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ABSTRACT
As information systems and data storage capacity become increasingly sophisticated, an important ethical question for organizations is “What can/will/should be done with the personal information that has been and can be collected?” Individuals’ privacy is certainly important, but so is less costly and more targeted business processes. As this conflict intensifies, consumers, managers and policy makers are left wondering: What privacy principles are important to guide organizations in self-regulation? For example, do consumers view the five rights originally stated in the European Data Protection Directive as important? Comprehensive? Is there a product discount point where consumers would forsake these principles? This project explored these questions using a survey of student consumers, first in 2006 and again in 2014. Results show that the consumers believe that not only are the five rights enumerated in the European Data Protection Directive appropriate, but they are also comprehensive. Consumers also would require a steep product discount to forsake these rights. These views have not changed significantly over time.
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INTRODUCTION
The growth of E-Business and online operations of organizations continues. With this growth comes the increasing ability of corporations to obtain personal data on consumers, track behavior during Web site visits, etc. (Bélanger & Crossler, 2011; Berinato, 2002; Dalton & Gallagher, 1999; Murphy, 2003). The potential value of this information is enormous, as organizations can cater their offerings to certain types of consumers, market to only consumers likely to purchase their products, etc. (Bélanger & Crossler, 2011; Berinato, 2002; Murphy, 2003). However, this phenomenon has also intensified the concerns of consumers regarding their privacy (Bélanger & Crossler, 2011; Berinato, 2002; Dalton & Gallagher, 1999; Murphy, 2003). This paper will explore the inevitable conflict these two forces present, and offer recommendations for organizational leaders and policy makers.

BACKGROUND AND STAKES
Most organizations propose to use personal data which has been collected in aggregate or for efficiency-based (e.g., Auto-fill) purposes only (Berinato, 2002; Dalton & Gallagher, 1999; Smith & Dinev, 2011; Tsai et al., 2011). In this context, an important question surrounds the perspective and concerns of many consumers: Why is privacy so important? What about the potential good of providing information to allow for "database marketing", "micro marketing", etc. (more relevant messages, more efficient markets, etc.)? Former US Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Chairman Robert Pitofsky has said that the FTC so far has favored self regulation on privacy issues. That could change, however, if little progress is shown by commercial Web sites in establishing meaningful privacy policies on their sites (Thibodeau, 1999; Smith & Dinev, 2011; Tsai et al., 2011). Is it reasonable to assume that self-regulation will be successful? If not, how will consumers guide this process? What is important to them?

ACTIONS AND POLICIES TO DATE
As indicated on the FTC Web site (http://business.ftc.gov/privacy-and-security), “The Federal Trade Commission is educating consumers and businesses about the importance of personal information privacy, including the security of personal information. Under the FTC Act, the Commission guards against unfairness and deception by enforcing companies’ privacy promises about
how they collect, use and secure consumers’ personal information. Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the Commission has implemented rules concerning financial privacy notices and the administrative, technical and physical safeguarding of personal information, and it aggressively enforces against pretexting. The Commission also protects consumer privacy under the Fair Credit Reporting Act and the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act.”

While the FTC stance is important, policy makers are left with no guidelines about what might be important to include in their “privacy promises”. The European Union has implemented a "no privacy, no trade" stand with potential trading partners. Under the European Data Protection Directive and 2012 General Data Protection Regulation, European citizens are guaranteed a bundle of rights, including the right of access to their data, the right to know where the data originated, the right to have inaccurate data rectified, the right of recourse in the event of unlawful processing, and the right to withhold permission to use their data for direct marketing (Davies, 1998). Does this stand make sense? Is it comprehensive? Will similar positions be taken? Do consumers believe these five rights are important and comprehensive? The answers to these questions could provide the very guidelines that policy makers are seeking.

**RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES**

These facts and observations lead to two fundamental research questions, which this project will address, longitudinally, from the consumer’s perspective:

1. Assuming the absence of total regulation, what privacy principles are important to guide organizations in self-regulation? In particular, do consumers view the five rights stated in the European Data Protection Directive as important?
2. Is there a product discount point where consumers would forsake these principles?

These question lead to the following seven research hypotheses:

**H1₀, H6₀:** The mean response to the question

- “Having the right of access (to see it, verify it, etc.) to my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site” (**H1₀**)
- “Having the right of recourse in the event of unlawful processing using my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site” (**H2₀**)
- “Having the right to know where and how they obtained my personal information is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H3₀**)
- “Having the right to have inaccurate personal information about me corrected is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H4₀**)
- “Having the right to withhold my personal information from being used for direct marketing purposes is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H5₀**)
- “The previous five questions comprehensively cover all the rights I believe should be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H6₀**)

is less than or equal to 5 on a 7 point scale with 7 indicating strong agreement (consumers do not believe this right to be important).

**H1ₐ, H6ₐ:** The mean response to the question

- “Having the right of access (to see it, verify it, etc.) to my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site” (**H1ₐ**)
- “Having the right of recourse in the event of unlawful processing using my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site” (**H2ₐ**)
- “Having the right to know where and how they obtained my personal information is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H3ₐ**)
- “Having the right to have inaccurate personal information about me corrected is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H4ₐ**)
- “Having the right to withhold my personal information from being used for direct marketing purposes is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H5ₐ**)
- “The previous five questions comprehensively cover all the rights I believe should be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (**H6ₐ**)
• “The previous five questions comprehensively cover all the rights I believe should be included in the privacy policy of a Web site” (H6a) is greater than 5 on a 7 point scale with 7 indicating strong agreement (consumers believe this right to be important).

H70: The mean product discount point is 25% or lower (greater than or equal to 4 on a 7 point scale) for consumers to surrender their personal information regardless of privacy policy (E-Businesses need not pay a high price for personal information).

H7a: The mean product discount point is higher than 25% (less than 4 on a 7 point scale) for consumers to surrender their personal information regardless of privacy policy (E-Businesses must pay a high price for personal information).

METHODOLOGY
To explore these questions and hypotheses, surveys were made available electronically, on a voluntary basis, to two hundred (210) student consumers in 2006 and two hundred twenty-two (222) in 2014, in a large introduction to information systems course at a public university. The survey was created based on the rights enumerated in the European Data Protection Directive, and was constructed based on the seven research hypotheses. A pilot study was conducted. The survey instrument is available upon request.

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS
As mentioned, a survey was employed to attempt to draw conclusions about the future of privacy in the online world. The survey was created based on the rights enumerated in the European Data Protection Directive, and refined based on the results of a pilot distribution. A seven-point Likert-scale was used for each question. Validity of the instrument was established by soliciting feedback from the respondents of the pilot survey, and internal reliability of the instrument was established using questions 4 and 12 (see Appendix).

RESULTS
One hundred and twelve (112) student consumers responded to the survey in 2006 for a 53.3% response rate, and two hundred and ten (210) student consumers responded to the survey in 2014 for a 94.6% response rate. Table 1 shows the survey response means, hypotheses results and conclusions for 2006, and Table 2 shows similar metrics for 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Mean (7 point scale, 7 is important)</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Probability of Type I or Type II Error</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1 - ACCESS</td>
<td>5.5625</td>
<td>3.6605</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe access is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 - RECOUSE</td>
<td>6.1071</td>
<td>8.9389</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe recourse is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 - ORIGINATION</td>
<td>5.8214</td>
<td>5.9818</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe knowing origination is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 - ACCURACY</td>
<td>5.6786</td>
<td>5.2157</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe accuracy is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 - WITHHOLD</td>
<td>6.0893</td>
<td>9.0249</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe the right to withhold information is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6 - COMPREHENSIVE</td>
<td>5.4643</td>
<td>3.7374</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>2006 Consumers believe the five right of the European Data Protection Directive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1. 2006 Survey Response Means, Hypotheses Results and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Mean (7 point scale, 7 is important)</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Probability of Type I or Type II Error</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H7 - DISCOUNT</td>
<td>2.5000</td>
<td>-7.8150</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2006 Consumers need a discount substantially higher than 25% to surrender personal information regardless of privacy policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. 2014 Survey Response Means, Hypotheses Results and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Mean (7 point scale, 7 is important)</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Probability of Type I or Type II Error</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1 - ACCESS</td>
<td>5.7403</td>
<td>5.8317</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe access is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 - RE COURSE</td>
<td>5.7607</td>
<td>7.0933</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe recourse is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 - ORIGINATION</td>
<td>5.9190</td>
<td>8.7916</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe knowing origination is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 - ACCURACY</td>
<td>6.0193</td>
<td>10.8562</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe accuracy is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 - WITHHOLD</td>
<td>5.8798</td>
<td>8.2982</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe the right to withhold information is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6 - COMPREHENSIVE</td>
<td>5.2285</td>
<td>1.9969</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>2014 Consumers believe the five rights of the European Data Protection Directive are comprehensive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7 - DISCOUNT</td>
<td>2.5330</td>
<td>-9.9890</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>2014 Consumers need a discount substantially higher than 25% to surrender personal information regardless of privacy policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS

Clearly, consumers are concerned about their privacy when navigating the online world, and these concerns have not changed dramatically over the last eight years (from 2006 to 2014). In this study, consumers were asked about the importance of each of the five rights enumerated in the European Data Protection Directive, and they responded with statistical significance that all five rights were important, both in 2006 and 2014. Additionally, a somewhat surprising result was that consumers believed the five rights of the European Data Protection Directive were comprehensive as far as what should be included in a web site’s privacy policy. Both of these results should be extremely useful to policy makers in the privacy arena. The overview message...
is that the European Data Protection Directive seems to have resonated with consumers as appropriate and complete. Additionally, should a web site want to circumvent or reduce the importance of these principles, a steep product discount would be required.
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APPENDIX – SURVEY INSTRUMENT
1. Estimate your total online (Internet) retail spending over the last year:
   - $0
   - $1-$50
   - $51-$200
   - $201-$500
   - $501-$1,000
   - Over $1,000

2. Estimate the percentage of your total retail spending that was done online:
   - 0%
   - 1-5%
   - 6-10%
   - 11-25%
   - 26-50%
   - 51-75%
   - 76-100%

3. Estimate the percentage of online Web sites on which you shopped which had a privacy policy in place regarding how that site would use or not use your personal information (e.g., name, address, etc.):
   - 0%
   - 1-10%
   - 11-25%
   - 26-50%
   - 51-75%
   - 76-100%
   - Don't Know

4. What is your SMALLEST product discount trade off point for giving your name, address, phone number and/or email address to an online Web site, regardless of the privacy policy?
   - At 100% off. If they give me their product for free, they can have my personal information.
At 75% off: If they give me their product at 75% off (a $100 product for $25), they can have my personal information.

At 50% off: If they give me their product at 50% off (a $100 product for $50), they can have my personal information.

At 25% off: If they give me their product at 25% off (a $100 product for $75), they can have my personal information.

At 10% off: If they give me their product at 10% off (a $100 product for $90), they can have my personal information.

At 0% off: I don't need a discount. I'm happy shopping online, and they can have my personal information.

NEVER. No product discount will entice me to give my personal information away.

Rate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements using the following scale:

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Slightly Disagree
- Indifferent
- Slightly Agree
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

5. Having the right of access (to see it, verify it, etc.) to my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site.

6. Having the right of recourse in the event of unlawful processing using my personal information which is stored by a Web site is important to be included in the privacy policy of that Web site.

7. Having the right to know where and how they obtained my personal information is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site.

8. Having the right to have inaccurate personal information about me corrected is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site.

9. Having the right to withhold my personal information from being used for direct marketing purposes is important to be included in the privacy policy of a Web site.

10. The previous five questions comprehensively cover all the rights I believe should be included in the privacy policy of a Web site.

11. I would be more inclined to purchase a product on a Web site that had a privacy policy.

12. As long as I get a good price and good service, I am not concerned about a Web site’s privacy policy regarding its use or non-use of my personal information.

13. What is your age?

- Under 15
- 16-21
- 22-30
- 31-40
- 41-60
- Over 60

14. What is your sex?

- Female
- Male

15. What is your annual income?

- $0
- $1-$1,000
- $1,001-$10,000
- $10,001-$25,000
- $25,001-$50,000
- $50,001-$100,000
- Over $100,000

I refuse to say.