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Transportation imposes considerable cost on goods and has a significant influence on competitive advantage of a company. How to reduce the costs and improve the profit of a company is an important issue. Vehicle routing is a critical factor in reducing transportation costs. Finding optimal vehicle routes offers great potential to efficiently manage fleets, reduce costs and improve service quality. An effective scheme to manage fleets and determine vehicle routes for delivering goods is important for carriers to survive. In the existing literature, a variety of vehicle routing problems (VRP) have been studied. However, most papers do not integrate with GIS. In this paper, we consider a variant of VRP called Vehicle Routing Problem with Arbitrary Pickup and Delivery points (VRPAPD). The goal of this paper is to develop an algorithm for VRPAPD based on Google Maps API. To achieve this goal, we propose an operation model and formulate an optimization problem. In our problem formulation, we consider a set of goods to be picked up and delivered. Each goods has a source address and a destination address. The vehicles to transport the goods have associated capacities, including the maximal weight a vehicle can be carried and the maximal distance a vehicle can travel. The problem is to minimize the routes for picking up and delivering goods. The emerging Google Maps API provides a convenient package to develop an effective vehicle routing system. In this paper, we develop a vehicle routing algorithm by combining a discrete particle swarm optimization (DPSO) method with Google Maps API. We illustrate the effectiveness of our algorithm by an example.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Transportation imposes considerable costs on goods and has a significant influence on competitive advantage of a company. How to reduce the costs and improve the profit of a company is an important issue. Finding optimal vehicle routes offers great potential to efficiently manage fleets, reduce costs and improve service quality. An effective scheme to manage fleets and determine vehicle routes for delivering goods is important for carriers to survive. Development of a decision support system becomes an important issue for carriers and logistics companies. Development of an effective decision support system for vehicle routing relies on a seamless integration between the routing algorithms and an appropriate GIS system. However, most GIS-based systems have a drawback in availability, up-to-date cartography and high price. Google Maps API may overcome those limitations by providing a world wide access to cartography and to road_street network structures. In addition, a lot of important real data associated with roads and traffic restrictions such as one-way streets, prohibited left and U-turns, etc., is also provided. The capabilities provided by the Internet and Google Maps API open a promising avenue for developing web based decision support system for routing optimization problems. How to develop an effective vehicle routing algorithm and combine it with Google Maps API to seamless integrate with real GIS is an important issue.

In the existing literature, a variety of vehicle routing problems (VRP) have been studied. The classical vehicle routing problem (VRP) can be defined as the determination of an optimal set of routes for a fleet of vehicles to serve a set of customers [1]. The VRP is NP-hard, therefore, heuristic algorithms are required for tackling real-life instances [2]. The simplest and fastest methods are simple constructive heuristics like the classics proposed by Clarke and Wright, Mole and Jameson, and Gillett and Miller; see Laporte et al. [3] for a recent survey extended to tabu search algorithms, and Christofides et al. [4], for an earlier review. Metaheuristics provide much better solutions, especially on large-scale instances. Two excellent surveys for this very active research area are provided by Gendreau et al. [5] and by Golden et al. [6]. They show that the best metaheuristics for the VRP are powerful tabu search (TS) algorithms that easily outperform other metaheuristics like simulated annealing (SA), genetic algorithms (GA) and ant algorithms.

Several variants of VRP have been studied. The vehicle routing problem with backhauls (VRPB) is an extension of VRP. In the VRPB a set of capacitated vehicles are to be routed from a central depot to deliver and pickup goods at different customer locations [7]. For the linehauls, goods are loaded onto a vehicle at the depot and are dropped at the delivery points. Then, for the backhauls, new goods are collected at the pickup points and brought back to the depot. From a practical consideration, since it is often inconvenient to rearrange the delivery loads onboard to accommodate new pickup loads, the backhauls must necessarily be serviced after the linehauls in each route. The objective of VRPB is to minimize the number of vehicles and/or the total distance to service all customers, without violating the capacity and the maximum route time constraints of each vehicle. When all customers are linehauls (or backhauls), this problem reduces to the classical VRP. Gendreau et al. [8] applied a special insertion procedure that includes a local re-optimization of the route after the insertion of each customer. Goetschalckx and Jacobs-Blecha [9] used the space-filling curve heuristic to obtain an initial solution to the problem which is then improved using a number of local search heuristics. Exact algorithms for the VRPB are also proposed in [10, 11].

In [12], a variant of the VRPB called the vehicle routing problem with backhauls and time windows (VRPB-TW) was studied.
In VRPBTW, each customer must be serviced within a specified time interval. In [13], it is shown that finding a feasible solution to the traveling salesman problem with time windows (TSPTW) is NP complete. Therefore, finding a feasible solution to the VRPBTW with a fixed number of vehicles is also NP-complete, since this problem is even more complex than the TSPTW. Another variant of the VRP is the vehicle routing problem with simultaneous pickup and delivery (VRPSPD) [14, 15].

In the VRPSPD, the vehicles are not only required to deliver goods to the customer, but also pickup goods at customer locations. A general assumption in the VRPSPD is that all delivered goods must be originated from the depot and all pickup goods must be transported back to the depot. Delivery and pickup goods must be met simultaneously when each customer is visited only once by a vehicle and unloading is carried out before loading at the customers. The VRPSPD is an NP-hard problem [19], because it can be considered as the VRP, which is well-known NP-hard problem, when only delivery goods or pickup goods are considered.

The goal of this paper is to develop a solution algorithm for a class of VRP based on Google Maps API. In this paper, we consider a variant of VRP called Vehicle Routing Problem with Arbitrary Pickup and Delivery points (VRPAPD). The class of VRPAPD we study is more general than VRPSPD. In VRPAPD, we consider a set of goods to be picked up and delivered. Each vehicle that transports the goods has its associated capacity, including the maximal weight a vehicle can be carried and the maximal distance a vehicle can travel. Each goods has a source address and a destination address. The goods to be delivered may not be located in the depot originally. The destination of goods is a node other than the depot. Therefore, the above assumption is different from VRPSPD. To achieve this goal, we formulate an optimization problem for this class of VRP and propose a solution algorithm for it.

Our solution method consists of four parts: (1) computation of distance matrix for the transportation network, (2) representation of vehicle routes, (3) an algorithm to evaluate a solution and (4) a mechanism to evolve a solution. The first part is developed based on Google Maps API to compute the distance matrix for the transportation network. For the second part, we adopt the concept of permutation encoding to represent a solution. For each permutation, there is a corresponding giant tour, which is defined as the sequence of nodes that starts and ends with a depot. For the third part, to evaluate the performance of a solution (giant tour), we adopt the Split procedure proposed in [16]. For the fourth part, we adopt the discrete particle swarm optimization (DPSO) method to evolve and optimize the solution. We implement a system to support the decision of pickup and delivery routes. Our system generates the pickup and delivery routes based on the output of our algorithm to support the operations of carriers. By comparing the performance of our algorithm with that of VRPSPD, we demonstrate that our algorithm outperforms the existing algorithm.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the problem and propose our problem formulation. In Section 3, we present solution algorithms. In Section 4, we conduct experiments based on numerical examples. We conclude this paper in Section 5.

PROBLEM FORMULATION

To reduce transportation costs and improve profit, one way is to optimize vehicle routes. A mathematical model needs to be proposed to develop an algorithm for solving the optimization problem. In this section, we first define the notations required to formulate the optimization problem. Then we propose a solution methodology for solving it.

The vehicle routing problem considered in this paper is defined on a complete undirected network \( G(N, E) \) with a node set \( N \) and an edge set \( E \). Let \( N = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). Node 0 is a depot with \( h \) identical vehicles of capacity \( Q \). Each other node in \( N \setminus \{0\} \) may either represent a source node, a destination node or both depending on the goods to be delivered. The distance from node \( i \) to node \( j \) each is represented by \( c_{ij} \). The distance between all pair of nodes is described by a distance matrix \( C \) . Let \( M = \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \) denote the set of all goods to be delivered. Each goods \( m \in M \) placed by a customer has a source node and a destination node. Each goods \( m \in M \) is described by several attributes, including \( v_m \) : the size of the goods, \( w_m \) : the weight of the goods, the source node of the goods, \( cs_m \), and the destination node of the goods, \( cd_m \).

Let \( \Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K\} \) be the set of all routes for delivering goods. Let \( \ell(\pi_k) \) be the cost for delivering goods along the route \( \pi_k \). The total cost for traveling the set of all routes \( \Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K\} \) to deliver goods is \( f = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \ell(\pi_k) \).

The problem is to find a set of routes such that each route starts and ends at the depot, each customer is visited exactly once by one vehicle, the total vehicle load in any arc does not exceed the capacity of the vehicle and the total profit is maximized.

Several constraints need to be satisfied:

1. Each route \( \pi_k \in \Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K\} \) starts and ends at the depot.
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(2) Each source node $cs_m$ and destination node $cd_m$ of goods $m \in M$ is visited exactly once by one vehicle.
(3) The total vehicle load in any arc does not exceed the capacity of the vehicle.

Formally, the problem is to determine a collection of routes $\Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_K\}$ such that each node will be covered by exactly one route in $\Pi$ and the total distance of the routes is minimized is formulated as follows:

Vehicle Routing Problem with Arbitrary Pickup and Delivery points (VRPAPD)

$$\min_{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_K} f = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \ell(\pi_k) \right)$$

subject to Constraint (1), (2) and (3)

$M = \{1, 2, ..., M\}$: The set of all goods to be delivered.
$m$: The ID of a goods, where $m \in M$.
$N = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N\}$: The set of all source and destination nodes.
$n$: The ID of a source/destination, where $n \in N$.
$C$: A $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrix, $c_{ij}$ denotes the distance from node $i$ to node $j$.
$Q$: The total load a vehicle can carry.
$L$: The total travel distance a vehicle can travel.
$v_m$: The size of goods $m$.
$w_m$: The weight of goods $m$.
$cs_m$: The source of goods $m$.
$cd_m$: The destination of goods $m$.
$K = \{1, 2, ..., K\}$: The set of IDs of all routes for delivering goods.
$k$: The ID of a route, $k \in K$.
$\Pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_K\}$: The set of all routes for delivering goods.
$\ell(\pi_k)$: The cost for delivering goods along the route $\pi_k$.

**DISCRETE PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION**

Representation is an important issue in the development of meta-heuristics as it may affect the performance of the algorithms. Different problems need different data structures or representations to improve performance and efficiency. For VRP, a common representation method is permutation encoding, which represents the sequences of nodes to be visited. The tour associated with an instance of permutation encoding is called a giant tour. We use giant tours, i.e. sequences of nodes without trip delimiters, to represent solutions for our problem. For a problem with a set of nodes $N = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N\}$, Fig. 1 shows an example of giant tour is 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. As the overall distance of a giant tour may exceed the maximal distance a vehicle can travel or the capacity a vehicle can carry, it is usually necessary to divide a giant tour into a number of routes such that each route can be visited by a vehicle without exceeding its travel distance limit or capacity. One well-known method to divide a number of routes is the Split procedure proposed by Prins [16]. We adapt Split procedure, as a decoding scheme, developed by Prins [16] for the capacitated VRP. In the following, the adapted Split procedure is briefly explained. Fig. 2 shows how Split procedure works. In Fig. 2, three routes are obtained by applying Split procedure to Fig. 1. Each route is assigned to a single vehicle to deliver and pickup goods.
Although one may evaluate the value of the fitness function by applying Split procedure to a given giant tour, we need a mechanism to evolve the giant tour to find a good solution. In this paper, we apply a discrete particle swarm optimization (DPSO) method to determine a good giant tour. Let \( i \) be the number of particles in the DPSO method. Each \( i \in \{1,2,\ldots,J\} \) denotes a particle \( i \). Let \( z^i_t \) denote the giant tour associated with particle \( i \) at time \( t \). Applying the Split procedure to \( z^i_t \) will lead to a set of routes \( \Pi = \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K \} \). The set of routes \( \Pi = \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K \} \) obtained by applying the Split procedure to a given giant tour make it possible to evaluate the value of the fitness function \( f \). The inputs of the DPSO algorithm include \( C, Q, L, M, N, PC, D \). The outputs of the DPSO algorithm is \( \Pi = \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K \} \). The DPSO algorithm used in this paper is as follows.

Discrete Particle Swarm Optimization (DPSO) Algorithm

Input: \( C, Q, L, M, N, PC, D \)

Output: \( \Pi = \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K \} \)

Step 1:

\( t \leftarrow 0 \)

Generate initial population of swarm, \( Z^i \)

Evaluate each particle \( z^i \) in \( Z^i \)

Determine the personal best of each particle in \( P^i \)

Determine the global best of swarm, \( G^i \)

While (stopping criteria is not satisfied)

Do

\( t \leftarrow t + 1 \)

For each \( i \in \{1,2,\ldots,I\} \)

Update particle \( z^i \) as follows

\[ z^{i+1}_t = c_2 \otimes F_3(z^i_t, \{ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_K \}, P^i_t, P^i_t \), where \]

where \( \lambda^i_t = w \otimes F_1(z^i_t) \) is the velocity of the particle, \( F_1 \) is the mutation operator applied to \( z^i_t \) with probability of \( w \) and \( \lambda^{i+1}_t \) is obtained as follows:

\[
\lambda^{i+1}_t = \begin{cases} 
F_1(z^i_t) & \text{if } u \leq w \\
Z^i_t & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

, where \( u \) is a random variable with uniform distribution \( U(0,1) \).

\[
\delta^{i+1}_t = \begin{cases} 
F_2(\lambda^i_t, P^i_t) & \text{if } u_1 \leq c_1 \\
\lambda^i_t & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

, where \( u_1 \) is a random variable with uniform distribution \( U(0,1) \).

\[
Z^{i+1}_t = \begin{cases} 
F_4(\delta^i_t, P^i_t) & \text{if } u_2 \leq c_2 \\
\delta^i_t & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

, where \( u_2 \) is a random variable with uniform distribution \( U(0,1) \).

Evaluate each particle in \( X^i \)

For each particle

Update personal best \( P^i_t \) as follows:

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure.pdf}
\caption{Three routes obtained by applying the Split procedure}
\end{figure}
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$$P^t_i = \begin{cases} 
Z_i^t & \text{if } f(Z_i^t) \leq f(P_i^{t-1}) \\
Z_i^t & \text{if } f(Z_i^t) > f(P_i^{t-1}) \text{ and } u \leq e^{\frac{f(Z_i^t) - f(P_i^{t-1})}{f(P_i^{t-1})}} \\
P_i^{t-1} & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}$$

Update global best $G^t$

$T \leftarrow aT$

Return $G^t$

Different position updating rules for permutation encoding have been proposed in the literature [17], [18]. In this paper, we implement a position updating rule proposed by Pan et al. [17]. According to this rule, the position of a particle at iteration $t$ is updated by considering possible three choices. These are: (i) following its own position, (ii) going towards its personal best position, and (iii) going towards the best position of the particle in the entire swarm population. Thus, the updating equation $X_i^{t+1} = c_2 \otimes F_3(c_1 \otimes F_2(w \otimes F_1(X_i^t), P_i^t), P_g^t)$ is used in DSPO algorithm.

The main algorithm for solving the problem formulated in this paper is as follows:

**Algorithm for solving Vehicle Routing Problem with Arbitrary Pickup and Delivery points (VRPAPD)**

Input: $M, N, Q, L$

Output: $\Pi_i = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_K\}$

Step 0: Compute $C$ according to $N$ based on Google Maps API

Step 1: Compute $PC$ and $D$ according to $M$

Step 2: Apply DPSO

$\Pi_h \leftarrow$ DPSO($C, PC, D, Q, L, M, N$)

$\Pi \leftarrow \Pi \cup \Pi_h$

Step 3: Check all delivered goods

$M_d \leftarrow$ Deliver ($\Pi_h, M, N$)

Step 4: Find

$M \leftarrow M \setminus M_d$

If ($M \neq \Phi$)

$h \leftarrow h + 1$

Go to Step 2

Else

Exit

End If

**CASE STUDY**

In this section, we verify our algorithms by a numerical example.

Example: Consider a carrier that has received $M = 20$ goods from $N = 21$ source nodes (not including the depot) to be delivered to their destination nodes. The carrier has a depot located at node 0. Each goods has a source node and destination node. The data of the goods and stores are listed in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. The parameters of the DPSO algorithm are shown in Table 3. The maximal distance a vehicle can travel is limited to 102 km. The maximal weight for a vehicle is 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>w</th>
<th>cs (Node number)</th>
<th>cd (Node number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>13169.0</td>
<td>957539</td>
<td>967352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>16254.0</td>
<td>967352</td>
<td>132758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>17302.0</td>
<td>121231</td>
<td>132758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>11332.0</td>
<td>121231</td>
<td>955681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>10789.0</td>
<td>955681</td>
<td>970864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>5606.0</td>
<td>970864</td>
<td>113425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The resulting routes found by our algorithm are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routes obtained from Iteration 1</th>
<th>Routes obtained from Iteration 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 12 3 9 8 10 17 16 5 20 2 1</td>
<td>0 12 11 2 19 21 15 14 10 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total distance of the routes is 374.429. The total distance obtained by our algorithm is less than that of VRPSPD.

**CONCLUSION**

Vehicle routing is a critical factor in reducing transportation costs. In the existing literature, a variety of vehicle routing...
problems (VRP) have been extensively studied. The VRP is NP-hard, therefore, heuristic algorithms are required for tackling real-life instances. Drawbacks of the existing methods include (1) the lack of integration with GIS and (2) the simplified models used. Most methods do not seamlessly integrate with GIS. Furthermore, a general assumption in the vehicle routing problem with simultaneous pickup and delivery (VRPSPD) is that all delivered goods must be originated from the depot and all pickup goods must be transported back to the depot. Although such assumption may lead to a simplified problem that can be solved more easily, the performance may be sacrificed due to the assumption. In this paper, we consider a variant of VRP called Vehicle Routing Problem with Arbitrary Pickup and Delivery points (VRPAPD). In this problem, we consider a set of goods to be picked up and delivered. Each goods has a source address and a destination address. The goods to be delivered may not be located in the depot originally. The destination address of goods may be a node other than the depot. Therefore, the above assumption of VRPAPD is different from VRPSPD. Each vehicle that transports the goods has finite capacity, including the maximal weight a vehicle can be carried and the maximal distance a vehicle can travel. Based on the above assumption, we propose an algorithm based on DPSO method. Our system generates routes and detailed individual vehicle routes based on Google Maps API and the inputs, which consist of capacities of car, the location of the depot, sources and destinations of goods to be delivered. We illustrate the effectiveness of our algorithm by an example.
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