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BISE: While the behavioral approach to IS research is often suffering from a lack of relevance, some claim that the design science research approach to IS research often lacks rigor. As one of the founding fathers of the IS discipline who always proposed innovative artifacts aiming at solving important, relevant problems in organizations, what is your position?

Nunamaker: My version of the design science model is predicated on rigor by definition, as it follows the basic research wheel diagram, first published in JMIS 1991 (see Fig. 1).

The key to my approach is evaluation of the IS artifact whether it is in the lab or the field and it is an expansion or derivation of a theory to guide the process. You can start at any position on the diagram as long as it includes the theory, prototype and validation by experiments or field study.

When innovative artifacts are created, however, a specific challenge arises which I call “going the last mile.” The last research mile includes three phases: proof of concept, proof of value and proof of use. This means that a designed artifact is not really understood and cannot really be evaluated before it is actually implemented. A good example is the moon landing which has been simulated and subject of experiments for years, but which created totally unexpected challenges and insights not before it was actually done. If they had stopped a mile short, it would have been entirely different. Researchers cannot predict the impact of their results when only sitting at
BISE: What are the most important application areas where the IS discipline can and should make such “unique contributions”?

Nunamaker: Security and information assurance are hot topics. We found an extremely important application area in border security. That is, the automation of the screening process for people crossing a border or arriving at a checkpoint. Neither computer science alone with its technical solutions nor psychology or other behavioral disciplines are able to address the challenges of today’s security problems in a sufficiently integrated way. In many regards, deception detection goes through the same process that I went through with collaborative systems technology for decision making twenty years ago. It is important to go the last mile in research, i.e. to put innovative artifacts into action and analyze how they are used and how they perform. You will see things that you did not see in the lab and that you cannot see sitting at your desk. There are many areas that also need an integrated, multidisciplinary approach.

BISE: Design theories transform explanatory kernel IS theories in a way that they support the actual construction of useful IS artifacts. Due to the large variety of design problem classes and the multifaceted IS field, I see no commonly accepted reference system for design theories. What is your view on design theories?

Nunamaker: Design theories and explanatory theories provide guidance. A good example is that a theory provides direction but is often insufficient to evaluate an operational problem. When applying a theory, we have often found that we need to extend it and as a result the theory becomes more complicated. If you strive for explanation, you want simplified models. And if you do not go the last mile, you end up with too simple theories.

BISE: Do you think that we sufficiently understand the iterative search process of design? We have a plethora of “bottom-up” design theories as well as many approaches to better understand various design problem classes in a “top-down” way. Simon and many others recommend performing an iterative, maybe hierarchical search that combines “bottom-up” and “top-down” components. What is
your experience with the search process in design research?

Nunamaker: I am a bottom-up person with top-down guidance for systems projects. The process starts with a theory, with experiments and collecting data. It then becomes a kind of “middle-out” process. An important finding is that, as iterations continue, there is no way of avoiding the adjusting of the theory. The proof of value and proof of use stages added incredible depth to our understanding and theory development. We needed 15 years of iterations to develop our work on group decision making into a theory of collaboration – and we are not there yet. But we are getting very close to having a theory of collaboration after running hundreds of experiments and field studies.

BISE: Which implications has your experience with and understanding of design oriented IS research for research organization and, maybe even more important, for research funding?

Nunamaker: Over the last 20 years, we went from 90% industry funding to 95% government funding. Although companies are actually benefiting from our research, they are reluctant to finance it more than just in terms of short-term studies. It takes three to six months to put a research team together. The long-term perspective that is needed for several design iterations can only be achieved by government funding. It is important to note that, in my opinion, IS research is not only multi-disciplinary, but also multi-university. Collaboration between research institutions and pooling of funds are necessary.

BISE: Given the fact that the establishment of top tier journals that not only occasionally publish design oriented IS research needs time: What alternative publication outlets do you recommend? What needs to be done to integrate alternative publication outlets in scholarly assessment and promotion processes?

Nunamaker: First, hedging is a strategy that also works for publishing. There are lots of top tier journals out there that might publish inter-disciplinary work as long as it is relevant and rigorous – for example in psychology, linguistics, computer science or management. We should not limit ourselves to the IS journals. Of course this requires promotion committees not to base their recommendations on a narrow list of mainstream IS outlets. A second strategy is the establishment of outlets that do not restrict themselves to the wrong focus on explanatory, descriptive theory building. Let the market decide which outlets will be in the prime basket in a few years. My advice is to also publish in the reference journals. I have found support for Design Science Research papers in IEEE Intelligent Systems, JMIS, Group Decision Support and Negotiation, IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems, CACM. A new journal ACM Transactions on MIS will publish its first issue in the fall of 2010. TMIS will come from the University of Arizona with Professor Hsinchun Chen as Editor-in-Chief.

BISE: Prof. Nunamaker, thanks for sharing your thoughts with us.
Challenge, trust, a strong team. My know-how counts.

Dr. Petra Stephan,
Allianz Deutschland AG, IT Manager

Allianz IT means maximum performance. Day by day, in many different countries of this world. We develop custom-made IT solutions in collaboration with both female and male colleagues. We rely on team spirit and trust.

What do you rely on?

www.perspektiven.allianz.de
One name.
One brand.
One bank.

Commerzbank – a strong partner for Germany.

The new Commerzbank unites the strengths of Commerzbank and Dresdner Bank. As the leading bank for retail and corporate clients in Germany, we combine strong local links and a long tradition with the end-to-end expertise and power of an international network. In this way, we live our core values of partnership and performance: in our dealings with clients, investors and employees, and in providing outstanding quality and service. This makes us a reliable, strong long-term partner – Germany's bank of choice. www.commerzbank.com

Achieving more together
Die Auszeichnung für exzellente wissenschaftliche Arbeiten.

FIND KEY INSIGHTS IN JUST A FEW KEYWORDS

They’re in your company. They’re in your data. Hidden insights and patterns that can support your critical business decisions. Introducing SAP® BusinessObjects™ Explorer, an intuitive information discovery tool that lets you search vast amounts of data in seconds. Need to know what style heels are in vogue on the King’s Road? Looking for cost-efficient ways to get silk from India shipped to you faster? SAP BusinessObjects Explorer lets you instantly discover the answers you need to know, as well as the questions you didn’t know to ask. It’s how business is done in a clear new world. Visit sap.com/keyinsights

THE BEST-RUN BUSINESSES RUN SAP™
We shape the future
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