

8-2010

Exploring the Role of Control – Smart Meter Acceptance of Residential Consumers

LMU Munich Kranz
LMU Munich, kranz@lmu.de

Julia Gallenkamp
LMU Munich, gallenkamp@bwl.lmu.de

Arnold O. Picot
LMU Munich, picot@lmu.de

Follow this and additional works at: <http://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2010>

Recommended Citation

Kranz, LMU Munich; Gallenkamp, Julia; and Picot, Arnold O., "Exploring the Role of Control – Smart Meter Acceptance of Residential Consumers" (2010). *AMCIS 2010 Proceedings*. 315.
<http://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2010/315>

This material is brought to you by the Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISEL). It has been accepted for inclusion in AMCIS 2010 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISEL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Exploring the Role of Control – Smart Meter Acceptance of Residential Consumers

Johann Kranz

LMU Munich, Germany
kranz@lmu.de

Julia Gallenkamp

LMU Munich, Germany
gallenkamp@bwl.lmu.de

Arnold Picot

LMU Munich, Germany
picot@lmu.de

ABSTRACT

The increasing diffusion of renewable energies which underlie significant daily and seasonal fluctuations increases grid operations' complexity. For the effective use of renewable energies, innovative information and communication technologies (ICT) and concepts are necessary to efficiently balance power generation and consumption. An ICT-based innovation in this context is the smart metering technology allowing bidirectional transfer of information between energy systems' components. Using a context-specific extension of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) of Davis (1989), our study investigates smart meters' acceptance based on the attitude toward use and the salient beliefs perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and subjective control. Results support the theorized relationships indicating that the attitude toward use fully mediates the relationship between perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and subjective control on intention to use. In the conclusion a detailed discussion of the study's findings is provided and the implications for research, practice, and policy are highlighted.

KEYWORDS: *Technology Acceptance, Subjective Control, Smart Meter, Smart Grid*

INTRODUCTION

In recent years energy markets have undergone major transitions. Forced by regulators most markets were largely liberalized and hitherto vertically integrated firm structures were unbundled by separating production from distribution. However, as the network functions represent natural monopolies, competition was particularly promoted in the areas of production and supply. Simultaneously, due to the climate change, an increasing energy demand, scarce fossil resources, and rapid technological advancements in power engineering issues of future energy supply and efficient energy usage are attracting ever more attention from researchers, practitioners, and public policy. Globally, many initiatives are launched which aim at enhancing energy efficiency, securing supply, and mitigating climate change. An integral part of these efforts is the promotion of renewable energies whose fluctuating feed-in strain grids as at each point in time grids have to be able to satisfy demand and react to unforeseen peaks. Hence, increasing the share of power generated by intermitting energy sources makes grid management by far more complex.

Consequently, as mobile or fixed broadband connections are available in most regions and the performance and integration ability of modern ICT is substantial, the intention is to create an ICT-based network (also known as smart grids) comprising the entire energy system from power generation to consumption. By facilitating components of an energy system to exchange information, grid management can operate more anticipatory and, thereby, enable the reduction of carbon emissions as energy is used more efficiently. The convergence between ICT and the energy system is referred to as smart grids. Smart grids comprise a broad range of ICT-based innovative concepts whose goal is to facilitate the intelligent management and control of the entire energy system across all value-added steps. Within a smart grid, smart meters are an integral technology

enabling bidirectional data exchange. Although the positive impact of smart meters on energy efficiency is widely recognized (FMET 2006), the diffusion of the technology is still retarded. Among others, an important reason for the slow diffusion lies within the unexamined acceptance of the smart metering technology by residential consumers. Thus, the intention of our study is to close this gap by scrutinizing private users' acceptance of the smart metering technology with specific regard to the role of subjective control.

For this purpose data from a sample of 212 consumers was collected to test theorized relationships that draw upon an extended version of Davis et al.'s (1989) TAM. The TAM is a widely recognized model that has attracted considerable attraction in IS and IT research and practice (Lee et al. 2003; Venkatesh et al. 2003). The model has been shown to have good predictive power (Davis 1989; Davis 1993; Davis et al. 1989; Mathieson 1991), and constructs of high validity and reliability (Adams et al. 1992; Agarwal and Prasad 1999; Chin and Todd 1995; Davis 1989; Doll et al. 1998; Hendrickson et al. 1993; Subramanian 1994; Yang and Yoo 2004). Hence, the TAM is applied to explain and predict technology acceptance in a broad variety of IT contexts (Adams et al. 1992; Chin et al. 1995; Doll et al. 1998; Mathieson 1991; Segars and Grover 1993). In the literature several revisited and extended versions of the original TAM have been proposed (for an outstanding review, see Venkatesh et al. (2003)). For our study we choose the initial TAM as theoretical foundation, because of its ample acceptance, generalizability, and explanatory record (Venkatesh et al. 2003). For the purpose of our study we extend the TAM by adding the construct of subjective control, originating in the field of social psychology. As we examine the acceptance of smart meters in private settings, we suggest that control has an important influence on the acceptance of smart meters.

CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

Power is grid-bound and possibilities to store power are strongly restricted, beyond being inefficient and costly. Consequently, at each point in time an energy system has to be able to react to variations in the demand of households and industry to ensure security of supply. As further energy systems' components and actors are interconnected to a very limited extent the intelligent and anticipatory coordination of power generation and consumption is hampered.

To establish a shared conceptualization for our study we refer to an (electronic) meter as a smart meter only when it is combined with an advanced metering management (AMM) system (DRSG 2009). The AMM system provides the literal "intelligence" by collecting usage data from the electronic meters and providing this information to consumers, utilities, grid operators, or other parties. Thus, smart meters are essential components of the future energy system (see Figure 1).




Figure 1. Potential information flows in an energy system

With the usage of smart meters in private settings, issues of privacy and self-determination arise. Consumers may have concerns to reveal information about their private life and habits plus additionally give up some control over their home appliances regarding direct energy management (e.g., lowering the fridge temperature a few degrees more than necessary when much renewable power is available). Thus, to clarify the question of private consumers' acceptance of smart meters is the intention of our study.

RESEARCH MODEL AND HYPOTHESES

The TAM as proposed by Davis (1989) draws upon the theory of reasoned action (TRA) (Ajzen and Fishbein 1980). Its specific intention is to explain and predict information technology's acceptance and usage in the workplace based on variables such as beliefs, attitudes, and behavioral intention (Davis 1989; Davis et al. 1989). The model contends that the salient beliefs perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use determine an individual's attitude toward usage. Perceived usefulness is defined as the "*prospective user's subjective probability that using a specific application system will increase his or her job performance*" (Davis et al. 1989). For the context of our study, usefulness is related to energy efficiency, potential cost savings, and real-time information about power consumption in private settings. Perceived ease of use "*refers to the degree to which the prospective user expects the system to be free of effort*" (Davis et al. 1989) respective to mental and physical efforts as well as ease of learning (Yang et al. 2004). Further, the TAM assumes that behavioral intention is determined by perceived usefulness together with attitude. Intention in turn is assumed to affect actual usage. Finding that attitude is of weak explanatory power, Davis et al. (1989) and Venkatesh and Davis (2000) exclude this construct from the revisited version of the TAM. Nevertheless, there are many studies that build upon the initial model (e.g., Karahanna et al. 1999; Mathieson 1991; Riemenschneider and Hardgrave 2001; Venkatesh and Davis 1996), as the revisited TAM is likely to restrict comprehensive understanding of technology acceptance and its adaptation is simply based on empirical results, rather than theoretical considerations (Kim et al. 2009). Although originally proposed for IS and IT, the TAM has also proved to be a useful model for explaining and predicting the acceptance of other technologies (Wang 2008). Thus, in our study we employ the initial TAM, however, with some modifications due to the specific setting.

First, the model's dependent variable is behavioral intention to use as no data for actual usage could be obtained. This adaption is commonly made (Hu et al. 1999; Lai et al. 2005; Phang et al. 2005) and is unlikely to cause bias as both, theory and empirical results, suggest a strong correlation between intention to use and actual usage (Vijayasathy 2004).

Second, the hypothesized positive relation in the TAM between perceived usefulness and behavioral intention to use violates the assumption of the TRA (Taylor and Todd 1995), as the TRA argues that attitude is responsible for full mediation. The notion of Davis et al. (1989) was that in the workplace the intention to use a system is only in parts based on an individual's attitude, but is rather a matter of expected job performance. In other words, an individual may use a system because of its positive impact on job performance, although disliking it (Davis et al. 1989). However, investigating technology acceptance of residential consumers differs from workplace settings (Brown and Venkatesh 2005; Orlikowski and Iacono 2001) as, e.g. usage is not mandatory and additionally no training and support is provided. Hence, we eliminated this relationship from our model, assuming that intention to use is based on an individual's attitude toward usage.

Third, even though in the area of IS research attitude has been treated as an ambiguous and inconclusive construct (Legris et al. 2003), in psychology its relevance for individual behavior has always been emphasized (Kim et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2004). However, Davis et al. (1989) omitted attitude from the revised TAM arguing that its influence on intention to use or actual usage is limited and at best a partial mediator. But, as shown by a meta-analysis of empirical studies using TAM the mediating effect of attitude varies considerably between studies (Kim et al. 2009). Analyzing the experience respondents had with the technology in question, Kim et al. (2009) found that the mediating role of attitude is contingent on a sample's prior experience with a technology. The more experienced the participants were the higher was the mediating effect of attitude. Participants in our study did not have experiences with smart meters. However, through a comprehensive multimedia presentation of a smart meter's primary functions and usage scenarios within the online survey, respondents were enabled to better assess and anticipate "hands-on" usage. In sum, we hypothesize attitude to mediate the relationship between salient beliefs and intention to use.

Fourth, we extend the TAM by adding the construct of subjective control. A person's need for control is related to her or his perceived ability to control a technology. In case that the experienced control falls below this need, a subjective loss of control is the consequence which leads to negative emotions (Beier 2004). These emotions are hypothesized to affect a person's attitude to use a technology (Beier et al. 2006). With subjective control the model takes into account that beyond rational factors also emotions affect the acceptance of the smart metering technology as it permeates everyday private life by, e.g. gathering and transferring highly confidential private data to other parties or automatically switching off home appliances. This influence of psychographic factors on individual's behavior is widely recognized in IS, psychology, and consumer behavior literature (Agarwal et al. 1999; Davis et al. 1992; Featherman and Pavlou 2003; Rokeach 1973; Schiffman and Kanuk 2004). Thus, we contend that consumers' acceptance of smart meters is dependent on beliefs if and to what extent the metering technology can be controlled. According to our statements and the relationships proposed in the initial TAM, the hypotheses can be stated as:

Hypothesis 1: Perceived ease of use positively influences perceived usefulness of smart meters.

Hypothesis 2: Attitude toward use mediates the relationship between perceived usability and intention to use a smart meter.

Hypothesis 3: Attitude toward use mediates the relationship between perceived ease of use and intention to use a smart meter.

Hypothesis 4: Attitude toward use mediates the relationship between subjective control and intention to use a smart meter.

Hypothesis 5: Attitude toward use of smart meter has a positive effect on intention to use.




Figure 2. The research model.

METHOD

Sample and Procedure

We obtained the data from an online survey. The survey was run in March 2009 for 14 days after a pretest in February 2009. Besides being sent to participants, the link to the survey was, among others, posted on the website of the FMET. This study set out to investigate the evaluation of smart meters by potential customers. Since the technology is relatively new to customers, participants may have little information regarding this technology. In order to eliminate this potential interfering variable the study integrated multimedia illustration (videos, pictures, textual description) of smart meters and user interfaces that display diverse information about energy consumption. An initial sample of 351 participants took part in the study, 60% completed the survey. Participants' age ranged from 18 to 78 (18-19 years (1.4%) 20-29 years (18.4%) 30-39 years (23.6%), 40-49 years (20.3%), 50-59 years (15.6%), and 60 years and older (20.7%)). 42% of the sample was female.

Measures

Dependent Variables. Data on intention to use and attitude toward use were obtained from the survey. The two items for intention to use and the four items for attitude toward use were derived from Davis (1989). All items were rated on a five-point Likert-type scale with anchors of "strongly agree" (1) and "strongly disagree" (5). The Cronbach's α scores of $\alpha = .82$ for intention and $\alpha = .91$ for attitude indicate very good reliabilities.

Independent Variables. Data on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use was obtained from the survey. The four items for perceived usefulness and four items for perceived ease of use were derived from Davis (1989) and adjusted to fit the specific technology. The items were rated on a five-point Likert-type scale with anchors of "strongly agree" (1) and "strongly disagree" (5). Again, the measures showed very high reliabilities with Cronbach's $\alpha = .91$ and $\alpha = .94$, respectively. Subjective control was assessed using a five-item scale derived from Beier et al. (2006) on the basis of the original technology acceptance model by Davis (1989). All items were rated on a five-point Likert-type scale with anchors of "strongly agree" and "strongly disagree". The measure also showed very high reliability with Cronbach's $\alpha = .91$.

Control Variables. Data on gender (coded as 1= female and 2= male) and age (coded as 1= 18-19, 2= 20-29, 3= 30-39, 4= 40-49, 5= 50-59, 6= 60-69, and 7= 70-79) was obtained from the survey.

RESULTS

A regression approach proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986) to testing the hypothesized mediated relationships was performed using SPSS 17. For supporting mediation according to Baron and Kenny (1986) four conditions have to be met:

- (1) The independent variables must significantly account for variations in the mediator,
- (2) the independent variables must account for variations in the dependent variable,
- (3) the mediator must account for variations in the dependent variable, while
- (4) the effects of the independent variables on the dependent variable decrease significantly when controlling for the mediator.

The fourth condition can be examined by Sobel's (1982) test of indirect effects. To check whether multicollinearity contaminates the results, we examined the variance inflation factors (VIFs) for all independent and control variables included in the model. This procedure is widely used as the VIF is a good indicator of the extent to which the variance of a coefficient is increased due to collinearity among the variables. The highest VIF was 2.5. According to the guidelines provided by Neter et al. (1996) these statistics indicate that multicollinearity is unlikely to be problematic. Descriptive statistics are provided in Table 1.

Variable	Mean	STD	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 Age	3.92	1.72						
2 Gender	1.57	0.50	.03					
3 Perceived Usefulness	1.87	0.86	.18 *	-.02				
4 Perceived Ease of Use	2.12	0.92	.47 *	-.08	.43 *			
5 Subjective Control	3.00	1.13	.24 *	.06	.36 *	.48 *		
6 Attitude toward use	1.85	0.82	.14 *	.04	.73 *	.47 *	.48 *	
7 Intention to use	2.46	1.05	.18 *	.02	.60 *	.46 *	.46 *	.72 *

Note. N = 212. * p < .05

Table 1. Means, standard deviations and correlations for study variables.

The estimates for the regression analyses are provided in Table 2. In all regressions the control variables (age and gender) were entered first. Equation 1 examines the relationship between perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness. As suggested by the original TAM, perceived ease of use significantly influenced perceived usefulness ($\beta = .42$, $p < .01$), thus supporting hypothesis 1. The following equations were calculated in order to examine the mediation hypotheses (Baron et al. 1986): First, the mediator was regressed on the independent variables (equation 2), second the dependent variable was regressed on the independent variables (equation 3), and third the dependent variable was regressed on both the independent variables and the mediator (equation 4) (cf. Dirks 2000; Kirkman et al. 2009). Whereas all three regressions are needed for examining the mediation hypotheses, only the third regression (equation 4) is necessary for examining hypothesis 5. The data provide support for hypothesis 5. After controlling for age, gender, perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and subjective control, attitude toward use had a significant effect on intention to use ($\beta = .70$, $p < .01$).

Thus, the third condition for the mediation hypotheses is satisfied. In equations 2 and 3, the first and second precondition for mediation are also fulfilled (see Table 2): Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and subjective control do have a significant effect on attitude toward use ($\beta = .57$, $p < .01$; $\beta = .15$, $p < .01$; $\beta = .15$, $p < .01$) and on intention to use ($\beta = .55$, $p < .01$; $\beta = .20$, $p < .05$; $\beta = .20$, $p < .01$). When attitude toward use was added in equation 4 ($\beta = .70$, $p < .01$), the coefficients for perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use and subjective control decreased in magnitude ($\beta = .15$; $\beta = .10$; $\beta = .10$) and all became statistically insignificant ($p > 0.05$). Additionally, according to Sobel (1982) the significance of indirect effects was tested. The results (see Table 3) indicate that the indirect effects of the independent variables perceived usefulness ($z = 10.82$, $p < .01$), perceived ease of use ($z = 7.01$, $p < .01$), and subjective control ($z = 6.93$, $p < .01$) were highly significant, indicating that their effect on intention to use drops significantly once attitude is incorporated.

Equation	Variable		β	t	R^2_{adj}
	Dependent	Independent			
1	Perceived Usefulness	Age	-0.02	-0.49	0.18
		Gender	0.03	0.32	
		Perceived Ease of Use	0.42	6.35 **	
2	Attitude toward use	Age	-0.05	-1.88	0.59
		Gender	0.08	1.15	
		Perceived Usefulness	0.57	12.04 **	
		Perceived Ease of Use	0.15	2.81 **	
		Subjective Control	0.15	3.93 **	
3	Intention to use	Age	-0.02	-0.54	0.45
		Gender	0.06	0.56	
		Perceived Usefulness	0.55	7.55 **	
		Perceived Ease of Use	0.20	2.58 *	
		Subjective Control	0.20	3.54 **	
4	Intention to use	Age	0.01	0.34	0.54
		Gender	0.00	0.04	
		Perceived Usefulness	0.15	1.80	
		Perceived Ease of Use	0.10	1.43	
		Subjective Control	0.10	1.90	
		Attitude toward use	0.70	7.27 **	

Note: $N = 212$. $\Delta R^2 = .09$ in Step 3. $p < .05$. Degrees of freedom are 16, 62, 32, and 43 for Equations 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$.

Table 2. Summary of regression analyses.

Hence, the pattern of results provides support for attitude mediating the relationship between salient beliefs (perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and subjective control) and behavioral intention to use. Thus, hypotheses 2 – 4 are supported by our data. Attitude toward use and the control variables are found to account for a substantial portion of the variance in intention to use ($R^2_{adj} = .54$).

Variables	Indirect Effect	z
Perceived Usefulness → Attitude → Intention	.64	10.82**
Perceived Ease of Use → Attitude → Intention	.39	7.01**
Subjective Control → Attitude → Intention	.32	6.93**

Note: $N = 212$. ** $p < .01$.

Table 3. Results of tests of indirect effects

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The findings of this study are consistent with the TAM in that perceived ease of use affects perceived usefulness and both variables are significant predictors of attitude toward use. Besides the variables from the original TAM the study also analyzed the influence of another decisive variable in the context of investigating acceptance in private settings, namely subjective control. This construct was also found to be a significant predictor of attitude toward use. In terms of the impact, subjective control was found to be the second-strongest predictor of attitude behind perceived usefulness. This finding is in line with previous research (Lederer et al. 2000; Venkatesh et al. 2000). The study helps researchers to understand the relationships between the beliefs perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, and subjective control and the acceptance of smart meters by users. It shows that the intention to use smart meters depends on the attitude of the potential user. Attitude toward use was found to fully mediate the influence of perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, and subjective control and to explain a substantial amount of variance (ΔR^2_{adj} between equation 3 and 4 is .09). The total model accounts for a substantial proportion of the variance ($R^2_{adj} = .54$) in usage intention which is notably above the average variance (~30-40%) usually explained by TAM (Lee et al. 2003; Venkatesh et al. 2000).

A few limitations of this study are worth noting. First, we employed a correlational design, which limits our ability to draw causal inferences. Future research on the acceptance of smart meters may benefit by using other causal designs. Moreover, in this study we did not measure actual use of smart meters. Future research should investigate the relationship between intention to use and actual use of smart meters to gain further insights. Also longitudinal research designs should be employed to investigate actual usage over time (cf. Venkatesh 2000; Venkatesh et al. 2000) since the perception of a technology can change considerably while using it (Lee et al. 2003). In addition, examining other dependent variables such as satisfaction with the technology should be incorporated in future studies (Yang et al. 2004). Further, studying potential antecedents (e.g., social influence, self-efficacy) of the salient beliefs incorporated in our model may provide further insights which factors drive smart meter adoption (cf. Bhattacharjee 2001; Devaraj 2002). Moreover, this study only investigated one country, namely Germany. Future research could investigate the acceptance and distribution of smart meters in more countries to account for cultural differences (cf. Straub 1994; Straub et al. 1997).

This study gives insights into the TAM applied to the context of private usage of a new technology. Beside the outlined limitations, this research may be applied to similar settings where private usage is associated with a potential loss of control and the technology permeates everyday private life. Three important implications for practice and policy can be drawn from the study's results. First, the study has shown that subjective control significantly affects a person's attitude to use a smart meter. As private information is continuously transferred to other entities like grid operators or energy providers and automated interventions in a demand response scenario occur regularly, both practitioners and policy makers have to take concerns about privacy seriously. Policy should ensure data security and integrity through effective privacy policies. Whereas grid operators, utilities and other involved market participants should explain consumers clearly and in detail what happens to their data and why these data are essential for leveraging the benefits of the smart metering technology and these of a smart grid as a whole. Second, regarding the salient beliefs perceived usefulness and ease of use the participant's assessment in absolute terms is considerably high. The high estimation of usefulness might be explained by factors like environmental awareness and concerns regarding climate change. Regarding the influence of perceived usefulness, consumers' perception of smart meters' usefulness might be further increased by additionally providing value-added services (e.g., security or assistance services, home automation). Furthermore, user interfaces should be structured as clearly as possible to ensure easy and time-saving handling. Third, the private consumers' attitude toward the use of smart meters is highly positive and fully mediates the relationship with behavioral intention. However, changes in attitude are observed to occur more quickly in comparison to non-evaluative beliefs or values (Thompson 1996). Thus, efforts from policy and industry are required to ensure a broad and sustained acceptance of smart meters. That way, the traditional energy system characterized by passive and isolated components may develop to an interactive, decentralized, market- and service-based energy system in which new potentials and business models can emerge.

REFERENCES

- Adams, D.A., Nelson, R.R., and Todd, P.A. (1992) Perceived Usefulness, Ease of Use, and Usage of Information Technology: A Replication, *Mis Quarterly*, 16, 2, 227-247.
- Agarwal, R., and Prasad, J. Spring99(1999) Are Individual Differences Germane to the Acceptance of New Information Technologies?, *Decision Sciences*, 30, 2, 361-391.
- Ajzen, I., and Fishbein, M. (1980) *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior* Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliff, NJ.

- Baron, R.M., and Kenny, D.A. (1986) The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 6, 1173-1182.
- Beier, G. "Kontrollüberzeugungen im Umgang mit Technik: Ein Persönlichkeitsmerkmal mit Relevanz für die Gestaltung technischer Systeme," in: *Dissertation*, Berlin, 2004.
- Beier, G., Spiekermann, S., and Rothensee, M. Die Akzeptanz zukünftiger Ubiquitous Computing Anwendungen, in: *Mensch & Computer 2006: Mensch und Computer im Strukturwandel*, A. Heineke and H. Paul (eds.), Oldenbourg, Munich, 2006, pp. 145-154.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2001) An empirical analysis of the antecedents of electronic commerce service continuance, *Decision Support Systems*, 32, 2, 201-214.
- Brown, S., and Venkatesh, V. (2005) Model of adoption of technology in households: A baseline model test and extension incorporating household life cycle, *Mis Quarterly*, 29, 3, 399-426.
- Chin, W.W., and Todd, P.A. (1995) On the Use, Usefulness, and Ease of Use of Structural Equation Modeling in MIS Research: A Note of Caution, *Mis Quarterly*, 19, 2, 237-246.
- Davis, F., Bagozzi, R., and Warshaw, P. (1992) Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace, *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 22, 14, 1111-1132.
- Davis, F.D. (1989) Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology, *Mis Quarterly*, 13, 3, 319-340.
- Davis, F.D. (1993) User acceptance of information technology: System characteristics, user perceptions and behavioral impacts, *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 38, 3, 475-487.
- Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P., and Warshaw, P.R. (1989) User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models, *Management Science*, 35, 8, 982-1003.
- Devaraj, S., Fan, M. and Kohli, R. (2002) Antecedents of B2C Channel Satisfaction and Preference: Validating e-Commerce Metrics, *Information Systems Research*, 13, 3, 316-333.
- Dirks, K.T. (2000) Trust in Leadership and Team Performance: Evidence From NCAA Basketball, *Journal of applied psychology*, 85, 6, 1004-1012.
- Doll, W.J., Hendrickson, A., and Xiaodong, D. Fall98(1998) Using Davis's Perceived Usefulness and Ease-of-use Instruments for Decision Making: A Confirmatory and Multigroup Invariance Analysis, *Decision Sciences*, 29, 4, 839-869.
- DRSG "Demand Responses and Smart Grid Coalition - Definitions,," 2009, URL: <http://www.drsgcoalition.org/resources/definitions.htm>.
- Featherman, M., and Pavlou, P. (2003) Predicting e-services adoption: a perceived risk facets perspective, *International Journal Human-Computer Studies*, 59, 4, 451-474.
- FMET "Potenziale der Informations- und Kommunikations-Technologien zur Optimierung der Energieversorgung und des Energieverbrauchs (eEnergy)," Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology
- Hendrickson, A.R., Massey, P.D., and Cronan, T.P. (1993) On the Test-Retest Reliability of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use Scales, *Mis Quarterly*, 17, 2, 227-230.
- Hu, P., Chau, P., Liu Sheng, O., and Kar Yan, T. (1999) Examining the Technology Acceptance Model Using Physician Acceptance of Telemedicine Technology, *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 16, 2, 91-112.
- Karahanna, E., Straub, D.W., and Chervany, N.L. (1999) Information technology adoption across time: a cross-sectional comparison of pre-adoption and post-adoption beliefs, *Mis Quarterly*, 23, 2, 183-213.
- Kim, Y.J., Chun, J.U., and Song, J. (2009) Investigating the role of attitude in technology acceptance from an attitude strength perspective, *International Journal of Information Management*, 29, 67-77.
- Kirkman, B., Chen, G., Farh, J., Chen, Z., and Lowe, K. (2009) Individual Power Distance Orientation and Follower Reactions to Transformational Leaders: A Cross-Level, Cross-Cultural Examination, *The Academy of Management Journal (AMJ)*, 52, 4, 744-764.
- Lai, J., Ong, C., Yang, C., and Tang, W. "Factors Influencing Employees' Usage Behavior of KMS in e-business, PACIS 2005 Proceedings," 2005.
- Lederer, A.L., Maupin, D.J., Sena, M.P., and Zhuang, Y. (2000) The technology acceptance model and the World Wide Web, *Decision Support Systems*, 29, 3, 269-282
- Lee, Y., Kozar, K., and Larsen, K. (2003) The Technology Acceptance Model: Past, Present, and Future, *Communications of AIS*, 2003, 12, 752-780.
- Legris, P., Ingham, J., and Colletette, P. (2003) Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model, *Information & Management*, 40, 3, 191-204.

- Mathieson, K. (1991) Predicting User Intentions: Comparing the Technology Acceptance Model with the Theory of Planned Behavior, *Information systems research*, 2, 3, 173-191.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. (1996) *Applied linear statistical models* Irwin Homewood, IL.
- Orlikowski, W., and Iacono, C. (2001) Research commentary: desperately seeking the "IT" in IT research-A call to theorizing the IT artifact, *Information systems research*, 12, 2, 121-134.
- Phang, C., Li, Y., Sutanto, J., and Kankanhalli, A. (2005) *Senior Citizens' Adoption of E-Government: In Quest of the Antecedents of Perceived Usefulness. Proceedings of the 38th HICSS 2005.*
- Riemenschneider, C.K., and Hardgrave, B.C. Summer2001(2001) Explaining software development tool use with the technology acceptance model, *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 41, 4, 1-8.
- Rokeach, M. (1973) *The Nature of Human Values* Free Press, New York.
- Schiffman, L., and Kanuk, L. (2004) *Consumer Behavior* Pearson Education, 8th. edn., Upper Saddle River.
- Segars, A.H., and Grover, V. (1993) Re-Examining Perceived Ease of Use and Usefulness: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis, *Mis Quarterly*, 17, 4, 517-525.
- Sobel, M. (1982) Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models, *Sociological methodology*, 13, 290-312.
- Straub, D. (1994) The Effect of Culture on IT Diffusion: E-Mail and FAX in Japan and the U.S. , *Information Systems Research*, 5, 1, 23-47.
- Straub, D., Keil, M., and Brenner, W. (1997) Testing the technology acceptance model across cultures: A three country study, *Information & Management*, 33, 1, 1-11.
- Subramanian, G.H. (1994) A Replication of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use Measurement, *Decision Sciences*, 25, 5-6, 863-874.
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P.A. (1995) Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models, *Information Systems Research*, 6, 2, 144-176.
- Thompson, R.H., J. (1996) Inside the black box of alpha, beta, and gamma change: Using a cognitive-processing model to assess attitude structure, *The Academy of Management Review*, 21, 3, 655-690.
- Venkatesh, V. (2000) Determinants of perceived ease of use: Integrating control, intrinsic motivation, and emotion into the technology acceptance model, *Information systems research*, 11, 4, 342-365.
- Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F. (2000) A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies, *Management Science*, 46, 2, 186-204.
- Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F.D. Summer96(1996) A Model of the Antecedents of Perceived Ease of Use: Development and Test, *Decision Sciences*, 27, 3, 451-481.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., and Davis, F.D. (2003) User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view, *Mis Quarterly*, 27, 3, 425-478.
- Vijayasarathy, L. (2004) Predicting consumer intentions to use on-line shopping: the case for an augmented technology acceptance model, *Information and Management*, 41, 6, 747-762.
- Wang, C., Lo, S. and Fang, W. (2008) Extending the technology acceptance model to mobile telecommunication innovation: The existence of network externalities, *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 7, 1, 101-110.
- Yang, H.-d., and Yoo, Y. (2004) It's all about attitude: Revisiting the technology acceptance model, *Decision Support Systems*, 38, 1, 19- 31.