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Abstract

Smartphones are setting new sales records, and the number of apps available in online stores continues to grow, having reached a combined figure of 3.4 million apps (Statista, 2015), making this a desirable market for investors, companies and developers. However, among all those apps, only a limited number succeeds. The present study builds on the existing knowledge of mobile apps success and contributes to enhance the existing literature on mobile app success proposing a configurational theory for mobile app success. To accomplish this, we applied the fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) to identify the antecedent conditions for mobile apps success. We collected data from the top 100 ranked apps at App Store. The fsQCA results supported the following propositions: (i) smaller package size, (ii) lower user reviews scores with higher languages supported and fewer versions supported, (iii) highly popular categories with higher user review scores and fewer languages supported, (iv) highly popular categories with higher number of versions supported and fewer languages supported and (v) highly popular categories with higher user review scores and higher number of versions supported, are sufficient conditions for mobile app success. Interestingly, we found that smaller package sizes and highly popular category is a necessary condition for mobile app success.
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1 Introduction

Mobile technology advancements are making mobile devices almost indispensable in our daily lives leading to a proliferation of mobile apps that aim to address a vast array of functionalities and customer needs. The existence of such applications increases the value of a smartphone to customers. Smartphones are setting new sales records, and the number of apps in online stores continues to grow, with a combined 3.4 million apps (Statista, 2015) in the most popular mobile application marketplaces, Google Play for Android devices, App Store for iOS devices and Windows Phone Store for Windows Phone (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2014), making this a desirable market for investors, companies and developers. However, among all those apps, only a limited number of them succeed (Telang and Garg, 2013) making it important to understand which factors contribute to app success.

Mobile Application or App is an application developed for mobile devices. It can be a web, native or hybrid application. While mobile application development is still a growing market (Shen, 2015) with a predicted revenue 420 billion dollars in 2015 and 5.5 million developers (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2015), in 2014, 50% of IOS developers and 64% of Android developers made less than 500 dollars of revenue per month (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2014). This means that there is a big discrepancy between the revenues of successful and unsuccessful developers, partly because there is little knowledge about the factors that lead to the profitable development of apps, and also due to the huge size of app stores within which it is difficult to be remarkable or simply noticed.

The number of published apps by a developer and the number of categories those apps are published in have an influence in app success for the Apple Store (Lee & Raghu, 2014). This makes sense because the developer experience should have a positive influence in app quality. In the same way, this should hold true for the Google and Microsoft stores. According to the existing literature, there are several measurable app characteristics that may positively influence its success: selling price, number of updates, different languages in which the app is available, number of operating system versions supported, the API functions used and the package size (Dibia and Wagner, 2015 and Lee and Raghu, 2014). Additionally, the popularity of the category where the app belongs appears to have a significant impact in app success (Lee and Raghu 2014 and Shen 2015) as well as the user review score (Lee and Raghu 2014).

Although some academic researches about the relationship between app sales and rank position (Garg and Teland, 2013) or about app success factors do exist (Dibia and Wagner, 2015 and Lee and Raghu, 2014) they have mainly focused on the developer perspective and applied OLS or GHLM to identify the antecedents for app success. The present study builds on the existing knowledge of mobile apps success and aims to contribute to enhance the existing literature on mobile app success factors and to define a configurational theory for mobile apps success, applying the fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) to identify the antecedent conditions for mobile apps success.

We collected data from the top 100 ranked apps at App Store (USA data), namely the number of published apps by the developers, package size, supported languages, supported operating system versions and category popularity. Data was collected through the Apple iTunes website on October 12th 2015 and the analysis focused on examining which determinants and sets of determinants are necessary and sufficient conditions for outcome app success. To achieve this, we followed a qualitative approach and data analysis using fsQCA. This qualitative method allowed the identification of patterns that are the cause in cause-effect relationships (Fiss, 2011). Using this method instead of multiple regression analysis (MRA) allowed us to show which combinations of factors influence the outcome app success, instead of a limited number of models in which each variable has a positive or negative effect for success (Woodside, 2013). Although initially developed for small to medium sets of data (Ragin, 2008) fsQCA can effectively be used for much larger sets of data (Feurer, Baumbach, & Woodside, 2015).

This study has several contributions for practitioners and academics. For practitioners, the research supports a broader interpretation of different possible success paths through the application of
configuration theory. Additionally, the knowledge of the different configurations that lead to success may influence the decision when developing a new app or when improving an existing app. For example, spending development time and effort increasing the number of languages supported may be beneficial in some cases and detrimental in others. Finally, knowing which configuration leads to app success can help define an organization mobile strategy. For academics, the study presents a comprehensive analysis of influential factors of mobile app success. Additionally, it applies an alternative approach, the fsQCA, to understand the conditions that lead to the success of mobile apps. As the fsQCA considers the conditions in a holistic way, findings of the present study foster the enhancement of this body of the literature presenting possible configurations of conditions that lead to an app success.

The remainder of this paper is as follows. The next section comprises the literature review and theoretical background of this work. We then present the methods applied and the results from the fsQCA. Finally we discuss the results and present the concluding remarks.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Mobile Applications

Native applications are developed using either the native programming language and Software Development Kit of each mobile platform (Swift for IOS, Java for Android, C# for Windows Phone) or using a third party development tool that converts the developed application to the native programming language. There are over 1000 third party tools and 74% of the developers interested in making money use at least one of them (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2014). Hybrid applications, like web applications, are developed using HTML5 and a scripting language like JavaScript, but instead of being developed to run in the mobile browser, they are developed to be packaged as a native app by a third party tool like Titanium Appcelerator, Phonegap or Intel XDK, allowing the application to be published in the Mobile Application Marketplace.

Therefore, we are witnessing the emerging and growth of mobile application marketplaces, where native and hybrid applications are made available. They are platform specific, Google Play for Android devices, App Store for IOS devices and Windows Phone Store for Windows Phone are the most popular (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2014). There are over 3 million applications published amongst these 3 marketplaces (Statista, 2015), and an estimated number of 5.5 million developers (Wilcox, 2014). When publishing an application in a marketplace, the developer may monetize the application in one or more ways: paid downloads, in-app ads, in-app purchases, freemium and subscriptions. With paid downloads the consumer is paying a fee for the application, being charged when the application is acquired.

Over the past few years, with the increased computation power of mobile devices, their value has shifted to data and software they can provide (Matos et al, 2014). As such, there is a proliferation of mobile apps which represents an opportunity for organizations and developers. This opportunity represents also a huge challenge to get noticed among the 3.4 million existing apps (Statista, 2015) on major app stores (Google Play for Android devices, App Store for IOS devices and Windows Phone Store for Windows Phone). However, due to lack of demand data related to app downloads or sales, it is difficult to clearly understand market behaviour (Garg and Teland, 2013). Additionally, as users of mobile devices are dedicating more time to mobile apps than websites, organizations that seek competitive advantage must understand which factors may affect app success in order to develop adequate mobile strategies (Dibia and Wagner, 2015).

2.2 Mobile App Success

For a long time, information systems success has been a topic of interest for academics and practitioners. Delone and Mclean (2003) IS success model is the most widely applied to define and
measure IS success. The measurements can be classified in six categories: information quality, system quality, service quality, intention to use, user satisfaction and net benefits (Delone and Mclean, 2003). However, this model may not be appropriate to evaluate mobile app success (Dibia and Wagner, 2015), as the characteristics of mobile apps ecosystems are different from traditional IS applications in terms of development (through software development kits that facilitate mobile app development), distribution (attraction of all users to a single place making updates and marketing easier) and target (at the individual level only) (Dibia and Wagner, 2015). Although the data concerning the number of downloads and revenue for each app from the app stores is not publicly available, it may be inferred that a high rank position means an app is downloaded more often and thus generates more revenue (Garg and Teland, 2013). Teland and Garg (2013) propose a method that uses the data available from the iTunes app store to infer the rank-demand relationship.

Additionally, the actual algorithm to calculate store ranks is a well-kept secret, but due to the growing number of in store applications and developers interested in understanding the factors that influence ranks in the app stores, it is becoming less of a secret. The most important factors influencing ranking are number of downloads and revenue, both recent and aggregated, but also app launches, retention (uninstalls), social proof, number and value of reviews of users, keyword relevance, updates and backlinks (Butters, 2014; Fuecks, 2015; Walz, 2015).

A relationship between top-ranked apps and the number of downloads has been previously established (Garg and Teland, 2013). Therefore the rank position of a mobile app may be considered as a proxy to its sales (Garg and Teland, 2013) and a measure for app success (Lee and Raghu, 2015 and Dibia and Wagner, 2015). In the context of mobile app, it is defined that “success is restricted to appearance/reappearance of Apps in the top-charts over time” (Lee and Raghu, 2015, p. 9). Dibia and Wagner (2015 p. 4305) define mobile app success “in terms of the usage audience which an app is able to garner during its lifecycle and focus mainly on characteristics of apps such as app diversity (the number of geographic locales an app is built to support), and app cohesion (a measure of the tightness of integration with its parent platforms) as antecedents to its success.” As the present study does not take the longitudinal perspective into analysis but rather a cross-sectional one, as we consider a snapshot of the top-ranked applications and their public data, we build on the two previous definitions of success in the context of mobile applications and propose that mobile app success may be defined as the appearance on top charts at a given moment given its characteristics of user review score, number of languages supported, package size, number of versions and category popularity.

As stated, several factors have been studied in the literature as antecedents for mobile app success. For example, Song et al (2013) found that consumer rating and number of ratings are positively related with the apps number of downloads. A study conducted by Lee and Raghu (2015) aims at understanding the relationship between sellers’ app portfolio and sales performance. At the mobile app level, it analyses mobile app characteristics along with seller characteristics that influence app success in terms of sales sustainability over time. Results from that study show that free app offers, high initial ranks, investment in less popular app categories, quality updates, high volume and high user review scores have impact on mobile app success. On the other hand, Linares-Vásquez et al (2013) analysed the lack of success of mobile apps and their results show that frequent changes and faults in API (Application Programming Interface) have a negative impact on the success of Android Apps.

The popularity of the apps category may also be important to explain its success (Lee and Raghu, 2015, Shen, 2015). In fact, as of September 2015, the top ranked share of active apps from Apple App Store is categorized in games (22.21%), business (10.41%) and education (9.59%) (Statista, 2015). Therefore, if an app belongs to a popular category, it increases the odds in reaching the top rank applications (Lee and Raghu, 2015, Shen, 2015).

Another mobile app characteristic with is publicly available information in the App Store is the number of versions supported. This indicates the number of different IOS versions to which the app is compatible to. According to Lee and Raghu (2015), that characteristic indicates how frequently the app has been updated and changes in apps may be defined as quality updates. Thus the number of
versions supported can be seen as a measure of quality improvement. As users have access to that kind of information when they are making an app download, it is possible that this information influences the apps success (Lee and Raghu, 2015). Additionally, the number of versions supported by an app may be “an indicator of the utility provided by the application and how well it integrates by the application and operating system functionalities” (Dibia and Wagner, 2015, p. 4308).

Based on the word-of-mouth literature, Song et al. (2013) identify the success factors related with mobile app sales. They found that closed mobile app platforms (such as iTunes Store) outperformed the open ones (such as the Google’s Play Store) and user rating is more important in open platform than in closed ones. As such, the user review score may also play a role in the app success (Lee and Raghu, 2015), as it indicates peers review evaluation on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is the weakest value and 5 the highest one. As peer influence has been consistently studied as an antecedent for IT adoption, user review score is also expected to influence mobile app success. Regarding the number of languages supported, Dibia and Wagner (2015) found that app diversity (in terms of different geographic locations supported with specific language characteristics) to be a significant and positive antecedent for mobile app success. Package size is the application size in terms of megabytes and is analysed as a positive factor as it “may be a proxy for the richness of its content potential value thus reducing uncertainty about its performance” (Dibia and Wagner, 2015 p. 4309). This variable depends on the technology and development features used in the application creation. The fact that an app provides more functionalities would increase its packaged size when compared to apps that must have network connections to perform some activities. However, even though larger applications may provide higher value for users, storage constraints on mobile devices may steer users to choose applications with smaller sizes (Dibia and Wagner, 2015). Although an app with bigger package may not need an internet connection or require less data transfer to run, the storage needed in the actual device may be a limiting factor when choosing between similar apps may be seen as a downsize for a large package size applications.

### 2.3 Configurational Theory for Mobile Apps Success

The study aims to understand alternative configurations of mobile app characteristics that lead to the outcome “mobile app success”. According to Kulins et al. (2015, p.1), “configurations allow picturing equifinality, that is, the possibility for several ways to lead to the same outcome”. In the present study we apply configurational theory to mobile app success research in order to identify the pathways that lead to the app success. The fsQCA applies a holistic approach considering several conditions together and, unlike in many cases with MRA, potentially highly informative contrarian cases are analysed (Woodside, 2014). Therefore, this paper contributes to enhance the understanding of the complex interconnected factors that can lead to the success of a mobile app.

Leveraging on the top ranked applications available in the App Store rank, we selected the top fifty paid apps and the top fifty free apps so our database only has successful apps. We choose this sample because we are interested in understanding, which conditions based on apps characteristics lead to its success. Based on the existing research on mobile app success and on the available app elements on the app store, we select the conditions that may explain app success. We use publicly available mobile app characteristics to develop the configurational theory for mobile applications success, namely user review score, number of languages supported, number of versions supported, package size and app category popularity. Next, we explain the fsQCA application to define a configurational theory for understanding mobile app success.

### 3 Methods

To analyse the configurations that lead to a successful outcome of mobile applications, we apply the fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis as previously used in several areas of management research.
This is a recent method which applies the Boolean logic of thinking to analyse qualitatively and systematically cases in order to explain an outcome of interest; fsQCA focuses on the analysis of set relations (Fuerer et al., 2015) and conceptualizes cases as combinations of attributes (Fiss 2011) which define the combinations of necessary and sufficient conditions that lead to an outcome (dependent variable). According to Fiss (2011, p. 401), “set-theoretic methods thereby differ from conventional, variable-based approaches in that they do not disaggregate cases into independent, analytically separate aspects but instead treat configurations as different types of cases.”

There are several advantages of this methodology: it can be applied to small samples, the conditions do not need to be linear or normal and it allows the identification of the configuration of antecedent conditions rather than individual antecedents (Feurer et al., 2015). Thus, we apply the fsQCA to analyse the causal conditions that lead to the success of a mobile app and identify patterns that support the existence of causal relationships (Felicio et al., 2015). We use the software fsQCA 2.5 to analyse our dataset.

The data analysis procedures follow the ones used by Fiss (2011) and Feurer et al. (2015). Based on those procedures, in the present research we established the following steps:

1. **Data collection:** based on the existing literature, we defined the publicly available information for each mobile app that may influence its success. We then collected that data for each app of the first 50 top ranked paid and free mobile applications;

2. **Calibration of causal conditions:** the mobile app data is calibrated into fuzzy sets. In the calibration step, we assign membership score to each condition and for each case. Similarly, the outcome is also calibrated, but in the present study, as all cases are successful the outcome is calibrated with 1. The calibrated scores for the antecedent conditions are calculated in the fsQCA software;

3. **Construction of the truth table:** after calibration, the resulted fuzzy sets are used to “construct a data matrix known as truth table” (Fiss, 2011 p. 402) with $2^n$ rows (5 is the number of antecedent conditions in our model). Each row represents a combination of attributes and the truth table presents all possible logical combinations;

4. **Evaluation of consistency:** “Consistency here refers to the degree to which cases correspond to the set-theoretic relationships expressed in the solution” (Fiss, 2011 p. 402). Values range from 0 (low consistency) to 1 (high consistency), and high consistency scores confirms that a certain configuration of antecedents conditions is sufficient to explain a given outcome;

5. **Logical reduction:** based on the Boolean algebra, the truth table rows are reduced to a simpler combination of antecedents’ conditions. After evaluating the consistency of each configuration, the coverage can be assessed in order to identify the irrelevant or redundant configurations, which should be deleted from results, leading to a less complex final solution.

### 3.1 Sample

The Apple App Store is the selected store to conduct the present study. In fact, this store is the preferred platform in North America for developers at 42%, seconded by Google Play at 33% (Wilcox and Voskoglou, 2015). Data was collected for the top 100 ranked apps at App Store (USA data), namely the number of published apps by the developers, price, package size, supported languages, supported versions and category popularity. Data was manually collected through the Apple iTunes website on October 12th 2015. There is no available top list in the App Store that combines both free and paid apps, so data was collected for the top 50 apps of each chart. Due to the inexistence of this combined list it was not possible to access the influence of price in the success of an app.
3.2 Calibration of Set Memberships

Using the same method as Ragin (2008) and Fiss (2011), the fuzzy-set memberships are calibrated using the fsQCA software and three thresholds were defined: full membership (percentile 95), crossover point (median) and full non-membership (percentile 5). The anchor values defined are 0.95 for full-membership, 0.05 for full non-membership and 0.5 for the crossover point. Values of 0.5 were substituted by 0.499 (Ragin et al, 2006 and Crilly, 2012) because the cases with 0.5 are dropped of the solution by the software. If we take the “package size” as an example, cases with package size value between 248 and 799.95 are calibrated with values between 0.5 and 1, cases with package size values between 63.1 and 248 are calibrated with values between 0 and 0.5, cases with package size values greater than 799.95 are calibrated with 1 (fully in membership) and finally, cases with packaged size values lower than 63.1 are calibrated with 0 (fully out membership). Table 1 presents the calibration of the set membership and the descriptive statistics for each attribute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Fully in</th>
<th>Crossover</th>
<th>Fully out</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Package size</td>
<td>799.95</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>337.5</td>
<td>350.9</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported languages</td>
<td>36.05</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported versions</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User review score</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category popularity</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Calibration of set membership

The package size is defined in Megabytes, the user review score can be between 0 and 5 and the category popularity is in “share” percentage.

3.3 Outcome for mobile apps success

As stated before, although the data for the number of downloads and revenue is not publicly available, it can be inferred that a better rank means an app is downloaded more often and generates more revenue (Telang and Garg, 2013). Based on this, we analyse the 50 top apps from the App Store from each of the top rank lists (paid and free). All the applications in this list are considered to be successful as they are in the top 100 of over 1.5 million apps, and so its success value can be considered to be 1.

4 Results

The previously mentioned measurable app characteristics that may positively influence its success are selling price, number of updates, different languages the app is available in, the number of operating system versions supported, the API functions used and the package size (Dibia and Wagner, 2015 and Lee and Raghu 2014), the popularity of the category (Lee and Raghu 2014 and Shen 2015) and the user review score (Lee and Raghu 2014).

The selling price in this analysis is not relevant due to the nonexistence of a single app chart that combines both free and paid apps. The number of updates and API functions used could not be obtained or inferred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Package size</th>
<th>Supported languages</th>
<th>Supported versions</th>
<th>User review score</th>
<th>Category popularity</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The five conditions are analysed and the Truth Table (Table 2) shows all the combinations of causal conditions that lead to the success outcome of 1. For each outcome, five conditions appear in the Truth Table making the total of $2^5$ possible combinations. After deleting all the configurations with no solution or logical remainders, and given that consistency for all remaining rows is 1, we can then analyse fsQCA solutions in terms of configurations of sufficient and necessary conditions.

The software presents three different solutions, complex, parsimonious and intermediate. The complex solution does not include configurations without solution, the parsimonious solution includes all the configurations including the ones without solution and the intermediate solution, which is the one recommended by Ragin (2008) to be used includes the configurations selected by the researcher. In the present study, since all the configurations have at least one result, the complex and intermediate solutions are equal, and thus is the one presented in the results.

Table 3 presents the configurations for mobile app success. According to the results obtained, there are five possible configurations that lead to the success of mobile app that are considered to be sufficient conditions for mobile app success:

**Solution 1:** lower package size (~Package size)

**Solution 2:** lower user reviews scores with higher languages supported and fewer versions supported (Supported languages*~Supported versions*~User review score),

**Solution 3:** highly popular categories with higher user review scores and fewer languages supported (~Supported languages*Supported versions*Category popularity),

**Solution 4:** highly popular categories with higher number of versions supported and fewer languages supported (~Supported languages*User review score*Category popularity),

**Solution 5:** highly popular categories with higher user review scores and higher number of versions supported (Supported versions*User review score*Category popularity).

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Truth table**

*Note: Logical remainders are not listed.*
For each solution of our results, consistency is 1 (table 3). As the threshold defined for consistency should be equal or above 0.8, this means that in our study all cases are consistent with the outcome (Ragin, 2008). Coverage is another criteria to evaluate the quality of results. It ranges from 0 to 1 and “refers to the extent to which a configuration of antecedents accounts for high scores of the outcome set” (Fleurer et al, 2015 p. 13). The overall coverage in the solution is 0.93 which means that the large majority of configurations are covered. One configuration has a unique coverage of 0.39, all other having a unique coverage below 0.1.

The first configuration, with the unique coverage of 0.39 is the most important one. It stands that small application package size leads to mobile app success. This could be explained by the fact that 45 out of the 100 top apps are games, and in this category many casual, popular games have a small application package size.

In the second configuration the high number of supported languages stands out, and even though the number of supported versions and user review score are low, this path can lead to success. The data collected is from the US store, which means that in this case the availability of the app in different languages is a positive factor.

The third, fourth and fifth configurations share the category popularity and the most popular category is games. These three configurations also share two out of three conditions: fewer supported languages, meaning the app is targeting specifically English speaking markets (all the top 100 applications are available in English), high number of supported versions which allow a bigger user base, including those with older devices to download the app, and high user review score which usually implies a well built and bug free application. Having two of the three latter characteristics is sufficient condition for success as long as the app belongs to a popular category.

A condition is necessary if it present always when the outcome is also present (Ragin, 2006), meaning the outcome is a subset of the condition (Ragin, 2000). After identifying the sufficient conditions, we analyse whether there is any necessary one. The calculation for the necessary conditions was performed using the fsQCA software and table 4 presents the results for that analysis.
Table 4. Necessary conditions for mobile app success

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Package size</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~Package size</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category popularity</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~Category popularity</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~Package size + Category popularity</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “+” means either or both conditions are present.
“~” means the absence of the condition in the case.

It is shown that a small application package size and a popular category is necessary condition as it is above the commonly used 0.9 threshold. This is consistent with the previous findings, and can be interpreted that a small application in a popular category (ex. Games) can be considered a necessary condition for application success.

5 Discussion

Most of the findings are consistent with what was previously expected; in the configurations ~Supported languages * User review score * Category popularity and Supported versions * User review score * Category popularity the category popularity is an important condition for success as popular categories have a bigger number potential users, and although there is a bigger number of apps in those categories, good apps with a high review score and/or that support and high number of versions are bound to be successful. The fact that a low number of languages supported in the configuration ~Supported languages * Supported versions * Category popularity can be a condition for success when the category is popular is surprising, but could attributed to the fact that the analysed store is the U.S. store and every application is available in English; 38 of the 100 applications are available only in English with a further 5 being available in only 1 other language. This can suggest that the apps are developed specifically for the U.S market making them more successful there.

The configuration where the app is available in a high number of supported languages, the number of supported versions and user review score are low Supported languages * ~Supported versions * ~User review score suggests that these successful apps are built for the global market; they are complex apps that use the newer API functions of the latest IOS versions, and so are only available for a newer devices. The fact that these are targeting more than the U.S market can lead to a low user review score.

Interestingly, small application package size and a popular category ~Package size + Category popularity is found to be a necessary condition for application success in the U.S App Store. This could be explained by the fact that 38 out of the top 100 applications are in the Games category and have a smaller application package size than the median.

6 Final Remarks

Present research contributes to enhance the existing knowledge about mobile apps success. We apply a new methodology (fsQCA) to the information systems field and propose a configurational theory to explain mobile app success. Based on the literature review of conditions that may lead to mobile app success, this study presents five different configurations for those conditions that may lead to the app success. As the study applies the fsQCA, this allows a broader interpretation of results comparing to more traditional ones, focusing on the analysis of success paths instead of success factors (Woodside and Zhang 2013). For academics, it provides further support for the antecedents of mobile app success, contributing to uncover the underlying configurations that lead mobile apps to reach the top charts of
app stores. Additionally, small package size and high popular category are necessary conditions that lead to the app success. For practitioners, it shows that low package size and popularity of the category are necessary conditions to achieve success in the app stores. It also suggests alternative configurations leading to the success of mobile applications. This contribution in important to achieve a deeper knowledge of the field.

The results of this research suggest that, although not the only path, a small application package in a popular category is the most common path to success. Many of the app publishers trying to succeed in the app store are either small companies or even solo developers (Wilcox & Voskoglou, 2015) that want to mimic what former low resource publishers have done, publishing small games that became an overnight success like the case of Flappy Bird in 2013, a very small and simple game that made it to the top in both U.S. and Chinese stores. Some of these small games are “clones” and copy part of the storyline, functionality, name or a combination of these from existing successful games as a way to try to push the applications to the top of the charts.

When approaching the development for the less popular categories and niche markets, multilingual support seems to be an important feature, and this makes sense because a low number of supported languages would restrict even more the number of potential users.

This research also has some limitations regarding its generalizability. The sample size and the typology of apps that constitute our sample restricts the generalizability of results. Additionally, data was collected on a single point of time. However, despite this limitation, app rankings may be considered fairly stable: on 13th March 2016, 5 months after the sample was collected, 66 of the 100 apps were still in the top 100 with further 10 being in the top 200 and a total of 86 in the top 500. The research was limited to the top 100 apps of the Apple App Store, in a single point in time and can expanded in several ways. Further research may include the analysis of other app stores, a bigger number of apps or an analysis over a period of time. It may also consider the number of days that an app remains in the top charts as an alternative measure for app success. Additionally, success may be calibrated with values ranging from 0 to 1 in order to distinguish different success levels.
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