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Abstract

Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems have become the de facto standard for large and medium companies to run all their major functional and process operations. Some even describe ERP systems as the price of entry for running a business. ERP system per se cannot be perceived as a source of competitive advantage anymore, since a significant percentage of companies already implemented them. On the other hand, innovation plays an increasingly important role in sustaining competitiveness. Although ERP systems may seem incommensurate with the notion of innovation, this is not the case in real life. It may be argued that innovation capabilities can be improved by ERP systems because of improved transparency and better information flow. The paper addresses two research questions: 1) What does academic literature says about the combination of ERP systems and innovations? 2) How important are improved innovation capabilities in the ERP system selection process?

The first research question is answered based on literature review of relevant articles from Web of Science journals. The main findings are that the articles discuss (1) ERP system (implementation) as innovation (implementation), (2) ERP system driven innovation (impact of ERP systems in the post-implementation phase on innovation), and (3) ERP system innovation (i.e. innovation of ERP system). A large part of (2) perceives ERP system also as innovation.

The second research question is rather practical and it cannot be approached from a strictly theoretical point of view. We try to answer this question using the results of the questionnaire research conducted in Slovak and Slovenian companies in May and June 2007. The main finding is that the importance of improved innovation capabilities is significantly higher in Slovakia than in Slovenia.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The enterprise resource planning (ERP) system is an integrated set of programs that provides support for core business processes. Functions of an ERP system generally cover elements of the value chain from raw material purchases, inventory management, production, goods, shipments, invoicing, accounting, and human resource management (Peslak, Subramanian, and Clayton, 2007). An ERP system helps different parts of an organization to share data, information, to reduce costs, and to improve management of business processes (Aladwani, 2001). According to Peslak (2006), ERP systems have become the de facto standard for large and medium companies to run all their major functional and process operations. Kumar at al. (2000) go even further and describe ERP systems as the price of entry for running a business.

ERP system per se cannot be perceived as a source of competitive advantage anymore, since a significant percentage of companies already implemented them. But according to McAdam et al. (2005), innovation and change management play an increasingly important role in sustaining “leading edge” competitiveness for organizations in times of rapid change and increased competition. Although innovation capabilities are probably not covered by any ERP system definition, but since information technology is so diffused nowadays, end-users expect from ERP systems much more than in the past.

Even though some may perceive ERP systems as constraining and inflexible, i.e. ERP systems may seem incommensurate with the notion of innovation, e.g. Srivardhana et al. (2007) show that it is not the case. The idea of investigating the importance of improved innovation capabilities as an ERP system selection criterion stems from Bernroider et al. (2001). It may be argued that innovation capabilities can be improved by ERP systems because of improved transparency and better information flow. Therefore, our first research question is - what does academic literature says about the combination of ERP systems and innovations? We try to answer this in the second chapter based on literature review of relevant articles from Web of Science journals.

The second research question is - How important are improved innovation capabilities in the ERP system selection process? This is rather a practical question, which cannot be approached from a strictly theoretical point of view. We try to answer this question using the results of the questionnaire research conducted in Slovak and Slovenian companies in May and June 2007.

The paper is organized in the following way: the second chapter discusses Web of Science articles on ERP systems and innovation, the third chapter describes data and methodology used in the empirical research, the fourths chapter provides results of this survey, and the fifth chapter concludes findings of this paper.

2 ERP SYSTEMS AND INNOVATION

Our literature survey shows that as of 16 September 2008, there are 56 articles on “enterprise resource planning” and select* in journals covered in Web of Science. (The query was not “enterprise resource planning systems” because it is quite common that the first occurrence in the text is “enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems”, and from then on, it is addressed only as “ERP”. It is not possible to search for “ERP” because the search engine would find each word with a substring of “erp”.) But only four of them (Bendoly, Bachrach et al., 2006; Kumar, Maheshwari et al., 2003; Carnicky, 2003; Kumar, Maheshwari et al., 2002a) mention “innovation”. There are 41 Web of Science articles, which mention “enterprise resource planning” and “innovation”. We looked into 38 of them published in the period of 2000-2007. There are two reasons for not including three articles from 2008. The more scientific one is that it would not cover the whole year 2008. The more practical one is that neither Copenhagen Business School nor University of Maribor have access to the latest three articles. But in some of them (Bendoly, Bachrach et al., 2006; Park, Suh et al., 2007) “innovation” appears only in an article title in references, in a few others (Kimms, 2003; Bajwa, Garcia et al., 2004; Somers and Nelson, 2004; Shepherd, 2006; Gunasekaran and Ngai, 2007) is “innovation” mentioned rather by a coincidence.
The remaining articles discuss ERP systems or their implementation as innovation or innovation implementation *per se* (Hislop, Newell et al., 2000; Legare, 2002; Kumar, Maheshwari et al., 2002a; Kumar, Maheshwari et al., 2002b; Stratman and Roth, 2002; Rajagopal, 2002; Waarts, van Everdingen et al., 2002; Abdinnour-Helm, Lengnick-Hall et al., 2003; Kumar, Maheshwari et al., 2003; Siiau and Messersmith, 2003; Van Everdingen and Waarts, 2003; Watanabe and Hoho, 2004a; Watanabe and Hoho, 2004b; Ettlie, Perotti et al., 2005; Falk, 2005; Hwang, 2005; Ko, Kirsch et al., 2005; McAdam and Galloway, 2005; Liang, Saraf et al., 2007), (also) focus on the impact of ERP system implementation on organizational innovation capabilities (Carnicky, 2003; Lengnick-Hall, Lengnick-Hall et al., 2004; Trott and Hoecht, 2004; Barki and Pinsonneault, 2005; Swanson and Wang, 2005; Wu, Wang et al., 2005; Wang, Ying et al., 2006; Karimi, Somers et al., 2007; Ma and Loeh, 2007; Srivardhana and Pawlowski, 2007; Wang, Lin et al., 2007) or discuss innovation of an ERP system (King and Burgess, 2006).

3 DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The questionnaire research was conducted in May and June 2007. Questionnaire forms accompanied by cover letters were mailed to randomly selected companies in Slovakia and Slovenia. Lists of addresses and information about the number of employees were retrieved from respective Statistical Bureaus in Slovakia and Slovenia. In each country, 600 questionnaires were sent to small, 300 to medium enterprises, and 300 to large companies. The number of questionnaires mailed to small companies was double the number of medium and large companies because small companies constitute the highest proportion of companies and based on our personal experience, they are less likely to respond. In total, there were 202 responses (112 from Slovakia, and 90 from Slovenia); 178 of them (97 from Slovakia, and 81 from Slovenia) replied to all questions needed for the analysis presented in this paper.

The dependent variable is importance of improved innovation capabilities measured on Likert scale 1-5, where 1 means that it is of very little importance and 5 that it is of very high importance.

Independent variables are country, company size, representation of the IT department on the board level and implementation stage. The questionnaire research was conducted in Slovakia and Slovenia. Analyzed are small, middle and large companies, where companies from 10 to 49 employees are considered to be small enterprises, companies from 50 to 249 employees are considered to be middle enterprises, and companies with 250+ employees are considered to be large enterprises. This classification is compatible with European Commission (2008). Representation of the IT department on the board level means that there is a chief information officer (CIO) or alike director for IT. The possible implementation stages are “ERP system is being considered”, “ERP system is being evaluated for the selection of a specific solution”, “ERP system is being configured and implemented”, “an ERP system was recently implemented and is now being stabilised”, “an ERP system is being used and maintained”, “we have now substituted our first ERP system with a new one”.

The research model is presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Research model.](image-url)
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to test impact of independent variables on the dependent variable; a multivariate approach is used. Results are commented on confidence level $\alpha = 0.05$.

### 4 RESULTS

ANOVA was used to test impact of the country, company size, representation of the IT department on the board level (CIO), and implementation stage on perceived importance of improved innovation capabilities. Research results, based on data from a sample of 97 Slovak and 81 Slovenian companies, are presented in Figure 2. P-values are used to evaluate significance of the relationships.

![Figure 2. Research results.](image)

It can be concluded that there are significant differences in importance of improved innovation capabilities as an ERP system selection criterion between the countries, it is higher in Slovakia. Impact of the remaining factors was not found significant. The average importance of improved innovation capabilities was 3.28 in Slovenia and 3.57 in Slovakia (on a 1-5 Likert scale, where 1 stands for no importance whatsoever and 5 for high importance) gives a hint that the reason why innovation is so rarely mentioned in articles on ERP system selection, i.e. only in four out of 56 Web of Science articles, is the fact that companies do not think of it as of a too important selection criterion. The results cannot be directly compared to findings of Bernroider and Koch (2001) because of different anchoring used in the questionnaire.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

One of the most widely recognized means of achieving competitive advantage are innovations. The first research question, which the article aimed to answer, is what Web of Science articles say about ERP systems in relation to innovations. Based on the analysis of 31 relevant Web of Science articles published till 2007, it was found that majority of them (19) perceived an ERP system or its implementation as an innovation or innovation implementation, a smaller percentage (11) actually discussed the impact of ERP systems usage on organizational innovation and one article investigated the innovation process of ERP systems. (The remaining seven out of 38 retrieved articles mentioned innovations only in references or received only cursory mention.) It is slightly surprising that only one third of the articles focused on ways how can ERP systems improve innovation capabilities of companies. Further research will involve looking into a larger database for relevant articles and identifying ways how ERP systems exactly impact/improve innovation capabilities.

The second research question involved importance of improved innovation capabilities in the ERP system selection process. The quantitative analysis of 97 Slovak and 81 Slovenian companies uncovered that improved innovation capabilities as an ERP system selection factor are of greater concern to Slovak (3.57) than to Slovenian companies (3.28). Impact of the remaining investigated factors, i.e. of company size, representation of the IT department on the board level (CIO), and ERP
system implementation stage was not found significant. The importance of improved innovation capabilities as an ERP system selection criterion is significantly higher than average (i.e. 3) in both countries. Further research will involve investigation how satisfied are companies, which already implemented ERP systems, with improvement of their innovation capabilities, inclusion of additional independent variables, and further qualitative investigation of uncovered significant relationships.
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