Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

ACIS 2010 Proceedings

Australasian (ACIS)

2010

Assessing the Quality and Knowledge Contribution of MIS Quarterly: A Citation Analysis

Christy M.K. Cheung Hong Kong Baptist University, ccheung@hkbu.edu.hk

Bo Xiao Hong Kong Baptist University, boxiao@comp.hkbu.edu.hk

Dimple R. Thadani *City University of Hong Kong,* dimplet@student.cityu.edu.hk

Follow this and additional works at: http://aisel.aisnet.org/acis2010

Recommended Citation

Cheung, Christy M.K.; Xiao, Bo; and Thadani, Dimple R., "Assessing the Quality and Knowledge Contribution of MIS Quarterly: A Citation Analysis" (2010). ACIS 2010 Proceedings. 3. http://aisel.aisnet.org/acis2010/3

This material is brought to you by the Australasian (ACIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in ACIS 2010 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Assessing the Quality and Knowledge Contribution of MIS Quarterly: A Citation Analysis

Christy M.K. Cheung Department of Finance and Decision Sciences Hong Kong Baptist University Email: <u>ccheung@hkbu.edu.hk</u>

> Bo Xiao Department of Computer Science (Information Systems) Hong Kong Baptist University Email: <u>boxiao@comp.hkbu.edu.hk</u>

Dimple R. Thadani Department of Information Systems City University of Hong Kong Email: <u>dimplet@student.cityu.edu.hk</u>

Abstract

This paper employs citation analysis to investigate empirically the influence of MIS Quarterly on both IS and other literature. Specifically, we examine the impact of source article category, method type, and research area on article citation rates. Our results reveal that the citation-based quality indices of MISQ have been improving over the years. In addition, among the six categories of MISQ source articles, the methodological articles on average receive the most citings per article. Moreover, of the source articles employing different research methods, surveys, case studies, and lab experiments are more likely to be cited. Among source articles addressing different research areas, those focusing on IT and individuals receive the most citings per article. Finally, our analysis also shows that MISQ articles are well-cited by researchers from both IS and other disciplines, implying that IS does make knowledge contribution to other disciplines.

Keywords

Citation analysis, IS research, research area, research method, MIS Quarterly.

INTRODUCTION

Citation analysis has been widely used to empirically evaluate the research contributions of articles, journals, institutions, and individuals. This objective analytical approach heightens our understanding of how knowledge exchanges within the field and between the field and other disciplines. Specifically, we can gain an insight of which types of journal articles within IS are most likely to be cited, and from where IS journal articles are most likely to be cited.

In this study, we use citation analysis to assess the knowledge contribution made by one of our top-ranked IS journals, MIS Quarterly (MISQ), to both IS and other disciplines. Though a number of researchers (Katerattanakul and Han 2003; Katerattanakul and Hong 2003; Loebbecke, Berthod, and Huyskens 2007) have assessed the influence of our research publications with this technique, most prior research has contributed little to our understanding of why certain articles are more or less likely to be cited by other articles. Hoffman and Holbrook (1993) argued that the method type and subject area of research articles reflect the research orientation of the author and the intellectual structure of the discipline. Thus, we will empirically examine the impact of the method type and research articles on subsequent citations of the articles. In addition to these two characteristics of research articles, we will also investigate the relationship between the nature of publication in MISQ (article category) and its frequency of citations made by scholars both in IS and other disciplines.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The next section presents the research methodology (how we collect and analyze the data). We then report the findings and discuss the research patterns. Finally, we conclude the paper with limitations and directions for future research.

METHODOLOGY

Sample

MISQ was selected for study because it is the most prestigious and important journal in the IS discipline. Evidence from the Social Science Citation Index Journal Citation Reports indicates that the ranking of MISQ (in terms of the impact factor) has been consistently high (Loebbecke et al. 2007). In this study, we included all 242 articles (source articles) published in MISQ from 1999 to 2006 in the sample. We also compiled a list of citations made to each of the source articles by other articles published during 2001 and 2008. A two-year lag time between publication of the source articles and their citations was used to ensure a reasonable citation history for analysis. The citation data were collected from the Social Science Citation Index (SCI) and the Science Citations are made to those 242 source articles published in 349 different journals.

Procedure

One of the major objectives of this study is to assess the quality and knowledge contributions of MISQ. Based on the collected citation data, we have derived six citation-based indices of journal quality (in accordance with Garfield 1979): Citations per article, un-cited ratio, 20+ citations, self- citations, annual mean citation rate per article, and current article impact.

Another important objective of this study is to examine the impact of the article category, method type, and research area on subsequent citations of the source articles. For each source article, two of the authors independently classified the article into pertinent article category (including editorial, theory, issues and opinion, methodology, meta-analysis and review, empirical study), method type (including positivist, interpretive, survey, lab experiment, field experiment, protocol analysis, archival studies, instrument development, case study, grounded theory, ethnography, action research, mixed method, and others) and research area (including IT and individuals, IT and groups, IT and organizations, IT and markets, and IS development). The coding scheme was developed based on the original MISQ manuscript category and prior literature (e.g., Sidorova et al. 2008). Over 95% of the classifications were found consistent between the two authors. For those inconsistent records, the two authors revisited the source articles and resolved the inconsistencies together. For the 349 citing journals, we modified the journal categories provided in SSCI and SCI and grouped the citing journals into eight broad categories (See Table 4 in the Results section).

RESULTS

Relative Quality of Source Articles

Table 1 summarizes the citation data collected. In addition to total number of citations made to the source articles, we have also recorded the number of source articles published in each year that never receive any citation and the number of source articles that are cited at least 20 times during 2001-2008. Moreover, we collected the number of self-citations for the source articles published in each year.

Collocted Data			Sourc	e Articl	e Public	cation Y	ear		
Conecteu Data	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Total
Citations made to MISQ articles published in each year (Cited counts)	1043	1062	863	457	1076	530	528	266	5825
Number of MISQ articles, published in each year, that had never been cited	4	1	2	3	2	4	3	3	22
Number of MISQ articles, published in each year, that had received at least 20 citations	19	18	15	13	20	13	16	6	120
Number of self-citations coded for MISQ articles published in each year	28	27	17	18	24	26	31	43	214
Number of years since publication (until year 2008)	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	

Table 1. Citation Data Collected

We derived a set of citation-based quality indices (see Table 2) based on the data in Table 1. As illustrated in Table 2, *Citations Per Article* are highest in 2003 and lowest in 2006, showing that the number of citations reaches its peak in approximately 5-7 years after the publication year and then starts declining (Katerattanakul & Hong, 2003). On average, each source article receives 24.07 citations, much more than the 13.1 *Citations Per Article* reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) in their citation analysis of MISQ articles published between

2

25.00

12.50

1989 and 1998. The index is also higher than the 13.3 *Citations Per Article* for Journal of Consumer Research (JCR)(Cote, Leong, & Cote, 1991). Only 9.09% of the source articles are never cited. This *Un-Cited Ratio* is much less than the 45% found in physical science (Begley, 1991) but is higher than the 6% reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) and the 4.5% for JCR (Cote, et al., 1991). On the other hand, approximately half of the source articles are cited at least 20 times. Thus, the 20+ *Citations* index is higher than the 21.9% reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) and the 19.8% for JCR (Cote, et al., 1991). The *Self-Citation Index* for the source articles is 3.67 of all citations. This percentage is lower than the 7.8% reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) and the 7.4% found for JCR (Cote, et al., 1991). The *Annual Mean Citation Rate Per Article* for the source articles is 4.77 citations per year. This result compares favorably to the 2.0 reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) and the 1.7 citations per year for JCR (Cote, et al., 1991). Finally, the *Current Article Impact* 2.52 for source articles (see Table 2) is higher than the 1.1 index reported by Katerattanakul and Hong (2003) and the 2.07 for JCR (Cote, et al., 1991).

Citation-Based Index			Sou	irce Arti	cle Publi	cation Y	ear		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Mean
Citations per article	31.61	35.40	41.10	21.76	41.38	18.28	15.09	5.66	24.07
Un-cited ratio (percentage)	12.12	3.33	9.52	14.29	7.69	13.79	8.57	6.38	9.09
20+ citations (percentage)	57.58	60.00	71.43	61.90	76.92	44.83	45.71	12.77	49.59
Self-citations (percentage)	2.68	2.54	1.97	3.94	2.23	4.91	5.87	16.17	3.67
Annual mean citation rate _per article	3.51	4.43	5.87	3.63	8.28	4.57	5.03	2.83	4.77
			Cita	tion Art	icle Publ	ication Y	ear		
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
Current article impact	1.25	1.78	1.95	2.06	3.38	3.14	3.71	2.85	2.52

Table 2. Citation-Based Quality Indices

Table 3. Summarv	of Citations by	v Source Article	Category.	Method Type.	and Research Area
rabic 5. Summary	of Citations D	y Source Article	category,	memou rype,	and Research Area

Source Article	Citatio	on Inform	ation	Source Article	Citation Information			
Category	# of Source Article	# of Citing	Citings Per Article	Method Type	# of Source Article	# of Citing	Citings Per Article	
Editorial	43	137.00	3.19	Positivist	109	3505.00	32.16	
Theory	14	251.00	17.93	Interpretive	34	571.00	16.79	
Issues and Opinion	20	284.00	14.20					
Methodology	5	310.00	62.00	Survey	49	2252.00	45.96	
Meta-Analysis & Review	17	768.00	45.18	Lab experiment	22	370.00	16.82	
Empirical	143	4076.00	28.50	Field experiment	2	110.00	55.00	
Total	242	5825.00	24.07	Protocol analysis	1	26.00	26.00	
				Archival studies	11	270.00	24.55	
Source Article	Citati	on Inform	ation	Instrument development	2	38.00	19.00	
Research Area ¹	# of Source Article	# of Citing	Citings Per Article	Case study	29	596.00	20.55	
IT and Individuals	52	2129	40.94	Grounded theory	7	153.00	21.86	
IT and Groups	19	403	21.21	Ethnography	1	17.00	17.00	
IT and Organizations	57	1312	23.02	Action research	6	45.00	7.50	
IT and Markets	21	419	19.95	Mixed	11	174.00	15.82	

Others

¹ Some source articles may fall in two or more research areas.

103

10.30

10

IS Development

Effects of Source Article Characteristics on Citation Patterns

Table 3 summarizes the number of different categories of source articles published in MISQ each year during 1999-2006. As illustrated by the table, empirical research represents 59.1% (calculated by 143/242) of the source articles included in this citation analysis. Approximately 3/4 of the empirical studies are carried out in positivist fashion, suggesting that, despite the increasing recognition of the value of interpretive research and acceptance of such articles for publication, positivist research still dominates published MISQ articles. Surveys represent a little over 1/3 of the empirical research source articles, followed by case studies (about 20%) and laboratory experiments (about 15%). Of the 143 empirical source articles that have an identifiable research area, 39.9% of them focus on IT and organizations whereas 36.4% address how individuals interact with IT.

Table 3 also illustrates the *number of citations* and the *Citings Per Article* index for source articles of different categories, employing different research methods, and focusing on different research areas. Among the six categories of MISQ source articles, methodological articles on average receive the most *Citings Per Article* (62), followed by meta-analyses and reviews (45.18) and empirical articles (28.5). In terms of source article research method, positivist research articles receive an average of 32.16 *Citings Per Article*, almost two times the citings receive by an interpretive article on average (16.79). More specifically, field experiments receive the most *Citings Per Article* (55), followed by surveys (45.96), whereas action research receive the fewest *Citings Per Article* (7.5). Finally, among source articles addressing different research areas, those focusing on IT and individuals receive the most *Citings Per Article* (40.94) whereas those focusing on IS development receive the fewest (10.3).

Effects of Source Article Characteristics on Citing Journal Categories

IS research has traditionally borrowed ideas from reference disciplines such as computer science, management science, and organization science. Now that IS has established itself as a legitimate academic discipline, it is interesting and important for IS researchers to understand the knowledge contribution of IS to other disciplines. If IS research influences other disciplines, articles published in IS academic journals should be cited by articles published in other disciplines' journals.

As described in the Methodology section, we compiled a list of the citations made to each of the source articles and categorized the citing journals into eight categories, as shown in Table 4. Although the highest proportion (68.45%) of the citations made to the source articles is made within the IS discipline itself (indicated by citing journals in the IS and IS/IT Management category), more than 30% of the citations are attributed to other areas of study, an indication that IS does make knowledge contribution to other disciplines.

To better understand the effects of source article method type and research area on citing patterns, we conducted a series of cross-tab analyses, the results of which are presented in Table 5, Table 6, and Table 7.

As illustrated in Table 5 and Table 6, IS and IS/IT Management journals dominate citations made to the source articles across different research method types. For the three method types that have received the greatest number of citations (i.e., surveys, case studies, and lab experiments), the highest proportion of the citations is made by articles published in journals categorized as IS and IS/IT Management, followed usually by those published in journals categorized as General Business and Management. On the other hand, for the three journal categories that contribute the most citations to the source articles (i.e., IS and IS/IT Management, General Business and Management, and Management Science and Operations Research), most of the citations are made to research employing surveys, case studies, lab experiments, and archival studies.

Similar distribution of citations is also observed in Table 7. Across different research areas, the highest proportion of the citations made to the source articles is made by articles published in journals categorized as IS and IS/IT Management, General Business and Management, and Management Science and Operations Research . For journals in the category of IS and IS/IT Management or General Business and Management, most of the citations made to the source articles are attributed to the research area IT and Individuals, followed by the research area IT and Organizations; the order is reversed for Management Science and Operations Research journals.

CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we have employed citation analysis to assess the quality and knowledge contribution of the most prestigious journal in IS, MISQ. This study improves prior citation analysis practices in IS by offering empirical insights into the importance of different article categories, method types, and subject areas in supporting the goal of greater knowledge exchange both within and outside of the IS discipline. Our results suggest that, not only have the citation-based quality indices of MISQ been improving over the years, the quality of MISQ is also

Category of Citing Journals	Number of Journals	Number of Citings	% of Citings
Computer Science and Engineering	38	316	5.42
General Business and Management	72	602	10.33
Management Science and Operations Research	14	401	6.88
IS and IS/IT Management	68	3987	68.45
Other Sciences and Engineering	24	45	0.77
Psychology and Sociology	61	282	4.84
Finance and Economics	18	23	0.39
Others	54	169	2.90
Total	349	5825	100

Table 4. Summary of Citing Journal

Table 5. Cross-Tab Analysis of Source Article Method Type by Citing Journal Category – Part I

		Citing Journal Category								
Source Arti	cle Method Type	Computer Science and Engineering	Finance & Economics	General Business and Management	IS and IS/IT Management	Management Science and Operations Research	Other Sciences and Engineering	Others	Psychology and Sociology	Total
	Count	16	0	62	413	33	3	13	31	571
Interpretive	% within MT	2.8%	0.0%	10.9%	72.3%	5.8%	0.5%	2.3%	5.4%	100.0%
	% within CJC	7.2%	0.0%	14.9%	15.0%	11.1%	12.0%	9.6%	14.7%	14.0%
	Count	205	18	354	2,341	263	22	122	180	3,505
Positivist	% within MT	5.8%	0.5%	10.1%	66.8%	7.5%	0.6%	3.5%	5.1%	100.0%
	% within CJC	92.8%	100.0%	85.1%	85.0%	88.9%	88.0%	90.4%	85.3%	86.0%
	Count	221	18	416	2,754	296	25	135	211	4,076
Total	% within MT	5.4%	0.4%	10.3%	68.4%	6.9%	0.8%	2.9%	4.8%	100.0%
	% within CJC	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

21st Australasian Conference on Information Systems 1-3 Dec 2010, Brisbane

					Citing Journ	al Category				
Source Arti	icle Method Type	Computer Science and Engineering	Finance and Economics	General Business and Management	General Susiness and Ianagement Management Management Other Management Coperations Research Research			Others	Psychology and Sociology	Total
	Count	1	0	7	31	1	0	1	4	45
Action Research	% within MT	2.2%	0.0%	15.6%	68.9%	2.2%	0.0%	2.2%	8.9%	100.0%
Kesearch	% within CJC	0.5%	0.0%	1.7%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%	1.1%
	Count	4	3	45	168	42	1	6	1	270
Archival Studies	% within MT	1.5%	1.1%	16.7%	62.2%	15.6%	0.4%	2.2%	0.4%	100.0%
Source Article Action Research Archival Studies Co Case Studies % Co Case Studies	% within CJC	1.8%	16.7%	10.8%	6.1%	14.2%	4.0%	4.4%	0.5%	6.6%
	Count	10	0	52	459	40	4	8	23	596
Case Studies	% within MT	1.7%	0.0%	8.7%	77.0%	6.7%	0.7%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%
	% within CJC	4.5%	0.0%	12.5%	16.7%	13.5%	16.0%	5.9%	10.9%	14.6%
	Count	1	0	1	11	0	0	1	3	17
Ethnography	% within MT	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	64.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	17.6%	100.0%
	% within CJC	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	0.4%

Table 6 Cross Tab Analysis of Source	Article Method Type by	Citing Iournal Catagory	Dont II
Table 0. Cross-rab Analysis of Source	: Article Method Type by	Citing Journal Category -	- rart II

					Citing Journ	al Category				
Source Arti	cle Method Type	Computer Science and Engineering	Finance and Economics	General Business and Management	IS and IS/IT Management	Managemen Science and Operations Research	t Other Sciences and Engineering	Others	Psychology and Sociology	Total
	Count	3	8	3	84	10	0	1	1	110
Field Experiment	% within MT	2.7%	7.3%	2.7%	76.4%	9.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	100.0%
Experiment	% within CJC	1.4%	44.4%	0.7%	3.1%	3.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.5%	2.7%
a 11	Count	5	0	26	96	14	0	5	7	153
Grounded Theory	% within MT	3.3%	0.0%	17.0%	62.7%	9.2%	0.0%	3.3%	4.6%	100.0%
Theory	% within CJC	2.3%	0.0%	6.3%	3.5%	Management Other Science and Operations Research Other Sciences and Engineering Others 84 10 0 1 5.4% 9.1% 0.0% 0.9% .1% 3.4% 0.0% 0.7% 96 14 0 5 2.7% 9.2% 0.0% 3.3% .5% 4.7% 0.0% 3.7% 22 0 1 3 7.9% 0.0% 2.6% 7.9% .8% 0.0% 4.0% 2.2% 245 9 2 25 5.2% 2.4% 0.5% 6.8% .9% 3.0% 8.0% 18.5%	3.3%	3.8%		
	Count	4	0	6	22	0	1	3	2	38
Instrument Development	% within MT	10.5%	0.0%	15.8%	57.9%	0.0%	2.6%	7.9%	5.3%	100.0%
Development	% within CJC	1.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	4.0%	2.2%	0.9%	0.9%
	Count	33	1	32	245	9	2	25	23	370
Lab % Experiment %	% within MT	8.9%	0.3%	8.6%	66.2%	2.4%	0.5%	6.8%	6.2%	100.0%
	% within CJC	14.9%	5.6%	7.7%	8.9%	3.0%	8.0%	18.5%	10.9%	9.1%

					Citing Journ	nal Category				
Source A	rticle Method Type	Computer Science and Engineering	Finance and Economics	General Business and Management	IS and IS/IT Management	Management Science and Operations Research	^t Other Sciences and Engineering	Others	Psychology and Sociology	Total
	Count	9	0	19	111	10	0	9	16	174
Mixed	% within MT	5.2%	0.0%	10.9%	63.8%	5.7%	0.0%	5.2%	9.2%	100.0%
	% within CJC	4.1%	0.0%	4.6%	4.0%	3.4%	0.0%	6.7%	7.6%	4.3%
	Count	1	1	2	16	5	0	0	0	25
Others	% within MT	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	64.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within CJC	0.5%	5.6%	0.5%	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
	Count	1	0	1	21	0	0	1	2	26
Protocol A palvois	% within MT	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%	80.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	100.0%
7 1111 3 1 3	% within CJC	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
	Count	149	5	222	1,490	165	17	75	129	2,252
Survey	% within MT	6.6%	0.2%	9.9%	66.2%	7.3%	0.8%	3.3%	5.7%	100.0%
	% within CJC	67.4%	27.8%	53.4%	54.1%	55.7%	68.0%	55.6%	61.1%	55.3%
	Count	221	18	416	2,754	296	25	135	211	4,076
Total	% within MT	5.4%	0.4%	10.2%	67.6%	7.3%	0.6%	3.3%	5.2%	100.0%
	% within CJC	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

21st Australasian Conference on Information Systems 1-3 Dec 2010, Brisbane

					Citing Journal	l Category				
Source Artic	ele Research Area	Computer Science and Engineering	Finance and Economics	General Business and Management	IS and IS/IT Management	Management Science and Operations Research	Other Sciences and Engineering	Others	Psychology and Sociology	Total
	Count	17	0	45	264	10	0	21	46	403
IT and Groups	% within RA	4.2%	0.0%	11.2%	65.5%	2.5%	0.0%	5.2%	11.4%	100.0%
Groups	% within CJC	7.3%	0.0%	9.8%	9.0%	3.1%	0.0%	15.0%	20.0%	9.2%
-	Count	165	4	189	1,437	91	18	92	133	2,129
IT and Individuals	% within RA	7.8%	0.2%	8.9%	67.5%	4.3%	0.8%	4.3%	6.2%	100.0%
murriduals	% within CJC	70.8%	22.2%	41.2%	49.0%	27.9%	69.2%	65.7%	57.8%	48.8%
-	Count	4	0	6	85	2	0	1	5	103
IS Development	% within RA	3.9%	0.0%	5.8%	82.5%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Development	% within CJC	1.7%	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%	2.4%
-	Count	14	9	33	287	61	1	6	8	419
IT and Markets	% within RA	3.3%	2.1%	7.9%	68.5%	14.6%	0.2%	1.4%	1.9%	100.0%
Markets	% within CJC	6.0%	50.0%	7.2%	9.8%	18.7%	3.8%	4.3%	3.5%	9.6%
-	Count	33	5	186	861	162	7	20	38	1,312
IT and Organizations	% within RA	2.5%	0.4%	14.2%	65.6%	12.3%	0.5%	1.5%	2.9%	100.0%
Organizations	% within CJC	14.2%	27.8%	40.5%	29.3%	49.7%	26.9%	14.3%	16.5%	30.1%
C Total %	Count	233	18	459	2,934	326	26	140	230	4,366
	% within RA	5.3%	0.4%	10.5%	67.2%	7.5%	0.6%	3.2%	5.3%	100.0%
	% within CJC	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

T 11 F	с ті		n	(* 1 D			0.11	т 1	A 4
I able /.	Cross-1ab	Analysis of	Source A	rticie k	esearcn A	Area by	Citing .	Iournai	Category

Notation: RA – Research Area, CJC – Citing Journal's Category

comparable to or better than that of the premium journals in reference disciplines. Our results also suggest that, compared to other article categories, the methodological articles on average receive more citations per article. Whereas source articles employing surveys, case studies, and lab experiments are more likely to be cited, those focusing on IT and individuals receive the most citations per article. Finally, despite the fact that knowledge contributions of MISQ are made mostly to the IS discipline and to other closely related disciplines (i.e., Management Science and Operational Research), more than 30% of the citations made to MISQ target articles are from the articles published in other disciplines, strongly indicating that IS research and MISQ do indeed influence or contribute to other disciplines. Despite this encouraging statistic, more effort can be made by IS researchers (e.g., investigating topics and employing methodologies of interest to scholars of other disciplines) so as to enhance the knowledge transfer from IS to other disciplines.

Citation analysis has become a well-established procedure for examining knowledge exchange (Garfield 1979). However, despite its extensive use, citation analysis is not without its drawbacks. First, the number of citations made to a specific article could be affected by the number of researchers working in the areas related to that article. Second, IS researchers also publish in journals from other disciplines, which makes it hard to assess MISQ's knowledge contribution in an unambiguous way. Third, the SSCI and SCI databases have the most extensive citation coverage available, but it is by no means complete. The exclusion of some journals from the two databases results in an underrepresentation of the contribution of some of the source articles.

This study can be extended in several ways. Future research could consider other years of MISQ articles, or examine other major academic journals in the IS field, which will shed light on the maturity of the IS discipline. Future research could also consider comparing the quality indices of top IS journals with those of leading journals of other disciplines. Finally, future research could investigate the extent to which articles published in other disciplines and citing IS publications are written by non-IS scholars, so as to allow a more accurate assessment of the influence of IS research on cutting-edge studies in other disciplines.

REFERENCES

Begley, S. 1991."Gridlock in the Labs." Newsweek, January 14

Cote, J. A., Leong, S. M., & Cote, J. 1991. "Assessing the Influence of Journal of Consumer Research: A Citation Analysis.," *Journal of Consumer Research*, (32:2), pp. 402-410.

Garfield E. 1979. "How Can We Prove the Value of Basic Research?" Current Contents (40), pp. 5-9.

- Hoffman, D.L. and Morris B. H. 1993. "The Intellectual Structure of Consumer Research: A Bibliometric Study of Author Cocitations in the First 15 Years of the Journal of Consumer Research," *Journal of Consumer Research, (19),* pp. 505-517.
- Katerattanakul, P. and Han, B. 2003. "Are European IS Journals under-rated?: An answer based on citation analysis" *European Journal of Information Systems* (12), pp. 60-71.
- Katerattanakul, P., and Hong, S. 2003. "Quality and Knowledge contributions of MISQ: A Citation Analysis," *Communication of AIS (11:15)*, pp 1-30.
- Loebbecke, C. Berthod, O., and Huyskens, C. 2007. "Research Importance in the Information Systems Field: Citation Analysis," *Twenty Eighth International Conference on Information System, December*, pp. 1-15.
- Sidorova, A., Evangelopoulos, N., and Ramakrishnan, T. 2008. "Uncovering the Intellectual core of the Information Systems Discipline", *MIS Quarterly*, (32:3), pp. 467-482.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge with gratitude the generous support of Hong Kong Baptist University for the project (FRG2/09-10/038) without which the timely production of the current report/publication would not have been feasible.

COPYRIGHT

[Christy M.K. Cheung, Bo Xiao, and Dimple R. Thadani] © 2010. The authors assign to ACIS and educational and non-profit institutions a non-exclusive licence to use this document for personal use and in courses of instruction provided that the article is used in full and this copyright statement is reproduced. The authors also grant a non-exclusive licence to ACIS to publish this document in full in the Conference Papers and Proceedings. Those documents may be published on the World Wide Web, CD-ROM, in printed form, and on mirror sites on the World Wide Web. Any other usage is prohibited without the express permission of the authors.