from other sources (e.g. weather report, local news, events, credit rating)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Different types of profiles (following Schubert 1999)
As discussed above, the process of personalizing e-commerce applications involves multiple advantages for online retailers. Customer profiles can be used to display individual recommendations during the purchase transaction. Other benefits could be the reduction of marketing cost and the stimulation of long-term relationships. Internal and external cross-selling can be used to increase sales. In some cases it may even occur to online vendors to sell customer profile – and make money with the sale. However, especially in Europe, the economic interests of online vendors are sometimes opposed to the protection of individual customer rights. Most European countries have special laws regarding data protection. The respective Swiss law is called Bundesgesetz über den Datenschutz (DSG) (Bund 2003). The general rule, which should be applied, is the following: not everything that could be done can be done legally (Briner 2002; Baeriswyl/Rudin 2002).

5 CASE STUDY TECNOFIL LTD.

The following section presents the case of “Tecnofil”, an existing Swiss SME, which is about to implement personalization services on its Web site. We chose this case because most of the personalization issues can be applied to similar companies.

Tecnofil is one of the leading producers of industrial filters in the B2B business. 25 employees produce and distribute a product range of 3’000 different air and water filters. The high product variety is a result of different filter classes, variable sizes and multiple areas of application. Most of the products are standardized. Tecnofil also offers individual products built-to-order.

Tecnofil is thinking of extending their existing Web services. The new Web site could be geared at the existing B2B customers as well as new B2C customers. The company wants to deliver extensive and current information in the area of filters. Additionally, an online order process is planned. Personalization will play an important role on the new Web site in order to facilitate comfort and increase the benefit for the customers.

The case study presents different basic scenarios and looks at them from a legal perspective (Weber 2001; Bund 2003). The first part of the analysis deals with the public area (open to “anonymous” users). The second part looks at the closed user area available for registered users (after a previous login).

5.1 Information and Services for Anonymous Visitors (No Login)

The public part of the Web site offers information about company and services. Tecnofil has an interest in how often these pages are visited, in which order pages are requested, and how often certain categories are being accessed (interaction profile). Is this kind of “anonymous log file and clickstream analysis” permissible?

Says the lawyer: The answer is dependent on the traceability back to the identity of single users. If the log file analysis is performed on the level of a specific user the activity involves issues of personal data protected by the DSG (Swiss law on the protection of personal data). Besides “safe” information such as date, time, request, and information object, log file entries usually contain the IP number of the requesting client. Without further investigation, there is no known connection between the IP number and a specific user and the log entry does thus not allow drawing a conclusion on the level of an individual user. Anonymous log file analysis is not legally problematic under the DSG (EDSB 2003 a/b). In the case that establishing a link between user identity and surfing behavior is possible, it has to be conveyed to the user in the public data protection declaration on the Web site – even if the company does not make use of this possibility.

Once the company starts combining IP numbers with customer identities (after the login) the logs can be traced back to people (companies). Does it effect the legal situation if Tecnofil combines IP numbers with user accounts and starts profiling the log entries of registered users in a database?
Says the lawyer: Yes, it does. The respective person has to be informed about the purpose and the consequence of the use of the data. The database allows the link between log file data and available user data. For the use of “personal information” of this kind the consent of the user has to be obtained.

Web site visitor are given the opportunity to utter their opinion about experiences with services and products. They can make deliberate information about their person (e.g. “a contribution by Fred Flintstone from Sursee”). This contribution is afterwards made available to public Web site visitors. From a legal perspective it is interesting who is liable for false statements, which lead to incorrect decisions with a lasting effect (e.g. a recommendation for the ideal change period of a filter which proves to be too long and leads to the spreading of a disease in an old people’s home).

Says the lawyer: In this case we have to distinguish between civil and criminal liability. We have to also deal with the question: who is to be made liable? The author or the Web site provider?

The civil liability: Potentially, people can be made liable for the deliberate provision of recommendations – as for example advice regarding the ideal period for the change of a certain filter. Advice and recommendation have to follow the requirements, which the potential reader can reasonably attribute to the information. In the case that a certain trust has been stimulated when giving the information which is worth protecting, the recommending party is liable for the adequate probability of the correctness of his/her statement (liability based on trust). Eventually, the circumstances of such a specific case would be taken into consideration.

In our case, Tecnofil is offering a forum in which opinions and experiences from clients are being exchanged. The person giving the comment does not necessarily have to convey his/her identity. The reasonable claim, which arises from such a statement, is not very high. A trust in the statements in an anonymous forum, which would be worth protecting, cannot be assumed. It is obvious to the visitor that Tecnofil is the provider of the forum and is not involved in the statements itself. Tecnofil is required – as far as acceptable – to delete statements, which are obviously incorrect from the forum and thus stop their distribution.

The criminal liability: It is unlikely but possible that we are dealing with criminal liability in this case. In the event of criminal publications (racist information, dishonoring statements, etc.) in “media” which imply the Internet (including forums) only the author can be prosecuted. If this person cannot be determined or cannot be taken to court then the editor (the person responsible for the publication following article 27 StGB (the Swiss penal code)) can be sued. Since the statements in the forum are in an anonymous section it is conceivable that the criminal responsibility remains with the responsible person employed by Tecnofil. With this in mind it is advisable that Tecnofil does not publish information without prior examination.

Side note 1: The question of liability of access and hosting providers for criminal content on Internet pages has been discussed quite controversially in Switzerland. Providers are considered as “helpers” if they know about the content or if the pages have been brought to their attention and have not been blocked or removed.

Side note 2: Providers should publish “rules for the appropriate behavior” (often called netiquette). Users should be told the following: “Do not write anything which you would not be willing to tell people directly. Do not pretend to be an expert. Do not misuse the forum as a marketing platform for your own purposes or for the benefit of someone else.” The provider should also reserve the right to delete contributions, which are not in correspondence with the rules.

Tecnofil wants to provide contents from other sources (external data). Examples are information about environmental protection or specific norms, which apply to filters. Is there anything that needs to be considered when re-publishing this kind of information?

Says the lawyer: Information from other sources which is re-published by Tecnofil on its Web site is subject to the above mentioned principles of information and advice. Tecnofil has the duty to take care
and is expected to have the required expertise. It is thus reasonable to expect that Tecnofil can make sure that the information on their Web site is correct.

It has proven useful to publish a disclaimer on the Web site in which the Web site provider informs visitors that the information and opinion published on the Web site does not necessarily reflect the point of view of Tecnofil and that the author assumes full responsibility for his/her contributions. Tecnofil should also mention that they cannot grant a warranty for the completeness and the correctness of the contributions. However, the legal binding character of disclaimers is controversial (Cereghetti 2002).

It is recommendable that the information provided (e.g. about environmental norms) be annotated showing the source and the date of the online release. When re-publishing information, the provider has to examine copyright claims beforehand.

5.2 Information and Services for Registered Users (After a Successful Login)

The information about existing B2B customers is transferred and maintained in the e-shop database(s) by the Tecnofil employees. After the transfer, customer profiles are available for use by the legitimate user in the e-commerce application. The payment process is realized as beforehand: an invoice is sent by postal mail.

With the launch of the new e-shop, Tecnofil wants to grant new customers (now also B2C) the possibility to register themselves as customers (supplying their own customer information). Once a user logs on to the Web site, the personal information has to be completed and the identification profile is being stored. This includes name, delivery and invoice address, payment information (and newly also credit card information). The customer can deliberately enter preferences for product categories, for preferred means of payment, manufacturer etc. (preference profile). The so-conveyed preferences are being used to generate recommendations for customers regarding product categories and to facilitate the order process. Are there any measures, which Tecnofil has to take in order to store and use this personal data legally?

Says the lawyer: The described provision of data about identification and preferences are legally unproblematic. The people concerned are adding the input themselves and voluntarily. In the case of preference data users have the choice of completing the profile or not. It is important to inform new customers about the purpose of collecting personal data. New customers are to be informed in the data protection declaration that the preferences provided will be used for recommendations regarding product categories and to facilitate the order process. The personal information may only be used for these purposes (principal of restriction to purpose).

Side note on data security: the law requires the use of adequate technical and organizational measures, which prevent unauthorized access to personal data. The more sensitive personal data is the better it should be protected. The collected identification and preference profiles – especially credit card information – represent sensitive data. The data has to be stored in secure databases and has to be protected from unauthorized internal as well as external access (e.g. hackers). The transfer of information should be encrypted. The protection of the system and the databases containing personal information has to be guaranteed by firewalls, adequate use of passwords and physical entry barriers.

The identified user (after login) has the possibility to comment on and evaluate products and services offered by Tecnofil. The Web site offers the possibility of writing opinions and experiences in specific text fields (so called reviews). The reviews are afterwards available to all visitors of the Web site. Is the publication of these reviews with the name of the author on the Tecnofil Web site permitted? Who is liable for these comments?

Says the lawyer: the liability of wrong statements has been discussed before. In the case of an identified author (linked to the user profile) the determining question in this case is the level of
reasonable trust, which users have in such reviews. The danger of enforceable liability is rather limited. If recommendations are made by acknowledge experts (filter producer, Tecnofil employees), which are recognizable, a liability is more likely to be assumed than in the case of other customers.

The customer is to be informed that his review will be published on the Web site. In case that the name of the author is added to the review the author has to be informed (preview of page) and his/her affirmation has to be obtained. Due to the manifold possibilities of the Internet (search engines) it is easy to collect information about an individual and to use this information to compile a personality profile. This information also comprises individual contributions in discussion forums.

Apart from the already mentioned explicit profiles (identification profile, preference profile), which the user recognizes or even deliberately adds to the system, there are implicit profiles, which are being stored in the background (interaction profile, transaction profile). The users are not directly aware of their generation. Interaction profiles contain information about accessed web pages and can indirectly indicate a certain interest for product categories (meta-data). This information can be used to derive the user’s interests. This also relates to data from transactions (purchases). Are there any legal implications for the storing and use of such implicit profiles?

Says the lawyer: The acquisition of such data may not be in conflict with prevailing law regarding data protection. The most important implication is that nothing should be recorded in secret. Transparency regarding the process of gathering, storing, and using personal data is required. The respective individual needs to be informed about the form of the acquisition, the purpose and the consequences. Recording of implicit data leads to a collection of data, which allows an evaluation of important aspects of the personality of an individual. Passing individual profiles to third parties without the prior agreement of the individual constitutes a violation of the personality of the individual and is not permitted.

Tecnofil wants to use the implicit profiles for the personalization of their Web site and thus to the advantage of the customer. The services based on the transaction profile are the following:

1. Registered users can look at the history of their past purchases (order history).
2. Registered users are supplied with product recommendations, which are calculated on the basis of anonymous sales figures from all customers.
3. All users (including anonymous visitors) are provided with anonymized reports about statistics (e.g. sales in certain product categories, regions etc.).

Says the lawyer: The possibility to look at past purchases is reasonable. The appropriate measures for ensuring confidentiality and integrity of the order history are to be taken. Product recommendations, which are based on anonymous sales figures, are not problematic. Also, the publication of anonymized reports about purchases is not problematic in general. In specific cases, e.g. where only very few and well-known customers are active in a specific region the publication of such data is not advisable since conclusions regarding their identity can be drawn.

Tecnofil analyses the interaction profile (clickstream) of the Web server and displays information about products, which are frequently requested by this user on the first page after the login (“your preferred products”). Customers who look at certain products repeatedly but do not buy them are being asked about the reasons in an e-mail (“help us to find the right product for you”).

Says the lawyer: The user has to be informed about the above-mentioned use in the data protection declaration. Sending mail (postal mail or e-mail) to the customer is generally allowed in the case where a customer relationship has already been established. The customer has the right to request the company to stop sending such e-mails. In the event that Tecnofil keeps sending such e-mail they infringe the customer’s right to preserve his/her own personality. It is also important to note that confidentiality is not guaranteed in the case of sending e-mails without using encryption. The customer has to be informed about the fact that the e-mail can be read by eavesdroppers, which gain access to the transport channel.
Tecnofil offers a “filter translator” for newly acquired customers who have been using filters from competitors until now. This option is based on a table in which filters produced by competitors are linked to the “matching” Tecnofil filters which are equipped with identical technical features. The table supports customers switching from their current products to the corresponding Tecnofil products.

Says the lawyer: The translator is undoubtedly a useful instrument for the customer. Problems arise if the filter translator causes incorrect orders due to (unsuitable) recommendations. The software or the basic data could be flawed and could lead to inappropriate results. Therefore Tecnofil needs to add a disclaimer that they do not grant any warranty for the correctness of the results. If in doubt, the Tecnofil customer service team should be consulted personally by the customer before placing the order.

Another aspect connected with the filter translator is the question of unfair competition. We are dealing with unfair competition in the case that someone compares himself or his own products/services (or their prices) in an incorrect, misleading, or unnecessary degrading manner with others, their products/services (or their prices) (following article 3 lit. e UWG (Swiss law against unfair competition)). If the filter translator does not only match filters but also displays prices offered by Tecnofil, these prices need to correspond with the current prices in the product catalog.

The Tecnofil case study was written as a reference case for small companies which intent to offer personalized information based on the different customer profiles presented in Table 2 on page 6. However, we would like to point out that in most cases it will be necessary to use the professional services of a specialized lawyer to make sure that the current Web practice is not in conflict with prevailing legal regulations.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Private consumers are the ones who are best protected by the law in most legal systems. The Internet is an inherently international medium and e-commerce sites do not stop at borderlines. This means that an American Web site that also addresses a Swiss clientele (implicitly or explicitly) is subject to Swiss law when engaging into business with the Swiss client. Swiss (and European) law (in general) regarding data protection is much stronger than American law. The objective is to protect the individual from information-related misuse. If American vendors do not want to be confronted with the customers’ local laws (EU 2003) they are well-advised to explicitly exclude European customers from their products and services.

In this paper, we have presented results from a longitudinal research project about personalization of e-commerce systems. The different project steps have taught us that the development of personalization software is no easy undertaking. Reality shows that SMEs live in a world of heterogeneous systems – a broad bandwidth of internal systems (ERP) and different e-commerce applications (e-shop software). The operating systems in use also differ greatly. Furthermore, the majority of SMEs does not operate their own web server, but have outsourced this task to an Internet service provider. The only possible approach was that we involved ERP solution providers, which develop standard modules for their existing systems.

In the current phase of the project we are developing a project method for the definition of requirements for personalization of ERP-based e-commerce solutions. The project method combines a set of useful creativity tools, elements of classical project management together with a method for rapid screen design. A checklist for legal requirements containing similar questions as shown in the Tecnofil case study is also part of this project method. The most important issue is to make sure that people in SMEs and ERP vendors understand each other and manage to jointly develop a new generation of SME-suitable ERP II systems, which include customizable, easy to use personalization features. Continuing with our research, we have initiated further projects with SMEs and their respective ERP vendors were we constantly apply and refine the method. The companies which took part in our survey are planning substantial investments in the field of personalized services over the
next few years (Leimstoll/Schubert 2002). After all, the study findings have encouraged us in our assumption that a need for standardized, inexpensive personalization software for SMEs exists based on existing ERP systems, or will arise within the next few years.
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Underlying laws:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Source Law (in German language)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Obligationenrecht</td>
<td>Law about commerce and contracts (Commercial Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWG</td>
<td>Bundesgesetz gegen den unlauteren Wettbewerb</td>
<td>Law against unfair competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GestG</td>
<td>Gerichtsstandsgesetz</td>
<td>Law about the place and court of jurisdiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPRG</td>
<td>Bundesgesetz über das internationale Privatrecht</td>
<td>Civil Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StGB</td>
<td>Strafgesetzbuch</td>
<td>Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSchG</td>
<td>Markenschutzgesetz</td>
<td>Protection of Trade Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSG</td>
<td>Datenschutzgesetz</td>
<td>Data Protection Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDSG</td>
<td>Verordnung zum Datenschutzgesetz</td>
<td>By-law to Data Protection Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>