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ABSTRACT

Trade security is the main point of the payment system. The system should fit the security issues such as confidentiality, authentication, and non-repudiation. However, the privacy problem seems to be ignored. This article proposes a new structure to improve the privacy of mobile payment system when consumers buy something via mobile device. The new system needs to fit three points: familiarity, privacy, and non-repudiation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The trade behaviors are changing gradually because of the rise of mobile commerce. In the past trade activity, people needed to bring a lot of cash by themselves. Gradually, the applications of the plastic currency grow, people reduce the times using cash. Then, the appearance of e-commerce, the trade is no longer confined to face-to-face. The trade no longer needs to stay in one regular place. However, some problems still exist. Although plastic currency is convenient, people need to take a lot of cards with themselves. Even the trade of e-commerce can be remote, the security and convenience are still insufficient. Fortunately, mobile payment can change the predicament. People do not need to bring many cards because the tool of the trade is the mobile communication device that we can find easily on our person. Convenience of the trade increases greatly. The trade activity can be processed in any time, at any where, and with any people. Nevertheless, due to the congenital defect of the mobile device, it is unable to carry on the complicated security mechanism. The security problem is the key point in mobile payment system. Figure 1 shows the traditional payment model of credit card. This is the payment model of e-commerce, too. With this model, we can understand the trade activity in the past.

Although using plastic currency is very general for people nowadays, it seems that the whole procedures do not pay enough attention to the security issues. Potentially, one can pretend himself is someone else and forge a trade by using other people’s credit card number. The similar situations also happen on the network. Except for worrying about the criminal gang to record the number of the card, consumers also need to believe the merchant very much and think that the merchant will not steal the personal information of the consumer. Because we must transfer the personal credit card to the merchant to help us read the information and finish the trade procedure. Although we can use receipts to confirm whether the amount of money of consumption and goods have mistakes or not, the important private information like trade account may be recoded and collected during the step of reading the card. Hence, the evil-minded merchant can use the information, forge into consumers and consumers are unable to be aware of it.

In order to remedy these known defects, this article proposes an improved architecture and meets the following demands. First, follow the past trade habits of the consumer, but change the paying device into the mobile community device or other hand-hold community device. Secondly, strengthen privacy protection and disable the merchant to learn account information and accumulate shopping habits of the consumers. Also, the telecommunication operator (operator) is unable to know what the user bought. Last, offer the non-repudiation trade security.
2. RELATED WORKS

This section will review the related works of mobile payment system. In wireless mobile payment system the greatest challenge is the security threat. The study of Welch and Lathropped [1] divided these attacks into seven kinds as shown in Table 1. Except for unauthorized Access and Replay, others are set up on the basis of collecting and analyzing the package. Moreover, the Session High-Jacking attack could take the session instead of the user.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attack Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Analysis</td>
<td>Analyze the transmitting flow in the network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Eavesdropping</td>
<td>Collect and analyze the network package to understand its content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Eavesdropping</td>
<td>Attract the destination to sending the specific package voluntarily to collect and succeed in analyzing packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized Access</td>
<td>The abnormal authorizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man-in-the-middle</td>
<td>Steal a glance or modifies the package that destination send</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session High-Jacking</td>
<td>Get involved and replace the session of the destination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replay</td>
<td>Repeat sending the used package</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, wireless network also need to consider several important issues in the network security, such as confidentiality, authentication, authorization, integrity, non-repudiation. The best solution relies on encryption and the authentication mechanisms. Encryption mechanisms can offer the confidentiality and integrity. Authentication mechanisms can offer authentication, authorization, non-repudiation. In addition, the personal privacy of the consumer, e.g. shopping habits, is important security issues, too.

In order to apply mobile payment to the commercial trade, several trade systems considering about security issues are proposed. The research of Soliman and Omari [2] propose a dynamic encryption mechanism, the encryption key does not need regular storing in user's device, but produce the encryption key dynamically to avoid the encryption key lost or stolen. This system adopts symmetrical encryption to maintain confidentiality of consumer's trade and the encryption key exchange mechanism is also proposed to overcome the problem about losing encryption key. Later, Kungpisdan, Srinivasan, and Le propose an encryption key management mechanism [3] to improve the symmetrical encryption problem that may be lost of key if the encryption key does not change. Maybe this kind of systems can protect confidentiality, but the importance of non-repudiation is not mentioned.

In the model of the trade, non-repudiation is a very important security mechanism. All of the symmetrical encryption systems are unable to offer non-repudiation. Thus, Fourati, Ayed, Kamoun, and Bennakak propose a research that hope to take SET [4] mechanism to provide non-repudiation and personal privacy [5]. After consider the operation ability of mobile device, take the authentication mechanism of the part of SET, and combine WTLS/TLS [6] [7] to build a non-repudiation system which mixes the advantage of the two. Except for adopting the method like this, there are also systems introducing the mechanism of digital signature to improve performance. Such as the research of Herzberg, it adopts the digital signature mechanism of DSA [8] to satisfy non-repudiation.

In addition, the privacy of consumer also needs protection in the trade. The research of Sue adopts user identity (UID) to replace the cell-phone number [9] when the trade message transmitted to the merchant. Because consumers' user identity is regular, merchants probably collect customer's private information, such as habit about consumption. If the UID is not always the same, consumer's privacy can be protected. Based on the similar concept, Rubin and Wright [10] propose the variation code method in the payment system of the wired network. It utilizes random codes to prevent consumers' credit card number from spreading in the network. Combine the UID [9] and variation code methods [10] for wireless applications, the merchant probably can not accumulate consumers' shopping habit.

However, this method needs to assistance of the operator. Hence the operator has an opportunity to accumulate consumers' shopping habit. The main reason of the problem lies in the structural design. The mobile payment model is often modified by e-commerce payment model. Only the trade equipment of the front-ends is changed. No matter which payment model is adopted, the account numbers of the trade should pass to the merchant. Consumers will lose the privacy, such as shopping habits.

3. A NOVEL MOBILE PAYMENT SYSTEM

This article proposes a novel model to reduce the security risk of the credit card payment and provide privacy protection in mobile payment. The proposed system will employ mobile equipment as the front-end traded device and the trade information will not be transmitted via any merchants. Hence, the system is able to protect the security of the trade account. The structure of the system is shown as Figure 2.
3.1 Structure of Payment System

In order to exchange the trade message with consumer's mobile equipment directly, the trade structure demands some techniques to support, such as the Bluetooth interface. The interface is better an independent set to disable merchant from acquiring consumers' information, such as cell-phone number or account number. The banks in such system need to connect with the operators to deliver trade information to the users of the mobile payment system. In addition, consumers' mobile equipment needs the memory devices to store private key because this article applies consumers' private key to do digital signature to maintain non-repudiation. However, the signature algorithm is part of asymmetrical algorithms, several researches point out that the asymmetrical algorithms is more complicated than the symmetrical algorithms. Hence, we can select an asymmetrical algorithm to minimize the burden of mobile device when implementing the proposed payment system. According to the comparison [11] shown in table 2, the RSA algorithm is adopted to do digital signature.

Table 2. Digital signature perform comparison sheet[11]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Verifiable Encryption #exponentiations</th>
<th>Size (bytes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gennaro et al</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GramerShoup</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GQ</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schnorr</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ElGamal</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The payment system has 8 steps. The motion of each step and content of each message will be introduced below.

Step 1, (Item_id, Item_price, Merchant_id, Trade_id) + MAC

Consumers communicate with the trade system of the merchant and get the trade message from it via the Bluetooth interference. In order to prevent the trade information from being modified, the merchant will use hash function to product message digest, such as MD5 [12] or SHA-1 [13]. The detailed composition of message is shown in Figure 3.

In addition, we can adopt shorter private key on the mobile device to reduce the computation load and request the telecommunication operator to employ other complicated digital signature algorithm for security after confirming users because the telecommunication operator has no restriction on computation. If consumers lose the mobile device, they just need to notify the operator stopping the service of the cell-phone. The forgers will be unable to pass the second layer authentication mechanism, and obtain the digital signature of the telecommunication operator. It can protect both the banks and consumers.

3.2 Procedure of Payment System

In this section, the trading procedures of the proposed system will be introduced. Table 3 defines the code to help readers understand.

Table 3. Code contrast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>Merchant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer</td>
<td>Consumer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunication operator</td>
<td>Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank</td>
<td>Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hash function</td>
<td>Hash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item identity</td>
<td>Item_id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item price</td>
<td>Item_price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant identity</td>
<td>Merchant_id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade identity</td>
<td>Trade_id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message Authentication Code</td>
<td>MAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell-phone number</td>
<td>PhoneNum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature of Consumer</td>
<td>Sign_U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature of operator</td>
<td>Sign_O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature of the bank</td>
<td>Sign_B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature text of Consumer</td>
<td>Text_Usign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature text of Operator</td>
<td>Text_Osign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital signature text of the bank</td>
<td>Text_Bsign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hash
Figure 2. Trade procedure - Step 1

\[(\text{Item}_\text{id}, \text{Item}_\text{price}, \text{Merchant}_\text{id}, \text{Trade}_\text{id}) \rightarrow \text{MAC}\]

\[(\text{Item}_\text{id}, \text{Item}_\text{price}, \text{Merchant}_\text{id}, \text{Trade}_\text{id}) \rightarrow \text{MAC}\]

 Consumers' mobile device will receive the trade message from the trade system of the merchant. Then consumer takes out the trade identity and MAC, and signs to respond the trade. Transmit the message to the telecommunication operator by GPRS or other wireless technology. The content of message is shown Figure 4. To prevent the operator and the bank from knowing the detailed content of the items that consumers bought, only the trade identity is transmitted.

Step 3, Authenticate consumer

When the operator receives the message that consumers transmitted, the operator will do the GSM authentication method to confirm the user identity of the mobile device.

Step 4, Sign_O(Sign_U(Trade_id, MAC), PhoneNum)

\begin{align*}
\text{Text}_\text{Usign} & \quad \text{PhoneNum} \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Sign}_\text{O} & \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Text}_\text{Osign} & \\
\end{align*}

Figure 5. Trade procedure - Step 4

If consumers pass authentication, the operator will do digital signature to show the responsibility. In order to strengthen the non-repudiation, the operator can adopt more complicated digital signature algorithm. The content of the whole digital signature are shown in Figure 5. Later, transmit the message to the bank.

Step 5, Authentication and payment inside the bank

When the bank receives messages from the operator, the bank will verify operator and consumers by the digital signature of them respectively. Then, the bank confirms consumer's available amount and pays the money.

Step 6, Sign_B(Trade_id, MAC)

\begin{align*}
\text{(Trade}_\text{id}, \text{MAC}) \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Sign}_\text{B} & \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Text}_\text{Bsign} & \\
\end{align*}

Figure 6. Trade procedure - Step 6

After finishing the payment, the bank produces the receipt of the trade and adds the digital signature to show the responsibility. The content of receipt is shown in Figure 6.

Step 7, (Text _ Bsign)

This procedure only passes the receipt that is come from the bank. The operator does not need to add any digital signatures on the receipt because mobile device will need more complex computation if there are more digital signatures. In addition, the content of receipt is just trade identity, has no safety consider.

Step 8, consumers acknowledge the operator.

Step 8 is to ensure that consumers have already the receipt, but it is not the essential procedure. Such procedure can be omitted to simplify the procedure of the system and payment check can be done by the bank monthly.

Here showing some expected goals which this article tries to satisfy. First is familiarity. Although consumers have more one action, it can protect the individual privacy of consumer. Actually, the action is equivalent to the consumer signature in the past. Furthermore, such action can be simplified by software design, the consumer just need to press the next button when mobile device receives the trade message. Second is that the privacy of consumers is protected. The merchant only participates in Step 1 and Step 6 (Figure 2). These two procedures transmit the receipt and the trade message which include item identity, item price, and trade identity. The merchant are unable to know the account that consumers use for trade, and even can not accumulate shopping habit of consumers because consumers do not transmit the user identity or the cell-phone number to the merchant. As in the aspect of the operator and the bank, because consumers just transmit the trade identity in Step 2 (Figure 2), the operator and bank are unable to know what consumers buy and accumulate consumers' shopping habit. Hence, consumer's privacy of shopping habit and trade account
can be protected. Third is the non-repudiation. Three
digital signatures of the consumer, the bank, and the
operator are used in this system and represent
non-repudiation of three participants. Consumers' digital
signature makes consumers unable to deny the trade.
Digital signature of the operator makes the operator can
not deny ever passing the trade messages from
authenticated consumers to the bank. Digital signature
of the bank makes the bank cannot deny approving the
payment.

4. EVALUATION OF SYSTEM SECURITY

This section analyzes the system structure and system
procedure to evaluate the system if fit the request of
security. Evaluation will follow three aspects: bank,
merchant, and consumer.

4.1 Confidentiality

Confidentiality is usually done by encryption, but this
system does not apply the encryption algorithm. Here is
another opinion of the confidentiality in trade. Because
the message which is transmitted by consumers in the
network has important information inside, such as bank
account of consumers, trade items information. The
confidentiality protects the message not to be watched.
If the information does not be transmitted in the
network or the message transmitted in the network does
not include such important information. Then,
encryption may not be necessary, and indirectly satisfy
the confidentiality.

4.2 Integrity

Integrity is designed to protect the merchant. Because
the merchant only participates in the beginning step
1and the step 6 of the trade procedure shown in figure 2.
The merchant may be afraid that the price of the items
or the items identity will be changed. Let the merchant
add MAC which is made by hash function on the
transmission message. In after procedure among
transmission, the MAC needs to be transmitted without
changed until the message transmitted back to the place
of merchant.

4.3 Authentication

The Authentication has difference opinion because of
the participant. From the view of the consumers,
consumers add digital signature on the transmitted
message to the bank, in order to confirm that no other
people can start the trade request without consumer's
permission. According to the digital signature added by
the bank consumers can also authentication the bank.
From the view of the bank, confirm the trade is
authorized by consumers using the digital signing of
consumers. From the view of the merchant, because the
received receipt has digital signature of bank, the
merchant can confirm the bank had already paid the
amount.

4.4 Non-Repudiation

The non-repudiation also finishes through the
mechanism of digital signature. By digital signature,
consumers can't deny the trade, the operator can't deny
authentication consumers and pass trade request made
by consumers. The bank can't deny finishing consumers’
payment. The trade is invalid unless there is assurance
of digital signature.

4.5 Privacy

The protection of privacy is the key of this article.
About trade account which includes the credit card
number and bank account, the merchant has no way to
receive trade account because trade message is
transmitted by consumers. In addition, the consumer is
authenticated by using digital signature, and trade
account of consumers is never transmitted in the
network. About shopping habit, the transmitted message
in the network only has the trade identity and MAC, the
bank and operator only know the identity without
meaning. Therefore, the system can protect privacy of
consumers.

5. CONCLUSION

The proposed system in this article puts emphasis on
three parts, familiarity, privacy, and non-repudiation.
First, the trade behavior does not change very much.
Instead of using credit card, consumers use the mobile
device with them to do trade activity. Hence, consumer
will just perceive the change of trade device. The
mobile device, e.g. handset, is familiar to consumers.
Secondly, consumer's privacy can also be protected. The
merchant, operator, and bank can't accumulate shopping
habit of consumers. Third, the proposed system using
digital signature to protected the trade non-repudiation.
Table 4 shows the comparison of relevant payment
system research in the past.

Table 4. Systematic comparison of payment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Encryption system</th>
<th>Digital signature system</th>
<th>Account protected system</th>
<th>The proposed system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidentiality</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-repudiation</td>
<td>× ◎ ◎</td>
<td>× × ◎</td>
<td>× ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account protect</td>
<td>× × ◎</td>
<td>× × ◎</td>
<td>× ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade privacy</td>
<td>× × ◎</td>
<td>× × ◎</td>
<td>× ◎ ◎</td>
<td>◎ ◎ ◎</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(◎ : Offer, ×: Does not offer)

The proposed mobile payment system satisfies not only
the payment security and non-repudiation requirement
in the traditional electronic trade but also the protection
of consumer's privacy which is paid attention gradually
now. In this system, the merchant, operator, and bank
can't accumulate shopping habit of consumers.

Perhaps someone will query that this model needs consumers to transmit trade message to the operator and this step will increase the transmission burden of the network. In fact, under the primitive transmission structure, consumers must transmit the trade message to the merchant. In our design, the message is just transmitted to operator instead. Hence, the change will not increase the transmission burden of the network.
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