Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

Issue Nr 5 (2014)

Scandinavian (IRIS)

2014

Toward a Process View in Adoption of Interorganizational Information Systems: A Literature Review

Charlotte J. Brandt *Aarhus University,* chjb@badm.au.dk

Follow this and additional works at: http://aisel.aisnet.org/iris2014

Recommended Citation

Brandt, Charlotte J., "Toward a Process View in Adoption of Interorganizational Information Systems: A Literature Review" (2014). *Issue Nr 5 (2014)*. 3. http://aisel.aisnet.org/iris2014/3

This material is brought to you by the Scandinavian (IRIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in Issue Nr 5 (2014) by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Toward a Process View in Adoption of Interorganizational Information Systems: A Literature Review

Charlotte J. Brandt

Aarhus University, Department of Business Administration, Information Systems Research Group chjb@badm.au.dk

Abstract. A better understanding of how interorganizational information systems (IOIS) are adopted is important since a successful implementation of information systems (IS) can be expected to raise long-term organizational efficiency. However, organizations often find IOIS adoption to be a bumpy ride, and despite the apparent reason to come to terms with IOIS, the utilization rate is still low. IOIS adoption is an interesting process to study, because of the high complexity in successful adoption of IOIS created by the increased number of organizations involved in the adoption process. This literature review found four different streams of research: 1) studies explaining why organizations adopt or reject IOIS by investigating factors determining adoption of or barriers to IOIS adoption; 2) studies explaining how to create the desired organizational effects from IOIS; 3) studies explaining how IOIS are affecting the buyer-supplier relationship they are introduced into; and 4) studies categorizing the structure of IOIS adopters in different configurations. Seemingly only limited effort has been made to study the actual adoption process. This adoption.

Keywords: Interorganizational information systems, adoption, literature review.

1 Introduction

The area of concern of this review is the adoption of interorganizational information systems (IOIS). Drawing on a definition proposed by Kumar & van Dissel (1996), IOIS are "ICT - based systems that transcend legal enterprise boundaries". Lyytinen & Damsgaard (2011) define IOIS as information systems used jointly by at least two autonomous organizations to facilitate the creation, storage, transformation and transmission of information across organizational boundaries. IOIS are to be viewed as the technical implementation of interorganizational relationships.

The wide body of literature on adoption, diffusion and use of interorganizational information systems offers many different explanations for the factors that influence the decision process in adoption and diffusion of IOIS. Burton Swanson & Ramiller (1997) offer the concept of an organizing vision discourse both formed by and forming the interorganizational community as being central to development and diffusion of an IOIS. Hart & Saunders (1997) explain IOIS adoption with the level of trust or use of power in buyer-supplier relationships. Premkumar & Ramamurthy (1995) find four organizational variables (internal support, benefits potential of Electronic Data Interchange (EDI), EDI compatibility

and resource intensity) and two interorganizational variables (customer support and competitive pressure) to be determinants of EDI diffusion. But despite the diversity and plenty of research, recent studies show that the outcome of introducing interorganizational information systems is still uncertain (Ali, Kurnia, & Shanks, 2008; Nagy, 2006), and despite the apparent reason and lengthy opportunity to come to terms with interorganizational information systems, the utilization rate is still low (Nagy, 2006). Apparently we have still not found the philosopher's stone of IOIS adoption and in order to uncover the research already done to see if any research might be missing, the purpose of this article is to survey and synthesize prior research and to categorize the research which has been carried out so far. This categorizing of prior research is expected to reveal changing foci over time as the research agenda progresses from being somewhat immature to more profound and consolidated since more research is constantly added to the body of knowledge in IOIS adoption. The categorization of prior research will allow for the identification of potential research gaps that have not been covered yet.

2 Method

The area of concern of this review is to categorize prior research in adoption of interorganizational information systems. To categorize the exisiting body of knowledge I have depth-read the papers looking for commonly used concepts as well as the theories which have been used to explain the adoption of IOIS, as both commonly used concepts and theories are expected to change during the period where the body of knowledge matures. For the categorization I have also examined whether the conducted studies focus on identifying independent variables causing changes in a dependent variable, which is what Van de Ven & Poole (2005) call a variance approach, or whether the conducted studies focus on how a sequence of events unfolds to produce a given outcome, which is what Van de Ven & Poole (2005) call a process approach. The variance approach has been dominating in studies of organizational change, and even if the variance approach offers good explanations of change driven by deterministic causation it might overlook other critical aspects of change (Van de Ven & Poole, 2005). If the body of knowledge on IOIS adoption mainly offers explanations of change driven by deterministic causation, studies conducted within the process approach might offer explanations as to why despite the diversity and plenty of research the outcome of introducing interorganizational information systems is still uncertain (Ali et al., 2008; Nagy, 2006), and the utilization rate is still low (Nagy, 2006).

The search terms are developed with respect to the fact that different journal databases use different terminology. Combining different terms for 'information system' in one facet with different terms for 'adoption' in another facet, and 'inter org*' in the third facet produces a search result containing papers that touch upon the three core aspects of this review.

IOIS became more widely spread with the emergence of the Internet in 1995, which made the technology more easily accessible and cheaper to use than the proprietary systems otherwise needed for interorganizational exchange of information (Lyytinen & Damsgaard, 2011). For this reason the review at hand will comprise the period from 1995 till now. In 1995 the adoption of IOIS was often to replace manual work processes with information technology. By that time it was quite popular to adopt EDI in supply chains to replace the manual exchange of structured business information e.g. orders given by telephone, fax or letter. When these manual steps occur in otherwise automated processes they slow the

processes down and increase the risk of errors because data have to be keyed in by hand. Today it would probably be fair to expect that most adoptions are to replace already existing information systems with new information systems, as the required technology has been in use for almost 20 years and that a rise in long-term organizational efficiency can be expected when adopting information systems to support the daily operations as well as administration (Krasnikov, Jayachandran, & Kumar, 2009; Menon, Yaylacicegi, & Cezar, 2009; Parry, 2011).

Webster & Watson (2002) recommend to start with the leading journals when doing a literature review, as the major contributions are likely to be found here. In the IS field the eight top journals have been identified by the Senior Scholars Consortium of the Association for Information Systems (AIS) in their basket of eight (AIS, 2011). As these eight journals are available in either one or both of the databases Business Source Complete and Scopus the bibliographic searches have been conducted in both databases. By conducting bibliographic searches in both Business Source Complete and Scopus, the searches not only cover the eight top journals but also a large number of other journals in the IS field which are available in these databases, e.g. multidisciplinary outlets and specialty areas omitted by the basket of eight. Also the searches in Business Source Complete and Scopus cover business journals ranked in top 50 by AIS' Journal Ranking Page (AIS, 2013). These journals are very attractive for IS researchers and the search terms discard all the papers from these jounals that are off topic with respect to this particular literature review. Concentrating on papers investigating the topic empirically, the business journals to be included are Management Science, Decision Science, Academy of Management Journal, Organization Science, Administrative Science Quarterly, and Operations Research. Papers published in these journals are included in the literature review, whereas papers from other non-IS journals and all papers from review journals are excluded, as this review is intended for the IS audience. In all, approximately 180 journals are covered in the searches. Only peer-reviewed content in English is searched. The time period is limited to 1995-2013 because the internet became commercially available in the mid-1990s hence easing the adoption and diffusion of interorganizational information systems. Conducting the bibliometric search in Business Source Complete yielded 198 papers and 177 papers in Scopus. Merging the two results in EndNote, discarding duplicates, narrowed the result to 214 unique papers. Papers published in journals that were neither IS field journals nor top business journals were discarded, leaving me with 120 unique IS field or top business papers. For these 120 resulting papers, the central theme and key concepts of the papers were identified to determine the relevance for the literature review topic; adoption of IOIS. Papers focusing e.g. on how to use IOIS as an instrument to price goods, increase profit, or how to undertake the technical design, or development of IOIS were left out of the review as well as literature reviews. The review discusses the remaining 37 relevant papers in detail. These 37 remaining papers were studied using open coding to inductively derive categories from data (Charmaz, 2006). These different categories were identified and registered in an Excel sheet for future reference during the analysis. The commonly used concepts discussed in the literature review at hand were identified by analyzing this Excel sheet.

3 Analysis

In order to discover any movement in the structure of the topic, the papers were split in 5 year periods, ranging from 1995 to 1999, 2000 to 2004, 2005 to 2009 and 2010 till now.

3.1 IOIS factors and barriers, 1995 – 1999

In the papers covering the period from 1995 to 1999, eight out of the nine relevant papers use the variance approach to identify either factors contributing to the success of IOIS adoption (Argyres, 1999; Cavaye & Cragg, 1995; Hart & Saunders, 1997; Premkumar & Ramamurthy, 1995; Ramamurthy, Premkumar, & Crum, 1999), or barriers to IOIS adoption and ways of overcoming them (Cavaye, 1995; Kumar & van Dissel, 1996; Kumar, Van Dissel, & Bielli, 1998). In the last paper, Burton Swanson & Ramiller (1997) offer a process approach narrating how information systems are applied and diffused among organizations through the institutional process of forming an organizing vision of the IOIS within and across the adopting organizations. Theories used to explain the adoption of IOIS are diffusion of innovation theory (Cavaye, 1995), different economic-rational theories such as transaction cost theory and competitive benefits (Argyres, 1999; Cavaye, 1995; Kumar & van Dissel, 1996; Kumar et al., 1998; Premkumar & Ramamurthy, 1995; Ramamurthy et al., 1999) as well as trust and power (Hart & Saunders, 1997). By depth-reading the papers, looking for common concepts, the concepts commonly found were: 'Interorganizational systems' denominating the IT-based system manifestation of interorganizational relationships designed to operationalize the relationships between the partners in an alliance (Kumar & van Dissel, 1996) and 'EDI' referring to the computer-to-computer communication between two or more business entities of standardized business-related information (Premkumar & Ramamurthy, 1995). 'Sponsors' denominating the organizations which develop and implement IOIS to handle interorganizational relationships (ibid.). 'Adopters' denominating the organizations that are the intended users of IOIS (ibid.). 'Trust' referring to confidence that the behavior of another organization will conform to one's expectations (Hart & Saunders, 1997). 'Power' defined as the capability of a firm to exert influence on another firm to act in a prescribed manner (ibid). Even though it is not explicitly mentioned in any of the papers, the technology enabling electronic exchange of interorganizational information was by that time in its infancy, so the papers must be concerned with IOIS replacing manual work processes.

3.2 Creating effects with IOIS, 2000 – 2004

In the papers covering the period from 2000 to 2004 12 papers were found to be relevant. Four of these are concerned with factors contributing to the success of IOIS adoption (Chau & Jim, 2002; Chwelos, Benbasat, & Dexter, 2001; Garfield, Kamis, & LeRouge, 2004; Teo, Wei, & Benbasat, 2003), and two explore barriers to IOIS adption and ways of overcoming them (Allen, Colligan, Finnie, & Kern, 2000; Han, Kauffman, & Nault, 2004). Four papers are concerned with harvesting the effects of IOIS: Kaefer & Bendoly (2000) discuss the scenarios determining cost effectiveness of IOIS, Truman (2000) is concerned with how to effectively integrate EDI with internal systems and thereby increasing the effects of IOIS, Zhu & Weyant (2003) explore how strategic decisions to increase competitive capability with IOIS are taken, and Subramani (2004) uncovers how supplier firms use supply chain

management systems to create benefits. Two papers are constructing a theory: Johnston & Gregor (2000) are explaining the diffusion, adoption and operation of supply chain ecommerce technologies, and Wastell, Kawalek, Langmead-Jones, & Ormerod (2004) are exploring the role of information systems in supporting public sector partnerships. Only the paper by Johnston & Gregor (2000) explaining the diffusion, adoption and operation of supply chain e-commerce technologies offer a process approach explaining how a sequence of events over time leads to IOIS adoption. The other 11 papers are looking at IOIS adoption as a dependent variable in which change is explained with a set of independent variables. Still both diffusion of innovation theory and different economic-rational theories such as transaction cost theory and competitive benefits as well as trust and power are used to explain the adoption of IOIS (Allen et al., 2000; Chwelos et al., 2001; Subramani, 2004; Truman, 2000). But now also institutional theory is used to explain the intention to adopt IOIS (Teo et al., 2003). 'Interorganizational systems' is still a commonly used concept in the subset of papers covering the years from 2000 to 2004, but now also 'e-commerce' is used by approximately half of the papers without any attempt to define the concept, though. Also the term 'sponsors' is still used to identify the organization(s) with the primary responsibility for development, maintenance and operation of IOIS (Truman, 2000), but it seems that the more passive term 'adopters' is discontinued in favor of the more neutral term 'participant' with the definition "users that perform no sponsoring role" (Truman, 2000) or the terms naming the functional role in the interorganizational relationship: 'payer' in financial EDI (Teo et al., 2003), 'borrower' in financial risk management IOIS (Han et al., 2004) or 'supplier' in supply chain management systems (Subramani, 2004). Only two papers are explicitly addressing the replacement of manual work processes (Allen et al., 2000) or the actual development of new information exchange processes (Wastell et al., 2004). In the remaining papers it is unclear whether the IOIS' are replacing existing information systems or manual work processes.

3.3 Post-adoption effects of IOIS on the buyer-supplier relationship, 2005 – 2009

In the papers covering the years from 2005 to 2009, ten papers were found to be relevant, all of them using the variance approach. In this subset eight papers are concerned with factors determining adoption or use of IOIS (Cho, 2006; Geri & Ahituv, 2008; Grover & Saeed, 2007; Huang, Janz, & Frolick, 2008; Khazanchi, 2005; Melville & Ramirez, 2008; Nicolaou & McKnight, 2006; Son & Benbasat, 2007). The remaining two papers are concerned with post-adoption effects of IOIS on the buyer-supplier relationship in organizations actually using IOIS. Son et al. (2005) show that "the customer's reciprocal investment in the form of EDI-related support" is a factor that will increase the EDI volume and diversity between trading partners, whereas the customer's exercise of power as means to the same end is not effective. Saeed, Malhotra, & Grover (2005) show how the contextual variables competitive intensity, product characteristics, and internal integration affect process efficiency and sourcing leverage through the use of IOIS. Still both diffusion of innovation theory and different economic-rational theories such as transaction cost theory and competitive benefits as well as trust and power are used to explain the adoption of IOIS (Cho, 2006; Grover & Saeed, 2007; Huang et al., 2008; Melville & Ramirez, 2008; Nicolaou & McKnight, 2006; Saeed et al., 2005; Son & Benbasat, 2007; Son et al., 2005). In addition to these evergreens,

Khazanchi (2005) uses structural contingency theory to explain under what conditions (or factors) an organization should consider itself as a likely candidate for EDI adoption. Eight out of ten papers are using the term 'interorganizational systems' or 'EDI', but Cho (2006) has studied what factors are impacting an organization's intention to adopt a 'third-party B2B portal', and Son & Benbasat (2007) have studied the motivations for organizational strategic moves toward 'B2B electronic marketplaces'. The use of these terms suggests that the internet enables a more loosely coupled design of IOIS between organizations. A distinctive difference between this subset of papers and the former two subsets is that the terms 'sponsor/adopter' have now been replaced by the more neutral terms 'initiator/participant' or by the terms identifying the functional role in the interorganizational relationship 'customer/supplier' (Son et al., 2005), 'clothing company/manufacturer' (Cho, 2006). When used in this subset of papers, the term 'adopter' is now dividing the current users, i.e. organizations, that have already adopted IOIS from 'non-adopters' i.e. organizations that haven't adopted IOIS (Cho, 2006; Geri & Ahituv, 2008; Son & Benbasat, 2007). None of the papers explicitly mention whether the IOIS are replacing manual work processes or existing information systems.

3.4 Configuration of IOIS adopters, 2010 – 2013

In the papers covering the years from 2010 to 2013 six papers were found to be relevant. Two papers are concerned with factors determining adoption or use of IOIS (Henderson, Sheetz, & Trinkle, 2012; Mouzakitis & Askounis, 2010). Two of the remaining papers are concerned with the structural configuration of IOIS adopters: Lyytinen & Damsgaard (2011) shift attention away from viewing IOIS adoption as done by independent organizations - because one adopter cannot form an interorganizational system alone - to an 'adopter configuration' consisting of at least two organizations sharing an organizing vision and key functionality. Rodón & Sesé (2010) propose a typology that characterize IOIS by their structural configuration, and are thereby handing us four categories of IOIS configurations: market, hierarchy, net and hub. One paper is researching IOIS adoption in developing countries (Ali & Kurnia, 2011), and one paper is researching the institutional underpinning of IT innovation diffusion at the interorganizational level (Kaganer, Pawlowski, & Wiley-Patton, 2010). Three of the papers in this subset use the variance approach to predict IOIS adoption or success (Ali & Kurnia, 2011; Henderson et al., 2012; Mouzakitis & Askounis, 2010), two papers offer a adopter configuration typology (Lyytinen & Damsgaard, 2011; Rodón & Sesé, 2010), and one study use the process approach by exploring the forming of an actual organizing vision of IT innovation diffusion at the inter-organizational level (Kaganer et al., 2010). In this subset of papers diffusion of innovation theory is used to explain the adoption of IOIS in a single paper (Henderson et al., 2012). Other theoretical underpinnings are organizing vision (Kaganer et al., 2010; Lyytinen & Damsgaard, 2011), Giddens structuration theory (Rodón & Sesé, 2010), and the Technological-Organizational-Environmental framework (Henderson et al., 2012). Only one paper is using the term 'interorganizational systems' (Ali & Kurnia, 2011), but a new term 'interorganizational information systems' (IOIS) with the same meaning is now being used by Rodón & Sesé (2010) as well as Lyytinen & Damsgaard (Lyytinen & Damsgaard, 2011). None of the papers explicitly mention whether the IOIS' are replacing manual work processes or existing information systems.

4 Discussion

There are interesting facts to be observed in the review. 24 out of 37 reviewed papers were predominantly concerned with economic-rational factors leading to success with or barriers to the adoption of IOIS. As summarized in Table 1 new foci emerged in the research agenda over time starting with factors leading to success with or barriers to the adoption of IOIS, moving to how to create effects with IOIS, to how adoption of IOIS would impact the organization, and ending with how to categorize the configurations of IOIS adopters. In all 32 of the 37 studies used the variance approach focusing on the identification of independent variables causing changes in the dependent variable IOIS adoption. Three studies used the process approach focusing on how a sequence of events unfolds to produce IOIS adoption. Two studies offered a new typology for categorizing IOIS by their structural configuration.

Over time the topic evolved with new technologies, sectors, countries, and industries as well as with new perspectives. A very interesting thought from two of the latest papers is the suggestion that attention should be shifting away from viewing IOIS adoption as done by independent organizations – because one adopter cannot form an interorganizational information system alone - to an 'adopter configuration' consisting of at least two organizations sharing an organizing vision and key functionality. The terminology was also developed from '*interorganizational systems*' over '*EDI*' to'*e-commerce*', and back to '*interorganizational systems*' or '*interorganizational information systems*'. Theories commonly used throughout the years to explain the adoption of IOIS were diffusion of innovation theory as well as different economic-rational theories such as transaction cost theory and competitive benefits, as well as trust and power and organizing vision.

In the papers covering the period from 1995 to 1999 the predominant concern is the actual adoption of IOIS. Eight out of the nine relevant papers are concerned with factors contributing to the success of IOIS, or barriers to IOIS and ways of overcoming them. In the papers covering the period from 2000 to 2004 the actual adoption process is still dominant, and six of the twelve relevant papers are still concerned with factors contributing to the success of IOIS, or 'barriers to IOIS and ways of overcoming them'. But the research agenda has also moved a step forward with four papers exploring how to create effects with IOIS. In the papers covering the years from 2005 to 2009, eight of the ten relevant papers were found to be concerned with factors determining adoption or use of IOIS. But this subset also includes two papers concerned with post-adoption effects of IOIS on the buyer-supplier relationship in organizations actually using IOIS. In the papers covering the years from 2010 to 2013 we find two out of six relevant papers are concerned with factors determining adoption or use of IOIS. Two of the remaining papers are concerned with the structural configuration of IOIS adopters.

Throughout the years covered in this literature review, factors determining adoption or barriers to IOIS have been and still are a large area at the IOIS research agenda, but it seems that there is also complementary areas starting with how to create effects with IOIS moving to how IOIS affect the buyer-supplier relationship they are introduced into, and lately into categorizing the structure of IOIS adopters in different configurations.

	1995 - 1999	2000 - 2004	2005 - 2009	2010 - 2013
Emergent focus	IOIS factors and barriers	Creating effects with IOIS	Post-adoption effects of IOIS on the buyer-supplier relationship	Configuration of IOIS adopters
Variance approach	8 studies	11 studies	10 studies	3 studies
Process approach	1 study	1 study	0 studies	1 study
Typology proposal	0 studies	0 studies	0 studies	2 studies
Theories used to explain the	Diffusion of innovation theory	Diffusion of innovation theory	Diffusion of innovation theory	Diffusion of innovation theory
adoption of IOIS	Transaction cost theory Competitive benefits	Transaction cost theory Competitive benefits	Transaction cost theory Competitive benefits	Organizing vision Structuration theory Technological– Organizational– Environmental framework
	Trust Power	Trust Power Institutional theory	Trust Power Structural contingency theory	
Commonly used concepts	IOS, EDI Sponsors Adopters Trust Power	IOS, e-commerce Sponsors Participant or the term naming the functional role in the interorganizational relationship (payer, borrower, supplier etc.)	IOS, EDI, third-party B2B portal, B2B electronic marketplaces Initiator Participant or the term naming the functional role in the interorganizational relationship Adopter/ non- adopters	IOS, IOIS

Table 1 Summary of findings

5 Research directions

Different papers on adoption of IOIS were found to focus either on the factors determining the adoption of IOIS or the barriers to IOIS and ways of overcoming them, but ony three papers were found to focus on the related adoption process. The apparent lack of understanding of the adoption process calls for research opening the black box of IOIS adoption. Some of the research questions that could be asked in such a study are: What considerations does an organization go through when considering to adopt an IOIS? How do different actors such as different IOIS adopter units, IOIS suppliers, and regulatory bodies impact and shape the decision process in an IOIS adoption? How does the adopter configuration of the IOIS impact and shape the adoption decision process?

6 Conclusions

In this paper I have provided a review of the literature on adoption of IOIS from 1995 till now. The motivation was the recent studies showing that the outcome of introducing interorganizational information systems is still uncertain as well as the observation that many different explanations of IOIS adoption were offered in the wide body of literature. The synthesis of the literature found that the research agenda was focusing first on how to explain why organizations adopt or reject IOIS by studying factors determining adoption of or barriers to IOIS adoption, secondly on how to create the desired organizational effects with IOIS, thirdly on how IOIS are affecting the buyer-supplier relationship they are introduced into, and so far ending by categorizing the structure of IOIS adopters in different configurations. Many papers on adoption of IOIS were found to focus either on the factors determining the adoption of IOIS or the barriers to IOIS adoption and ways of overcoming them, but seemingly only limited effort has been made to study the actual IOIS adoption process. The apparent lack of understanding of this process calls for research opening the black box of IOIS adoption.

References

AIS. (2011). Senior Scholars' Basket of Journals. Retrieved April 4th, 2013, from http://home.aisnet.org/displaycommon.cfm?an=1&subarticlenbr=346

- AIS. (2013). Journal Rankings Page Retrieved April 29th 2013, from http://ais.affiniscape.com/displaycommon.cfm?an=1&subarticlenbr=432
- Ali, M., & Kurnia, S. (2011). Inter-organizational systems (IOS) adoption in the Arabian Gulf region: the case of the Bahraini grocery industry. *Information Technology for Development*, 17(4), 253-267.
- Ali, M., Kurnia, S., & Shanks, G. (2008). Interorganizational systems (IOS) adoption over time: empirical evaluation in the Australian grocery industry. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 19th Australasian Conference on Information Systems (ACIS2008).
- Allen, D. K., Colligan, D., Finnie, A., & Kern, T. (2000). Trust, power and interorganizational information systems: the case of the electronic trading community TransLease. *Information Systems Journal*, 10(1), 21-40.
- Argyres, N. S. (1999). The Impact of Information Technology on Coordination: Evidence From the B-2 "Stealth" Bomber. *Organization Science*, *10*(2), 162-180.
- Burton Swanson, E., & Ramiller, N. C. (1997). The Organizing Vision in Information Systems Innovation. *Organization Science*, 8(5), 458-474.
- Cavaye, A. L. M. (1995). The sponsor-adopter gap-differences between promoters and potential users of information systems that link organizations. *International Journal of Information Management*, 15(2), 85-96.
- Cavaye, A. L. M., & Cragg, P. B. (1995). Factors contributing to the success of customer oriented interorganizational systems. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 4(1), 13-30.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide Through Qualitative Analysis. London: Sage Publications.
- Chau, P. Y. K., & Jim, C. C. F. (2002). Adoption of electronic data interchange in small and mediumsized enterprises. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 10(4), 61-85.
- Cho, V. (2006). Factors in the Adoption of Third-Party B2B Portals in the Textile Industry. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 46(3), 18-31.
- Chwelos, P., Benbasat, I., & Dexter, A. S. (2001). Research Report: Empirical Test of an EDI AdoptionModel. *Information Systems Research*, 12(3), 304.
- Garfield, M. J., Kamis, A. A., & LeRouge, C. M. (2004). Champion Networks in Federated Interorganizational Systems: Case Studies in Telemedicine. *Communications of AIS*, 14, 596-615.
- Geri, N., & Ahituv, N. (2008). A Theory of Constraints approach to interorganizational systems implementation. *Information Systems & e-Business Management, 6*(4), 341-360.
- Grover, V., & Saeed, K. A. (2007). The Impact of Product, Market, and Relationship Characteristics on Interorganizational System Integration in Manufacturer--Supplier Dyads. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 23(4), 185-216.
- Han, K., Kauffman, R. J., & Nault, B. R. (2004). Information exploitation and interorganizational systems ownership. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 21(2), 109-135.
- Hart, P., & Saunders, C. (1997). Power and Trust: Critical Factors in the Adoption and Use of Electric Data Interchange. *Organization Science*, 8(1), 23-42.
- Henderson, D., Sheetz, S. D., & Trinkle, B. S. (2012). The determinants of inter-organizational and internal in-house adoption of XBRL: A structural equation model. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *13*(2), 109-140.
- Huang, Z., Janz, B. D., & Frolick, M. N. (2008). A Comprehensive Examination of Internet-EDI Adoption. *Information Systems Management*, 25(3), 273-286.
- Johnston, R. B., & Gregor, S. (2000). A theory of industry-level activity for understanding the adoption of interorganizational systems. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 9(4), 243.
- Kaefer, F., & Bendoly, E. (2000). Adoption of Electronic Data Interchange: A model and practical tool for managers. *Decision Support Systems*, *30*(1), 23-32.
- Kaganer, E., Pawlowski, S. D., & Wiley-Patton, S. (2010). Building Legitimacy for IT Innovations: The Case of Computerized Physician Order Entry Systems. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 11(1), 1-33.
- Khazanchi, D. (2005). Information Technology (IT) Appropriateness: The Contingency Theory of "Fit" and IT Implementation in Small and Medium Enterprises. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 45(3), 88-95.

- Krasnikov, A., Jayachandran, S., & Kumar, V. (2009). The Impact of Customer Relationship Management Implementation on Cost and Profit Efficiencies: Evidence from the U.S. Commercial Banking Industry. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(6), 61-76.
- Kumar, K., & van Dissel, H. G. (1996). Sustainable Collaboration: Managing Conflict and Cooperation in Interorganizational Systems. *MIS Quarterly*, 20(3), 279-300.
- Kumar, K., Van Dissel, H. G., & Bielli, P. (1998). The Merchant of Prato--Revisited: Toward a Third Rationality of Information Systems. *MIS Quarterly*, 22(2), 199-226.
- Lyytinen, K., & Damsgaard, J. (2011). Inter-organizational information systems adoption a configuration analysis approach. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 20(5), 496-509.
- Melville, N., & Ramirez, R. (2008). Information technology innovation diffusion: an information requirements paradigm. *Information Systems Journal*, 18(3), 247-273.
- Menon, N. M., Yaylacicegi, U., & Cezar, A. (2009). Differential Effects of the Two Types of Information Systems: A Hospital-Based Study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 26(1), 297-316.
- Mouzakitis, S., & Askounis, D. (2010). A Knowledge-Based Framework for Measuring Organizational Readiness for the Adoption of B2B Integration Systems. *Information Systems Management*, 27(3), 253-266.
- Nagy, A. (2006). *Collaboration and conflict in the electronic integration of supply networks*. Paper presented at the HICSS'06. Proceedings of the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
- Nicolaou, A. I., & McKnight, D. H. (2006). Perceived Information Quality in Data Exchanges: Effects on Risk, Trust, and Intention to Use. *Information Systems Research*, 17(4), 332-351.
- Parry, E. (2011). An examination of e-HRM as a means to increase the value of the HR function. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(5), 1146-1162.
- Premkumar, G., & Ramamurthy, K. (1995). The Role of Interorganizational and Organizational Factors on the Decision Mode for Adoption of Interorganizational Systems. *Decision Sciences*, 26(3), 303-336.
- Ramamurthy, K., Premkumar, G., & Crum, M. R. (1999). Organizational and Interorganizational Determinants of EDI Diffusion and Organizational Performance: A Causal Model. *Journal of Organizational Computing & Electronic Commerce*, 9(4), 253.
- Rodón, J., & Sesé, F. (2010). Analysing IOIS adoption through structural contradictions. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 19(6), 637-648.
- Saeed, K. A., Malhotra, M. K., & Grover, V. (2005). Examining the impact of interorganizational systems on process efficiency and sourcing leverage in buyer-supplier dyads. *Decision Sciences*, 36(3), 365-395.
- Son, J.-Y., & Benbasat, I. (2007). Organizational buyer's adoption and use of B2B electronic marketplaces: Efficiency- and legitimacy-oriented perspectives. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(1), 55-99.
- Son, J.-Y., Narasimhan, S., & Riggins, F. J. (2005). Effects of Relational Factors and Channel Climate on EDI Usage in the Customer-Supplier Relationship. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 22(1), 321-353.
- Subramani, M. (2004). How do Suppliers Benefit from Information Technology Use in Supply Chain Relationships? *MIS Quarterly*, 28(1), 45-73.
- Teo, H. H., Wei, K. K., & Benbasat, I. (2003). Predicting Intention to Adopt Interorganizational Linkages: An Institutional Perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 19-49.
- Truman, G. E. (2000). Integration in Electronic Exchange Environments. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 17(1), 209-244.
- Van de Ven, A. H., & Poole, M. S. (2005). Alternative Approaches for Studying Organizational Change. *Organization Studies*, 26(9), 1377-1404.
- Wastell, D., Kawalek, P., Langmead-Jones, P., & Ormerod, R. (2004). Information systems and partnership in multi-agency networks: an action research project in crime reduction. *Information & Organization*, 14(3), 189-210.
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13.
- Zhu, K., & Weyant, J. P. (2003). Strategic Decisions of New Technology Adoption under Asymmetric Information: A Game-Theoretic Model. *Decision Sciences*, *34*(4), 643-675.