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Outline

In a recent EJIS opinion piece – “Why the old world cannot publish” – four respected IS academics opined why it is that “the European publication record is disappointing” (Lyytinen, et al., 2007). They “propose several constructive reasons” for this state of affairs. These include: a “lack of appreciation” of journal articles, “weak publishing cultures”, and “inadequate PhD preparation”, amongst others. The authors were deliberately controversial in raising these issues, and so is this panel, which will take the form of a debate. The aim is to build on the ECIS panel of last year, from which the EJIS opinion piece emerged, with a view to adding new insights into what Galliers & Meadows (2003) called a “discipline divided”. The intention is not to privilege one tradition above another, but to point out their distinctive characteristics, and to argue for diversity in IS research. We may argue whether cricket is preferable to baseball, or vice versa, but it is too simplistic to form a debate about the new and the old. Cricket may have developed in the old world, but parts of the new world excel in it. Baseball may be played in part of the new world, but an ancient part of Asia has taken it to heart. Things are more complex in the age of ‘globality’ (Beck, 2000).

The panel is made up of two of the authors of the EJIS article; two AIS Vice Presidents, and former AIS presidents. First, we shall start with the authors of the EJIS piece, who will expand on their arguments. We then turn to neither a European nor a North American perspective before returning to perspectives from either side of the Atlantic.

Stances

Richard Baskerville will point to what he perceives as an increasing tendency in Europe to compete with North American research productivity on North American terms. The name of this game – let’s call it baseball – is tallying the number of published articles in highly ranked journals that tend to emanate from North America. Among the points made in the EJIS article is that, if this is going to be the game, the European research traditions (culture, training, etc.) are going to be a handicap. Don’t prepare for baseball by cricket training in other words. You can’t expect experienced cricket players to teach rookies how to play big league baseball. From Baskerville’s perspective, the EJIS article highlights the results of the early stages of European efforts to play baseball with the rules of cricket in mind.

Kalle Lyytinen will come to the debate as a European and a European trained scholar who is really concerned about the future of IS and its role in Europe. For him, it is not a question of ‘new world’ versus ‘old world’, despite the title of the EJIS article, but rather “Why the ‘old
world’ cannot see that our agenda should not be about the ‘new world’”. We should not be arguing about qualitative research versus quantitative research, or any paradigmatic position, or inclusion versus exclusion. Rather, he asks whether it makes sense for European IS to even try to build North American style research competence, and whether our logic in attaining such research competence is appropriate. In other words, what is the nature and ambition of IS research in Europe?

Cathy Urquhart will take a different stance by discussing the issue of publication from the perspective of coming from neither the ‘new world’ of North America or from the ‘old world’ of Europe, and from the perspective of having been involved in the editing processes of a range of different journals. She will discuss the publication game from the perspective of social inclusion. Social inclusion is defined as “participation in the determination of both individual and collective life chances” (Stewart, 2000). There is more to social inclusion than equal access to resources: individuals may be excluded because of discrimination based on gender, race, sexual preference, disability, or political persecution (Warschauer, 2003).

Brian Fitzgerald will argue that ‘new world’ versus ‘old world’, or Europe versus ‘new world’, is not a recognisable or automatic dichotomy in other disciplines. Why should it be thus in IS? Such a dichotomy is self-fulfilling in that people taking such a stance will tend to believe that one camp produces ‘better’ research. He will argue that neither side has a monopoly on good or bad research, and that it is more to do with formulaic genres than substantive differences.

Bob Galliers will reflect on the anatomy and multi-faceted nature of European IS research (Avgerou, et al., 1999; Galliers & Whitley, 2007; Whitley & Galliers, 2007). His approach will be to argue for diversity in the ever expanding universe of the IS field. The rules of the baseball game in North America may lead to certain conclusions about what is ‘high impact’ IS research, but different rules may apply in other parts of the world, where cricket is king, and even where it isn’t. Baseball’s world champions (sic.), by definition, come from North America, and so it is too with American football. There is little to be gained from playing to someone else’s rules.
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