

Spring 3-23-2018

# EXPLORING USER PRIVACY BASED ON HUMAN BEHAVIOR WITH INTERNET OF THINGS DEVICES AT HOME (FORMATIVE RESEARCH)

Jeffrey P. Kaleta

*Georgia Southern University, jeff.kaleta@gmail.com*

Russell Thackston

*Georgia Southern University, rthackston@georgiasouthern.edu*

Olajide Ojagbule

*Georgia Southern University, olajide\_o\_ojagbule@georgiasouthern.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/sais2018>

---

## Recommended Citation

Kaleta, Jeffrey P.; Thackston, Russell; and Ojagbule, Olajide, "EXPLORING USER PRIVACY BASED ON HUMAN BEHAVIOR WITH INTERNET OF THINGS DEVICES AT HOME (FORMATIVE RESEARCH)" (2018). *SAIS 2018 Proceedings*. 6.  
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/sais2018/6>

This material is brought to you by the Southern (SAIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in SAIS 2018 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact [elibrary@aisnet.org](mailto:elibrary@aisnet.org).

# EXPLORING USER PRIVACY BASED ON HUMAN BEHAVIOR WITH INTERNET OF THINGS DEVICES AT HOME (FORMATIVE RESEARCH)

**Jeffrey P. Kaleta**

Georgia Southern University  
jkaleta@georgiasouthern.edu

**Russell Thackston**

Georgia Southern University  
rthackston@georgiasouthern.edu

**Olajide Ojagbule**

Georgia Southern University  
olajide\_o\_ojagbule@georgiasouthern.edu

## ABSTRACT

The proposed research initiative is aimed at investigating potential security and privacy vulnerabilities in home based Internet of Things (IoT) smart devices, such as Amazon Echo, Google Home, and smart home appliances, by analyzing the type, nature, and frequency of its encrypted, network communications. Such communications may reveal private information about the activities occurring within a home, as well as behaviors, relationships, and habits. Regardless of the quality of encryption used for network communications, digital messages expose certain information in much the same way as a sealed envelope sent via the postal service. The results of this formative research initiative will encourage better design of future home based IoT smart devices for security and privacy, as well as educate consumers on risks.

## Keywords

Internet of Things (IoT), privacy, security, networking

## INTRODUCTION

Current trends in innovation include the injection of Internet of Things (IoT) smart devices into homes to assist and improve people's lives. Smart devices range from products that control home heating and cooling (e.g. NEST thermostats), room by room lighting, personal-style assistants (e.g. Amazon Show), and human-like assistants delivering information and home automation through simple voice commands (e.g. Amazon Echo). On the surface, these devices appear as benevolent additions to a home, enabling users to control their living environments more efficiently. However, unbeknownst to most users, home based IoT smart devices operate on an expanded network connected to sources outside the home, transmitting data to distant servers, collecting information about users' habits and private behaviors. Best practices suggest encryption schemes are applied to these transmission, yet the nature of the passage, the frequency, and the device type may enable third parties eavesdropping, allowing them to ascertain information about the occupant's daily behaviors. Similar to data transmission that occurs while users interact with internet browser, an IoT smart devices within residential homes transmit information which, if captured, could reduce one's privacy and place them at risk for malicious attacks.

The purpose of this formative research initiative is to investigate vulnerabilities that may be present in IoT smart home devices. Furthermore, we plan to use the findings from this study to develop a basis to research other areas where home based IOT smart devices and other similar devices can be used to detect occupant behaviors within a home. These finding may also provide opportunities to studies focusing on the convergence of humans and information technology including privacy and ethical concerns.

## APPROACH

To plan of study for this project includes the purchase and installation of a variety of IoT smart devices designed for home use. The smart devices will be connected to wireless access point which will log all network traffic between devices and servers for analysis. Using software analysis tools, like Wireshark (wireshark, n.d.)(see Figure 1), the captured data packets will be analyzed to help identify unique profiles of packets during transmission. Using machine learning tools, unique features discovered in the packets will be further analyzed looking for behavioral patterns associated with the living activities of the home occupant.

To illustrate how this will be accomplished, consider typical behaviors surrounding the use of a smart home lighting device (e.g. TP-LINK device) in a residential environment. Common use activity for a light bulb placed in living room has the potential to indicate when the room is occupied in the absence of natural light, such as in the early evenings close to sunset, or early mornings near dawn. The signal transmitted to the light bulb, indicating an on or off state, can be evidence of a person's wake

time (e.g. turning it on in the mornings), a person's leisure time (e.g. turning it on in the evening), or a person's sleep time (e.g. turning it off in the evening). Continual monitoring of this data can quickly provide behavioral indicators of a home's occupants. Related studies using smart home sensors have determined similar outcomes when monitoring daily health related behaviors of senior citizens for the purpose of tracking their health (Botón-Fernández and Lozano-Tell, 2011; Park et al., 2010).

The above example creates an opportunity for malicious attackers seeking opportunities to exploit user vulnerabilities (Mitchell & Chen, 2015). Similar to monitoring "snail mail" received through the postal service, monitoring of a household's data packets, regardless of the content of the messages, can be revealing about occupants' behavior leading to privacy concerns (Hartogs, 2013; Nixon 2013).

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info
155	1.996470	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=46177 Ack=30832 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
156	1.996824	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
157	2.002419	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30832 Ack=40337 Win=3149 Len=0
158	2.003371	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TLSv1.2	99	Application Data
159	2.003378	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TLSv1.2	99	Application Data
160	2.003544	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=44717 Win=3285 Len=0
161	2.007108	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=47637 Win=3377 Len=0
162	2.017596	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=49097 Ack=30832 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
163	2.017769	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data, Application Data
164	2.018061	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=52017 Ack=30832 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
165	2.030842	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=50557 Win=3468 Len=0
166	2.042220	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=53477 Win=3559 Len=0
167	2.049732	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=53477 Ack=30832 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
168	2.050015	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
169	2.053715	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=56397 Ack=30877 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
170	2.053819	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
171	2.053957	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data, Application Data
172	2.054148	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1514	Application Data [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
173	2.054486	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=62237 Ack=30922 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
174	2.059992	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=56397 Win=3650 Len=0
175	2.060986	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=59317 Win=3742 Len=0
176	2.062713	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=62237 Win=3833 Len=0
177	2.077747	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TCP	1514	443 - 54282 [ACK] Seq=63697 Ack=30922 Win=2876 Len=1460 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
178	2.077855	176.32.97.144	192.168.2.2	TLSv1.2	1081	Application Data, Application Data, Application Data, Application Data
179	2.079604	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=65157 Win=3924 Len=0
180	2.117764	192.168.2.2	176.32.97.144	TCP	54	54282 - 443 [ACK] Seq=30922 Ack=66184 Win=3970 Len=0
181	3.878611	192.168.2.2	224.0.0.251	MDNS	133	Standard query response 0x0000 A, cache flush 192.168.2.2 AAAA, cache flush fe80::2fc:8bff:
182	3.878678	fe80::2fc:8bff:fec...	ff02::fb	MDNS	153	Standard query response 0x0000 A, cache flush 192.168.2.2 AAAA, cache flush fe80::2fc:8bff:
183	4.237083	192.168.2.2	192.168.0.152	TCP	74	59029 - 55443 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=65535 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK_PERM=1 TSval=12265238 TSecr=0 WS=64

▶ Frame 1: 247 bytes on wire (1976 bits), 247 bytes captured (1976 bits) on interface 0  
 ▶ Ethernet II, Src: AmazonTe\_ca:7e:dc (00:fc:8b:ca:7e:dc), Dst: 5a:b0:35:fe:28:64 (5a:b0:35:fe:28:64)  
 ▶ Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.2.2, Dst: 176.32.97.144  
 ▶ Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 54282, Dst Port: 443, Seq: 1, Ack: 1, Len: 193  
 ▶ Secure Sockets Layer

Figure 1. Data capture from the Wireshark network analyzer

## EXPECTED OUTCOMES

The anticipated outcomes of this study are aimed at identifying the degree of vulnerabilities and loss of privacy associated with home IoT smart devices. We intend on gaining an understanding of the types of attack home owners can be vulnerable to and what type of information is available to third parties. These outcomes will highlight the extent to which home IoT smart devices may hinder people's privacy and bring awareness to homeowners regarding the degree of privacy forfeited for the conveniences gained. Understanding these boundaries can provide a baseline to investigate the degree to which people are willing to surrender levels of privacy, or alter their usage of home IoT smart devices, when home IoT smart devices are introduced into the home and the limitations to privacy are communicated to home owners.

With the evidence collected, additional outcomes from this study can also provide pathways for remedies to home owners that care to operate their home IoT smart devices in a manner to gain preferred levels of privacy. This can include technical solutions available to developers of home IoT smart devices, or those who install home IoT devices, assisting in the prevention of malicious attacks to home IoT networks.

Finally, we'd hope that with the investigation of technology within the home will provide a baseline approach for evaluating new home-based technology. This work may also inspire other research activities, similar in nature, which can improve upon the evaluations resulting from this study. This will benefit researchers investigating individual level behaviors using home based IoT smart devices, developers interested in providing new home IoT smart devices, and finally all parties interested in maintaining a higher degree of privacy and security for home IoT smart device users.

**REFERENCES**

1. Botton-Fernández, V., & Lozano-Tello, A. (2011). Learning Algorithm for Human Activity Detection in Smart Environments (pp. 45–48). *IEEE*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WI-IAT.2011.80>
2. Hartogs, J. (2013, July 4). Report: Postal Service uses “spying” programs similar to NSA. Retrieved October 15, 2017, from <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/report-postal-service-uses-spying-programs-similar-to-nsa/>
3. McFadin, P., & Cassandra, A. (2015). Internet of Things: Where Does the Data Go? Retrieved October 5, 2017, from <https://www.wired.com/insights/2015/03/internet-things-data-go/>
4. Mitchell, R., & Chen, R. (2015). Behavior rule specification-based intrusion detection for safety critical medical cyber physical systems. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, 12(1), 16–30.
5. Nixon, R. (2013, July 3). U.S. Postal Service Logging All Mail for Law Enforcement. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/04/us/monitoring-of-snail-mail.html>
6. Park, K., Lin, Y., Metsis, V., Le, Z., & Makedon, F. (2010). Abnormal human behavioral pattern detection in assisted living environments. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Pervasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments* (p. 9). ACM. Retrieved from <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1839305>
7. Wireshark · Go Deep. (n.d.). Retrieved January 4, 2018, from <https://www.wireshark.org/>