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On Detecting Feasible Periodicity for Periodic Event in Binary Data Series

Yang Rui\textsuperscript{1}, Yuan Hua\textsuperscript{1}, Qian Yu\textsuperscript{1}, Hou Lun\textsuperscript{11}

\textsuperscript{1}School of Management and Economics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, China

Abstract: In this paper, we investigated the problem of discovering periodicity of a certain event in a binary data series and a new method basing on cross entropy is proposed. First, a series of rational partition methods for binary data series are introduced, which can divide the data series into different segments (partition). Then, we use cross entropy to calculate the partition periodicity, which could be the good measurements for the feasible of event periodicity. Finally, a periodicity evaluation method is proposed to obtain the feasible periodicity of the given event. The results of calculation example show that the method can be used to explore feasible event periodicity in binary data series.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The sequential data series is a series that is commonly used in presentation the events sequentially happened, such as e-business information query for an Internet user, transactions in a superstore, etc.

Let $x$ be an event happened in relation with time, and all the appearances of $x$ can be presented by a sequential data series as:

$$S = \{e_1; e_2; \ldots; e_S\}$$

where $|S|$ is the length of time and $e_i$ denotes the appearance of $x$ at time $i$:

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{event } x \text{ has happened at time } i; \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. The binary data series $S$ is said to have periodicity if a certain event $x$ is repeated periodically\textsuperscript{[1]}. Note that, here we define that “repeated” means at least two periods.

Discovering the periodicity of each event happened in sequential data series is a valuable work for data analyzing. By identifying periodicity of a certain event in data series, it could reveal important observations about the behavior and future trends of the case represented by the data series, and hence would lead to more effective decision making\textsuperscript{[2, 3]}.

The traditional periodicity detection for a certain event is a process for finding temporal regularities within the data series. In this work, we address the problem of how to detect the feasible periods of event $x$ in $S$. To this line, partition periodicity is introduced to investigate the problem of periodicity discovering in binary data series. First, $\pi(n)$-partition method for binary data series are introduced, which can divide binary data series into different segments. Then, we use cross entropy to measure the feasibility of all the real partitions for a certain event’s appearances periodicity. Finally, we proposed an evaluation method to obtain the periodicity of periodic event.
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The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes related work in the literature; Section 3 shows the whole methodology; A calculation example and some experiment results for the proposed method are shown in section 4; Finally, Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. RELATED WORK

The previous methods on periodicity patterns mining in large data sets are mainly on mining full periodic patterns and partial periodicity is very common in practice. In [6], Han et al. studied an interesting data mining problem of searching for partial periodic patterns in time-series databases. Promoted by this research, Cao et al. proposed a new structure, the abbreviated list table (ALT), and several efficient algorithms to compute the partial periods and patterns [6]. He et al. investigated an interesting type of periodic pattern, called partial periodic (PP) correlation in [6]. In [7], Yang et al. proposed a more flexible model of asynchronous periodic pattern that may be present only within a subsequence and whose occurrences may be shifted due to disturbance. Yang et al. proposed a new mining problem that is to find surprising periodic patterns in a sequence of data [8]. In [9], An efficient single-pass algorithm using a best-first search strategy without support threshold, called MTKPP (Mining Top-K Periodic-frequent Patterns), is proposed. To address “rare item problem”, minimum constraint model has been extended to the basic model of periodic-frequent patterns [10]. In [11], J. Assfalg et al. presented a framework that provides similarity search in time series databases regarding specific periodic patterns. The common features of these researches are they assume that users either know the value of the period beforehand or are willing to try various period values until satisfactory periodic patterns emerge [1].

As for the periodicity detection in series data, Michail Vlachos et al. presented a non-parametric method for accurate periodicity detection and introduced a new periodic distance measures for time-series sequences [12]. Parthasarathy et al. have presented an algorithm for detecting periodicity in time series datasets, which leverages the frequency characterization and autocorrelation structure inherent in a time series to estimate its periodicity [13].

3. THE METHOD

3.1 Some basic definitions

Assume that a periodic partition \( \pi = \{ P_1 \mid P_2 \mid \ldots \mid P_k \} \), where

\[
P_i = \{ e_{(i-1)\frac{\delta}{k}} + \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, e_{i\frac{\delta}{k}} \}
\]

which divide the time interval \([1, |S|]\) into \(k (k \geq 2)\) equal segments as follows:

![Figure 1. The partition over time interval [1, |S|]](image)

Definition 2. The total appearances of event \(x\) in \(S\) is called the support of \(x\), which is denoted by

\[
supp(x) = \sum_{t \in F} e_t
\]

(3)

Accordingly, the total appearances of event \(x\) in \(P_j\) is denoted by

\[
supp(x \mid P_j) = \sum_{t \in F_j} e_t
\]

(4)

With respect to periodic partition \(\pi\), if \(supp(x \mid P_j) > 0\), we say that \(x\) appears in \(P_j\). Otherwise, event \(x\) never appears in period \(P_j\).

Lemma 1. \(supp(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} supp(x \mid P_j)\).
Lemma 2. If \( \pi \) is a “good” partition to show the periodicity of event \( x \) in \( S \), then the distribution of \( \text{supp}(x|P_j) \) \((j=1,...,k)\) will be equally.

Proof. Since the periodic partition \( \pi =\{P_1|P_2|...|P_k\} \) divide time interval \([1,|S|]\) into \( k \) equal segments, and \( x \) show periodicity w.r.t. partition \( \pi \), then we can expect that \( \text{supp}(x|P_j) \approx \text{supp}(x|P_i) \), where \( i,j=1,...,k \), for any \( i \neq j \).

On the other hand, we can obtain the following result with lemma 1:

That is, the probability of \( x \) appearing in \( P_j \) \((j=1,...,k)\) is almost equally to \( \frac{1}{k} \). Along this line, the problem of detecting feasible periods of event \( x \) in \( S \) consists of two main steps: (1) discover a set of feasible partition \( \pi =\{P_1|P_2|...|P_k\} \); (2) find a function \( f \) to measure the closeness, i.e. feasibility, of distribution of \( \text{supp}(x|P_j) \) to \( \frac{1}{k} \).

3.2 \( \pi(n) \)-partition method for binary series data

Assume that there exists a partition \( \pi(n) \), which divide the time interval \([1,|S|]\) as follows:

- Begin with the first event;
- Every continuous \( n \) appearances of \( x \), i.e. \( e_i \), are collected into a sub interval: \( P_i = \{\gamma_{[i-1] \times \frac{|S|}{n}} + 1, ..., \gamma_{i \times \frac{|S|}{n}}\} \), \( i \in \left[0,\frac{|S|}{n}\right] \), which means that events \( \{\gamma_{[i-1] \times \frac{|S|}{n}} + 1, ..., \gamma_{i \times \frac{|S|}{n}}\} \) are deemed as appearing in the same period \( P_i \) by \( \pi(n) \).

As a result, \( \pi(n) = \{P_1|P_2|...|P_{\left[\frac{|S|}{n}\right]}\} \) partitions the time interval \([1,|S|]\) into \( \left[\frac{|S|}{n}\right] \) continuous periods (segments). See Figure 2.

![Figure 2. \( \pi(n) \) partition method.](image)

3.3 Cross entropy

Suppose \( Y \) is a discrete random variable that obtains values from a finite set \( y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \) with probabilities \( p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \). Shannon developed the concept of entropy to measure the uncertainty of discrete random variable \( Y \) as:

\[
H(Y) = -\sum_y p(y) \log p(y).
\] (5-1)

Further, given two probability distributions \( p =\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_n\} \) and \( q =\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\} \), the cross entropy or the Kullback-Leibler divergence \( [15] \) between \( p \) and \( q \) is defined by

\[
D_{KL}(p\|q) = \sum_y p(y) \log \frac{p(y)}{q(y)}
\] (5-2)

By convention, here \( 0 \log 0 = 0 \).

Theorem 1. \( D_{KL}(p\|q) = 0 \), if \( p = q \) \( [15] \).
3.4 Partition periodicity detection

In this work, we will use cross entropy to measure the feasibility of a potential periodic event.

If $\pi(n)$ is a “good” partition to show the periodicity of event $x$ in $S$, then it would divide the time interval $[1, |S|]$ into $\frac{|S|}{\pi}$ continuous segments, and we can expect that the perfect distribution of $x$ in each segment $P_i$ can be referred as:

$$ q_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{|S|}{\pi}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\frac{|S|}{\pi}} \right\} $$ (6-1)

That is to say, the partition periodicity reveals that how well-distributed the event $x$ in $S$. Obviously, the more balanced appearances distribution of $x$ in $S$, e.g., uniform distribution, the better periodicity for $x$ in time interval $[1, |S|]$. Unfortunately, with the partition $\pi(n)$, the appearances of $x$ in each segment $P_i$ would be randomly in real data series. The posterior probability distribution can be calculated as:

$$ p_n = \left\{ \frac{\text{supp}(x|P_k)}{\text{supp}(x)}, \ldots, \frac{\text{supp}(x|P_1)}{\text{supp}(x)} \right\} $$ (6-2)

We can obtain a value of $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ as:

$$ D_{KL}(p||q)_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{|S|}{\pi}} p_n \log \frac{p_n}{q_n} $$

(7)

In real, different partition $\pi(n)$ on sequence $S$ will result different distribution $p_n$ and $q_n$. Known from theorem 1, a smaller value of $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ means the posterior distribution $p_n$ is more close to $q_n$. Let $D_0$ be the threshold measure of $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$, all the “good” period partitions $\pi(n)$ for periodic event $x$ should satisfy the condition that:

$$ n^* = \underset{n \in \text{15ns}[\frac{1}{2}]}{\arg \min} [D_{KL}(p||q)_n] $$

(8)

Relation (8) means that there may be more than one feasible period for $x$ in $S$, in which, the definition of $D_0$ is more related to the management sense in real application. So, our goal is to finding a bunch of density $p^*_n$ to minimizes the Kullback-Leibler distance. With this line, we propose the following greedy algorithm to calculate the feasibility of all the potential periods for $x$:

---

**Algorithm 1 Calculation $D_{KL}(p||q)$**

**Input:** Binary time series data set $S$, $D_0$; **Output:** $D_{KL}$.

**For** $i=1$ to $\frac{|S|}{2}$ **do**

- Partition $S$ into $\frac{|S|}{i}$ segments;
- Compute $p_k = \frac{\text{supp}(x|P_k)}{\text{supp}(x)}, k = 1, \ldots, \frac{|S|}{i}$;
- $D_{KL}(p||q)_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{|S|}{i}} p_k \log \left( \frac{p_k}{q_{\frac{|S|}{i}}} \right)$;

**If** $D_{KL}(p||q)_i \leq D_0$ **then** $D_{KL} = \bigcup[D_{KL}(p||q)_i]$;

**End for**

**Return** $D_{KL}$.
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we present a case study to show the performance of the proposed method in finding periodicity of event in binary data series.

4.1 An example

Given two binary sequences $BS_{x1} = \{10000 10000 10000 10000\}$ and $BS_{x2} = \{10000 01000 00100 010\}$. The calculate results of $D_{KL}(p||q)$ for each partition $\pi(n)_{2\leq n\leq 10}$ are shown in Figure 3. It is easy to know the feasible partition for symbol $x_1$ and $x_2$ from the above figure are $n^*_{x1} = \{5, 10\}$ and $n^*_{x2} = \{5, 6, 10\}$ with threshold $D_0=0.01$.

![Figure 3. D_{KL}(p||q)_n values for event x_1 and x_2.](image)

4.2 Experimental setup

Two real-world data sets have been used in the experiments.

The first, Amazon access samples data set (AASDS), was downloaded from the UCI Machine Learning Repository (http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/) which was created and donated by Amazon Corp in 2011 and has been cited over 1000 times. AASDS contains 30000 users’ access history from 2005.8 to 2010.8. Actually, the time attribution is most important for studying the accessing periodicity of Amazon users, thus we generated the time data series $S_{Amazon}$ mainly according to the attribution of REQUEST DATE. The data processing is as following: user (ID#33400) with 716064 actions records, i.e., records of event $\{x=accessing Amazon.com\}$, is chose in the experiment; the first action time of user #33400 recorded in AASDS as the start of $e_1$ and the last action time as the end of the series $e_{|S|}$; all these actions are counted by 24-hours-day, for example, if the user #33400 had accessed Amazon.com more than 0 times in Sep. 2, 2005, then we marked $e$ at the position of day Sep. 2, 2005 as “1” in $S_{Amazon}$, otherwise, “0” is marked.

Another data set is the Lover’s Call Data Set (LCDS) collected from two lover’s communications from Nov.1, 2011 to Dec. 1, 2011 by cell phone. We generated data series $S_{Call}$ as follows: the first hour of Nov.1, 2011 is set as $e_1$ and the last hour of Nov.30, 2011 is set as $e_{|S|}$; if the two had called each in each hour, we marked $e$ at the position of this hour as “1” in $S_{Call}$, or “0” is marked.

| Data set | Domain          | #Users          | #Records | #Recording Time | #|S| |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---|---|
| AASDS    | Web site accessing | 1 selected: ID#33400 | 716064   | 2629440         | 1857 days |
| LCDS     | Communication    | 1 selected     | 108      | 43200           | 720 hours  |
4.3 Experimental results

(a) Calculate $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ for accessing Amazon.com  
(b) Calculate $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ for Lover’s call

Figure 4. $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ values for user accessing Amazon.com and the Lovers’ call.

The experiment results in Figure 4 indicates that the local minimum $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ goes down (more feasible) along with increasing partition $n$.

All the values of $D_{KL}(p||q)$ for potential periodic partition, i.e., $\pi(n)_{2a\leq n \leq |S|/2}$ will be calculated by the algorithm 1, so the series of $\{D_{KL}(p||q)_n\}$ $2a\leq n \leq |S|/2$ will also show some periodic patterns since $x$ appears periodically in $S$. From this angle of viewpoint, we can make a pre-judgment for the periodicity of each event from the value series of $\{D_{KL}(p||q)_n\}$ $2a\leq n \leq |S|/2$.

Known from the results of figure 4, the events series of user #33400 for accessing Amazon.com are very regular and the period is about $n^* = 43$ days. On the contrary, the lover’s phone calling events shows weak periodicity, which means the two lovers called each other randomly, and the calling events were not uniformly distributed.

4.4 Penalty factor for $\pi(n)$-partition

An important issue for the proposed $\pi(n)$-partition method is that: a partition with bigger $n$ will make it more easier to satisfy the appearance condition of $x$ in each segment, i.e., $\text{supp}(x|\pi_i)>0, i=1,..,\left\lfloor\frac{|S|}{n}\right\rfloor$. That is to say, the partitioned period with bigger $n$ will gain more partition feasibility.

On the other hand, the rules with big periodicity may helplessness in real application decision making, for example, assume that we know a periodic rule “sold laptop every week” about an e-commerce web site, so, the periodic rule “sold laptop every month” is also holds true, but the latter is helpless for marketing decision making. To meet this challenge, we introduce a penalty factor $\log(n)$ to balance this bias and use the modified value of $\log(n)*D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ to measure the partition feasibility of $\pi(n)$ for the periodicity of periodic event $x$. The red lines in figure 6 show the new $D_{KL}$ values with penalty factor.
Figure 5. $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ values with penalty factor for the events of user accessing Amazon.com and the Lovers’ call.

Figure 5 indicates that the penalty factor can enlarge the difference between $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ and $D_{KL}(p||q)_{n+1}$, especially, under circumstances that $\pi(n)$ is locally “good” periodic partition, the penalty factor will makes the values of $D_{KL}(p||q)_n$ more significant to help us find the exact “good” periodic partition.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we investigated the problem of discovering periodicity of a binary data series and a cross entropy based new method is proposed. First, a series of rational partition methods for binary data series are introduced, which can divide $S$ into different segments (partition). Then, we use cross entropy to calculate the partition feasibility, which could be a good measure for the feasible periodicity of event. Finally, according to the generated partition feasibility, we proposed a periodicity evaluation method to obtain the feasible periodicity of event. The results of calculation example show that the method can be used to explore feasible event periodicity in binary data series.
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